

A Production report on
Biosecurity Management in relation to the risk of HPAI
outbreaks in backyard poultry farming in Raozan Upozila,
Chattogram, Bangladesh.



Submitted By-

Mohammad Rasel

Roll No: 15/33; Reg. No: 01448

Intern ID: 31

Session: 2014-2015

A report submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements for the
degree of

Doctor of Veterinary Medicine

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University

Khulshi, Chattogram-4225

August , 2020.

**A Production report on
Biosecurity Management in relation to the risk of HPAI
outbreaks in backyard poultry farming in Raozan Upozila,
Chattogram, Bangladesh**



Approved by:

.....

Shahnaz Sultana
Professor
Department of Agricultural Economics & Social science.

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University
Khulshi, Chattogram-4225
August , 2020

Table of Contents

Content	Page No.
1. Abstract	04
2. Chapter I: Introduction	05
3. Chapter II: Methods	07
4. Chapter III: Results	09
5. Chapter IV: Discussion	13
6. Conclusion	18
7. Abbreviation	18
8. Acknowledgement	19
9. Reference	20
10. Biography	23

Abstracts

Background: A questionnaire survey was conducted to assess the biosecurity and other practices of backyard poultry farmings and knowledge and practices of poultry keepers following an outbreak of highly pathogenic avian influenza (H5N1) virus in poultry in Raozan Upozila, Chattogram, Bangladesh.

Results: The study identified 62 backyard poultry holdings in 12 settlement areas, and the owners were subsequently interviewed. The birds are kept in a low-input low-output system, fed locally available scavenging feed base, and supplemented with food scraps and some grain. Although the birds are housed at night in a small coop to protect them against theft and predators, they are let loose during the day to scavenge in the homestead surroundings. This invariably results in mixing with other poultry birds within the settlement and wild birds, creating favorable conditions for disease spread within and between flocks. Moreover, the poultry keepers have a low level of knowledge and awareness related to the importance of biosecurity measures, as well as veterinary care of the birds and reporting systems. Of particular concern is that sick birds within backyard holdings may not be detected rapidly, resulting in silent spread of disease and increased risk of humans contacting the virus (e.g. HPAI) from infected poultry. Nevertheless, all the respondents have indicated that they know and practice hand washing using soap and water after handling poultry and poultry products, but rarely use face-masks and hand gloves while handling poultry or cleaning poultry house.

Conclusions: This study highlights the importance of educating poultry keepers to improve the housing and management systems of poultry farming within the backyard holdings in Raozan Upozila, Chattogram in order to prevent future disease outbreaks.

Keywords: Backyard poultry holdings, Biosecurity, Knowledge, Attitudes, Practices, HPAI and H5N1 virus, Bhutan

Chapter I : Introduction

Biosecurity means doing everything you can to reduce the chances of an infectious disease being carried onto your farm by people, animals, equipment, or vehicles. It also means doing everything you can to reduce the chance of disease leaving your farm

Poultry represents an important sector in animal production, with backyard flocks representing a huge majority, especially in the developing countries. In these countries, villagers raise poultry to meet household food demands and as additional sources of incomes. Backyard production methods imply low biosecurity measures and high risk of infectious diseases, such as Newcastle disease or zoonosis such as Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI).

Bangladesh first experienced outbreaks of highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) subtype H5N1 in poultry 2007 and by December 2012 a total of five hundred fifty six (556) outbreaks have been reported of which four hundred ninety nine (499) outbreaks occur in commercial poultry farm as against only fifty seven (57) in backyard poultry chicken. The virus appeared to be a deadly pathogen causing a total of six hundred eight (608) human cases with three hundred fifty nine (359) deaths in the world. In Bangladesh seven (7) human cases have been reported with a singular mortality of a child acquiring the infection from household poultry. There had been six epidemic waves of AI outbreaks in Bangladesh since March 2007 and other new waves seem to have started. From the six years incidence analysis it was found that higher number of outbreaks occurred in the month of February followed by March. The outbreak started from the middle to late winter and continued up to summer. The phylogenetic analysis of viruses isolated till 2010 revealed only one clade 2.2 virus circulating in Bangladesh. But from 2011 two new clades 2.3.2 and 2.3.4 viruses have been introduced. In 2012, it was observed that Clade 2.2 viruses that was in circulation since 2007 were replaced by 2.3.2.1 viruses. Extensive backyard poultry including a large number of ducks, dense human population, and economic dependence of poor people on poultry with low awareness about risk of infection, live bird trading and poor bio-security were critical factors in the spread of avian influenza infection that poses key challenge in rapid containment. Because of the complex situation in poultry production and marketing system, attempts to control this disease through stamping out and bio-security measures have apparently failed in Bangladesh.

In Raozan Upozila, the poultry farming system comprise of both commercial and backyard holdings but backyard farming is predominant in the country.

To our knowledge, no studies have been conducted to understand the biosecurity practices of backyard poultry holdings in Raozan Upozila . Therefore, it is important to understand the types of backyard poultry holdings and biosecurity practices in farms for better preparedness planning. In this context, I conducted a rapid biosecurity survey among the backyard poultry holdings in Raozan Upozila, as a partof rapid risk assessment following an outbreak of HPAI in one of the backyard holdings in Raozan.

The main objectives of this study were to (1) identify backyard poultry holdings in Raozan Upozila area that have potential risk of possible outbreaks in future, (2) generate baseline information about flock characteristics and assess basic biosecurity practices, and (3) understand poultry keepers' knowledge in relation to poultry keeping and personal hygiene practices to prevent incursion and transmission of HPAI.

Chapter II: Methods

Study area

This survey was conducted in Raozan Upozila of Chattogram Division. It covers an area of 246.58 km² with an estimated population of 325,389. Backyard poultry keeping is practiced in 12 areas within the upozila.

The first outbreak of avian influenza A (H5N1) was reported in a backyard poultry holding at Dabua area in January 2012. The second outbreak also occurred in a backyard holding at Gohira area on 2015.

Following this outbreak, I formed a rapid response team (RRT) to implement the containment activities and have identified 12 settlement areas within the city, where poultry birds are reared as backyard free-ranging system (Table 1).

Questionnaire design

A questionnaire consisting of closed questions was designed to collect information on various aspects of backyard chicken keeping and the owners' knowledge and practices in relation to avian influenza as summarized in Table 1. The questionnaire was piloted with three poultry owners prior to the actual survey and was modified to improve clarity and interpretation.

Data collection

Owing to the lack of a proper sampling frame, a purposive sampling was used to recruit backyard poultry keepers within the 12 identified settlement. After visiting each settlement, a door-to-door survey was conducted using a rolling sample method in which the first selected household that owned poultry provides information about the next household that owned poultry in the area. In this way, 62 poultry birds owning household (HHs) within the 12 settlement area were selected and interviewed. When the poultry owning HHs was not available during the first visit, I revisited the HHs in the evening after owners returned home after the work, ensuring all the poultry owning HHs were interviewed. One adult person from each selected household/family was interviewed face-to-face. The selected person was informed about the purpose of the survey by explaining that the data collected will be used for understanding the backyard poultry keeping practices and to strengthen backyard poultry biosecurity in the upozila. All the identified poultry owners (n = 62) agreed and consented to be interviewed.

Data management and analysis

Data was entered into a database developed in Epi Info V.7.1

(<http://www.cdc.gov/epiinfo>) (CDC, Atlanta, GA,USA). Data cleaning, management and analyses was carried out using Microsoft Excel 2007 (Microsoft Corp.,Redmond, WA, USA) and Stata software V.13 (StataCorp, Texas, USA).

Table 1-Characteristics of backyard poultry farming and the knowledge, attitude and biosecurity practices of poultry owners addressed by the questionnaire:

Items	Details
Respondents details	Name, contact detail, place of living, geo-coordinates, gender, occupation, number of people in the household, and approximate monthly family income
Poultry & husbandry characteristics	Number and category of poultry birds kept, breed, source of birds, purpose of keeping poultry by household, number of years of backyard poultry keeping by the household in the city
Biosecurity and management practices	Poultry housing type, location of coop/shed, husbandry practices (intensive/free ranging), contact with wild birds, cleaning & disinfection of poultry house/coop, water bodies near house, foot dip at the entrance to the coop/shed, type of feeds given to the chicken, feeding and watering container, poultry death and disposal system, vaccination of chicken against diseases, notification of poultry death, poultry litter management
Bird flu knowledge and practices, and personnel hygiene	Awareness of bird flu outbreak in the city, source of information, knowledge of bird flu transmission to humans, personnel hygiene (hand washing after handling of chicken and its products, and use of face mask and hand gloves while handling chicken

Chapter III: Results

Respondents' demographic characteristics

Table 2 shows the demographic characteristics of the respondents. Of the 62 participants, 62% (39/62) were female and the majority of the respondents were working for their livelihood. The family size of the respondents ranged from 2 to 12 (median 7) and the majority (82%;51/62) of the family earned an approximate monthly income of Tk.15,000(avg.)

Poultry characteristics and purpose of keeping birds

Sixty two respondents kept a total of 562 local indigenous breed birds (chick: 333, hen: 166, cock: 41) and Aseel breed: 22) (Table 3). The main source of the poultry birds was from the hatching of chicks in the households (79%; 49/62) in comparison to purchase of poultry from other places. The majority (67%; 42/62) of the respondents had been keeping poultry for up to 5 years and 32% (20/62) of the owners had been engaged with poultry keeping for more than 5 years. Forty two respondents reported keeping poultry for egg production and family consumption, while 14 respondents kept poultry for both egg production and for meat for family consumption. Only six respondents reported keeping birds for egg production, sale and for meat purposes. In addition 22 respondents also reported keeping poultry birds for sale since local breed fetches higher price (Table 2).

Table 2- Respondents' demographic, poultry characteristics and purpose of keeping poultry birds:

Variables/Catagories	Number	Percent
Gender of respondent		
Female	39	62.9
Male	23	37.1
Occupation of respondent		
House wife	30	48.39
Work in City Corporation	11	17.74
Work in public road maintenance section	5	8.06
Private work 5 8.06 Others (hospital, forest nursery)	5	8.06
	11	17.74
Number of people in the household		
1 to 3	38	61.29
4 to 6	9	14.52
7 to 9	4	6.45
10 to 12	21	33.87
	30	48.39
Approximate monthly income		
Up to Tk. 5000		
Tk. 5000 to Tk. 15,000		

Tk. 15,000 to Tk. 25,000	7	11.29
Above Tk. 25,000	4	6.45
Sources of poultry Brought from villages/other areas within the country		
Hatched within farm Government poultry farm	12	19.35
Purposes of keeping poultry birds	49	79.03
Egg production & family consumption		
Egg production & sale	1	1.61
Meat purpose for family Egg production & meat purpose for family		
No. of years of poultry keeping by the HHs	42	64.52
Less than 1 year	3	4.84
1 to 3 years	3	4.84
3 to 5 years		
More than 5 years	14	22.58
	13	2
	14	0
	15	.
	20	9
		7
		2
		2
		.
		5
		8
		2
		4
		.
		1
		9
		32.26

Biosecurity and management practices

The summary of management practices of birds in relation to the biosecurity, disease prevention and control issues is presented in Table 4. Briefly, the poultry birds are housed in a coop constructed with either wooden box (64.5%; 40/62), wire mesh box (12.9%; 8/62), basket (11.3%; 7/62) or coop with wire mesh fencing (11.3%; 7/62) which are either attached to the family house (38.7%; 24/62) or located away from the house (61.3%; 38/62). There is a significant difference ($\chi^2 = 32.495$, P-value = 0.001) between the 12 settlement regarding the location of poultry house in which 17% (12/62)

of poultry keepers in Dabua area have the poultry house attached to their house whilst 20.95% (13/62) of the poultry keepers in Gohira area have their poultry house located away from their house.

All poultry keepers reported cleaning the poultry house daily (4.9%; 3/62), weekly (83.9%; 52/62) or monthly (11.5%; 7/62) but none of the keepers used disinfectant to clean the poultry house or surrounding. The majority (87.1%; 54/62) of the owners used the deep litter produced as fertilizer in the kitchen garden. Some poultry keepers also reported that their poultry houses and birds had access to outside people/visitors (54.8%;34/62) and contact with wild birds (70.9%; 44/62), particularly feral pigeons since all poultry are reared as free ranging. Six of the 12 settlement locations had a small stream or river near the settlement. The majority of the poultry keepers reported feeding their poultry with left over family food and local grains to supplement the scavenging system, and only 53.2% (33/62) of the keepers used clean containers for feeding and watering birds. Other poultry keepers (46.8%; 29/62) sprayed food/grains into the household surroundings. When asked about any poultry mortality in the backyards, majority (88.7%; 55/62) of the bird keepers reported no unusual mortality during the past 2 week period. The most widely used methods for disposal of dead birds were either burial (59.7%; 37/62) or disposal into open area/bushes (40.3%; 25/62). Of the total 562 birds recorded among the 62 keepers at the time survey, 96%(540/562) of the birds were not vaccinated against poultry diseases since they did not know about the importance of vaccination or even the availability of vaccine. And, only 27.7% (17/62) of the poultry keepers understood how to seek veterinary assistance in the event of any illness in the birds, whilst the rest of the respondents (72.6%; 45/62) were not aware of how to seek assistance.

Knowledge and practice of personnel hygiene in relation to poultry disease

When asked whether they had heard of the recent avian influenza H5N1 virus (bird flu) outbreak in Yasin Nagar, 88.7% (55/62) of the respondents had heard about the outbreak either through livestock surveillance team, news media or friends. More than half (66.1%; 41/62) of the respondents were also aware that bird flu can be transmitted from infected poultry to humans, but how it is transmitted is unknown .

Table 3- Number & type of poultry birds reared as backyard poultry in different areas of Raozan Upozila (April 2015)

Location of risk areas	HHs	Local breed (categories)				Aseel breed	Total Birds
		Cock	Hen	Chicks	Total		
Dabua	15	1	28	127	156		156
Gohira	15	7	28	92	127	2	129
Munshirghata	9	11	34	21	66		66
Sikderghata	3	7	13	19	39		39
Fakirhat bazar	3	4	10	21	35		35
Eidghah	4	1	8	21	30		30
Palpara	2	2	22	1	25	13	38
Amir hat road	3	3	4	16	23		23
Yasin Nagar	3	2	5	15	22		22
Sultanpur	2	0	8	0	8	7	15
Berulia road	2	2	4	0	6		6
Janali Hat	1	1	2	0	3		3
Grand Total	62	41	166	333	540	22	562

All the respondents indicated that they understood and practiced hand washing using soap and water after handling poultry and poultry products. When asked whether they used a face-mask while handling poultry or cleaning poultry house, 45 (72.6%) respondents knew the importance of use of face mask but only 22 (48.9%) used one while 23 (51.1%) of the respondents knew of but did not use (practice) face masks. Similarly, 40(64.1%) respondents knew of the importance of use of hand gloves while handling poultry/products but only 13(32.5%) practically used gloves while 27 (67.5%) of the respondents knew of but did not use (practice) hand gloves (Table 6). There was no significant (P value >0.05) difference between the location, occupation and income level of the respondents with the biosecurity practices and knowledge and practices of personnel hygiene such as use of a facemask and hand gloves while handling poultry and poultry products. There was also no significant (P value>0.05) difference between awareness on avian influenza of the poultry keepers with the biosecurity practices.

Chapter IV: Discussion

This study is conducted to explore and assess the biosecurity situation of backyard poultry holdings and the owners' knowledge and practices in relation to HPAI prevention and control measures among backyard poultry keepers in Raozan Upozila. The poultry keeping was found to be a secondary activity, as a means to supplement families' dietary protein and also generate some additional income for the households. Most birds were of local non-descript breed that either hatched chicks from within the household poultry birds or were bought from other families within the country. However, the result showed that backyard flocks were reared as a free-ranging system where flocks from different households within the settlement scavenged together. During the daytime birds scavenge freely close to the homestead and have access to cheap feed, insects on the ground, water from the drain and waste water accumulation around the houses or stream. Although the nutrient requirement for the chickens maybe fulfilled through scavenging feed resources, the birds were also provided feed supplementation such as grains and household family food scraps . But the majority of the poultry keepers have no clean feeder and water container to feed the supplementary feed. Instead, the grains and food scraps are spread around the homestead which also attracts wild birds such as feral pigeons and other birds, providing an avenue for domestic poultrywild bird interface for disease transmission. Although the risk of HPAI transmission from pigeons and other wild birds into poultry is unclear, there is risk of other avian diseases transmission to both poultry as well as to humans

Regarding poultry housing, the birds are confined in small houses made of wood, wire mesh or are kept in a basket made of bamboo during night time and are released for freerange scavenging during day. The majority of the chicken houses were found to be poor and unhygienic state condition that did not offer adequate protection either from predators and theft or protection against diseases. Therefore, housing systems need to be improved to enhance biosecurity measures. Also the poultry houses were found to be attached to the family house in order to protect them from predators such as stray dogs or from theft. This indicates there is close interaction at the human-poultry bird interface and poses risks for disease transmission. Moreover, biosecurity measures such as disinfection, foot dip, and restriction of visitors have never been implemented in all backyard holdings surveyed in 12 settlements. Since disinfectants are often not easily available in the market it may not be practical to emphasize their use in backyard

settings. The cleaning of poultry shed are mostly done on a weekly basis and the wastes products(poultry litter) are used as fertilizer in the kitchen garden. However, the use of untreated poultry manure as fertilizer may pose a risk of infection spread if the birds are infected [11]. In addition, the poultry waste disposal into garden or any land may attract wild birds due to the presence of spilled feed in these wastes thereby infecting wild birds and contributing to long distance transmission .This may be addressed by composting the litter before spread in the garden [11].

Unfortunately, poultry keepers are not aware of and therefore do not practice this measure. In the backyard and resource-poor setting, composting is rarely applied in developing countries [11].

Table 4-Poultry birds management practices in relation to biosecurity practices:

Variables/categories	Number	Percent
<i>Type of poultry house/coops</i>		
Basket (made from bamboo)	7	11.3
Wire mesh box coop	8	12.9
Wooden box coop	40	64.5
Wire mesh/wooden box coop with wire mash fencing	7	11.3
<i>Location of poultry house</i>		
Attached to family house	24	38.71
Outside family house (separate house)	38	61.29
<i>Schedule of poultry house cleaning</i>		
Daily	3	4.92
Weekly	52	83.87
Monthly	7	11.48
<i>Disposal method of poultry litter</i>		
Use as fertilizer in the kitchen garden	54	87.1
Dispose into open area	8	12.9
Sale	0	0
<i>Do the people have access to poultry house</i>		
No	28	45.16
		54.84

Yes	34	
<i>Do the poultry birds come in contact with wild birds</i>		
No	18	29.03 70.97
Yes	44	
<i>Is there water bodies near poultry house/premises</i>		
No	26	41.94
Yes	36	58.06
<i>Type of feed given to poultry birds</i>		
Family food left over	9	14.52
Local feed grains (maize, wheat)	7	11.29
Family food left over & local feed grains	44	70.97
Commercial feed	2	3.23
<i>Have clean container for feeding & watering</i>		
No	29	46.77
Yes	33	53.23
<i>Was there any poultry death during the past 2 weeks</i>		
No	55	88.71
Yes	7	11.29
<i>Way of disposal of dead birds</i>		
Disposal into open area/bush	25	40.32
Burial	37	59.68
Sale	0	0
Consumption	0	0
<i>Have poultry birds been vaccinated against poultry diseases</i>		
No	61	98.39
Yes	1	1.61

Table 6- Knowledge and practice of hand washing, using face mask and hand gloves while handling poultry & poultry products:

Knowledge on the importance of hand wash as well as practice while handling poultry birds

Knowledge on hand wash	Practice hand wash		Total (percent)
	No(percent)	Yes(percent)	
No	0	0	0
Yes	0	62(100)	62(100)

Knowledge on the importance of using facemask as well as practice while handling poultry birds

Knowledge	Practice(using facemask)		Total (percent)
	No(percent)	Yes(percent)	
No	17	0	17(27.42)
Yes	23(51.11)	22(48.89)	45(72.58)

Knowledge on the importance of using hand gloves as well as practice while handling poultry birds

Knowledge	Practice(using hand gloves)		Total (percent)
	No(percent)	Yes(percent)	
No	22	0	22(35.49)
Yes	27(67.50)	13(32.50)	40(64.52)

This study also indicates that the majority of the poultry owners are not aware of the existence of veterinary facilities and do not know how to seek veterinary assistance in the event of illness in their chickens. They also do not have any knowledge or awareness of any legal obligation to report any unusual mortality or sickness in their flocks to the veterinary authorities. Another concern is that the majority of poultry keepers dispose of dead birds by burying them in the gardens or dispose into open area/dustbins when the mortality should be reported to the veterinary authority for postmortem examination and investigation. These are inappropriate methods of disposal since any infectious disease outbreaks in poultry, for example, Newcastle disease or HPAI could silently spread within the backyard flocks and act as a perpetual source of infection to other birds in the neighborhood/country as well as pose risk to humans. In backyard poultry farms, sickness or mortality of few number of birds are usually considered as a normal pattern and owners would not normally report these cases. This may be due to limited

knowledge of the poultry keepers. The deficiency of knowledge about health problem and relevant regulations such as reporting of any illness or mortality of birds within the flocks/settlement indicate the importance of poultry keepers to have accessible and reliable source of information.

Therefore, the veterinary and regulatory agencies should regularly educate the poultry keepers about poultry diseases and biosecurity practices.

In relation to knowledge and awareness of HPAI (bird flu), the majority of the respondents had heard of the recent outbreak of HPAI in a backyard poultry holding in one of the area of Raozan Upozila. The study also revealed that poultry keepers are aware of the risk of transmission of disease from poultry to humans. These findings were expected since the current study was conducted shortly after the declaration and announcement of HPAI outbreak in Raozan Upozila in the mass media. Also, the poultry owners have clear memory of the past outbreak containment and awareness program when H5N1 outbreak had occurred in Raozan during January 2012.

The findings of this study demonstrate that the poultry keepers are aware of the importance of hand washing with soap and water and undertake washing after handling poultry & poultry products, and after cleaning of poultry shed. This finding is consistent with other studies where hand washing was found to be the best known practice among poultry workers . However, a knowledge gap and practice was found amongst the poultry keepers such as wearing protective hand gloves and face masks while handling poultry or poultry litter.

Although some poultry keepers knew of its importance, but were not practiced because the poultry keepers could not afford to procure it for daily use. But there was no variations in the biosecurity practices and personnel hygiene measures between different backyard holdings, occupation, monthly family income and awareness level on avian influenza of the respondents.

Conclusions

We conclude that the backyard poultry holdings in the study area have very weak biosecurity management practices and the poultry keepers have minimal knowledge and awareness related to the importance of biosecurity measures, veterinary care of the birds and reporting systems, and personnel hygiene. It is therefore important to educate the poultry keepers and improve the housing management system of poultry farming within the backyard holdings in Raozan Upozila area in order to prevent future disease outbreak.

Abbreviations:

HH: Households; HPAI: Highly pathogenic avian influenza; PWD: Public work department; RRT: Rapid response team; WHO: World Health Organization

Acknowledgements

At first I am really thankful to Almighty who has given me strength and opportunity to complete the report. Completion of any work or responsibility gives nice feelings but the accomplishment of this work as the partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Veterinary Medicine (DVM) in CVASU, not only has given me the pleasure but also given me the confidence to move ahead and showed me a new opening to knowledge. I feel proud in expressing his deep sense of gratitude and indebtedness to **Professor, Dr. Md. Abdul Ahad, Dean, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (CVASU)** for his kindness & guidance .

I wish to express gratitude to **Professor Dr. A.K.M Saifuddin, Director of External Affairs, CVASU** for his supervision and for placing this type of production report as a compulsory part of internship program.

I would like to extend my gratitude to my supervisor **Professor. Shahnaz Sultana, Department of Agricultural Economics & Social science, CVASU**. My heartfelt thanks to him for his support and creative directions. Special thanks to Upazila Livestock Officer (ULO), Veterinary Surgeon (VS) and other staffs of Upazila Veterinary Hospital, Raozan, Chattogram for their cordial cooperation at the time of conducting the report.

References:

- OIE: Update on highly pathogenic avian influenza in animals (Type H5 and H7). 2016 <http://www.oie.int/animal-health-in-the-world/update-on-avianinfluenza/2015/>. Accessed 20 Nov 2016.
- World Health Organization (WHO), 2016: Cumulative number of confirmed human cases for avian influenza A(H5N1) reported to WHO, 2003–2016. http://www.who.int/influenza/human_animal_interface/2016_10_03_tableH5N1.pdf?ua=1. Accessed 10 Nov 2016.
- Rushton J, Viscarra R, Bleich EG, Mcleod A. Impact of avian influenza outbreaks in the poultry sectors of five South East Asian countries (Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Thailand, Viet Nam) outbreak costs, responses and potential long term control. *Worlds Poult Sci J.* 2005;61:491–514.
- Alders R, Awuni JA, Bagnol B, Farrell P, de Haan N. Impact of avian influenza on village poultry production globally. *Ecohealth.* 2014;11:63–72.
- Paul M, Wongnarkpet S, Gasqui P, Poolkhet C, Thongratsakul S, Ducrot C, Roger FO. Risk factors for highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) H5N1 infection in backyard chicken farms, Thailand. *Acta Trop.* 2011;118:209–16.
- Sonaiya EB. Family poultry, food security and the impact of HPAI. *Worlds Poult Sci J.* 2007;63:132–8.
- Henning KA, Henning J, Morton J, Long NT, Ha NT, Meers J. Farm and flock level risk factors associated with Highly pathogenic avian influenza outbreaks on small holder duck and chicken farms in the Mekong Delta of Viet Nam. *PreVet Med.* 2009;91:179–88.
- Capua I, Marangon S. Control and prevention of avian influenza in an evolving scenario. *Vaccine.* 2007;25:5645–52.
- Food and Agriculture Organization, (FAO). Biosecurity for Highly pathogenic avian influenza: Issues and options. FAO Animal Production and Health Paper No. 165. 2008. <http://www.fao.org/3/a-i0359e.pdf>. Accessed 6 Nov 2016.
- Alhaji NB, Odetokun IA. Assessment of Biosecurity Measures Against Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Risks in Small-Scale Commercial Farms and Free-

- Range Poultry Flocks in the Northcentral Nigeria. *Trans Emerg Dis.* 2011;58:157–61.
- Conan A, Goutard FL, Sorn S, Vong S. Biosecurity measures for backyard poultry in developing countries: a systematic review. *BMC Vet Res.* 2012;8:240.
- Koch G, Elbers ARW. Outdoor ranging of poultry: a major risk factor for the introduction and development of high pathogenic avian influenza. *NJAS.*2006; 54(2):179–94.
- Pandit PS, Bunn DA, Pande SA, and Aly SS. Modeling highly pathogenic avian influenza transmission in wild birds and poultry in West Bengal, India. *Scientific reports* 3, 2175. 2013. doi: 10.1038/srep02175.
- OIE 2015: Bhutan Immediate notification; 2015 .http://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public%5C.%5Ctemp%5Creports/en_imm_000001753_3_20150416_143425.pdf. Accessed 20 Nov 2016
- Karabozhilova I, Wieland B, Alonso S, Salonen L, Hasler B. Backyard chicken keeping in the Greater London Urban Area: welfare status, biosecurity and disease control issues. *Br Poult Sci.* 2012;53:421–30.
- Teske L, Ryll M, Rubbenstroth D, Hänel I, Hartmann M, Kreienbrock L, Rautenschlein S. Epidemiological investigations on the possible risk of distribution of zoonotic bacteria through apparently healthy homing pigeons. *Avian Pathol.* 2013;42:397–407.
- Cristalli A, Capua I. Practical problems in controlling H5N1 high pathogenicity avian influenza at village level in Vietnam and introduction of biosecurity measures. *Avian Dis.* 2007;51(1 Suppl):461–2.
- Otte J, Pfeiffer D, Tiensin T, Price L, Silbergeld E. Evidence-based policy for controlling HPAI in poultry: bio-security revisited. Research report 2006.https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/57a08c1ae5274a27b2000f9d/PPLPIrephpai_biosecurity.pdf. Accessed 2 Nov 2016.
- Abdullahi MI, Oguntunde O. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices of avian influenza among poultry traders in Nigeria. *Internet J Infect Dis.* 2010;8:1–8.

Neupane D, Khanal V, Ghimire K, Aro AR, Leppin A. Knowledge, attitudes and practices related to avian influenza among poultry workers in Nepal: a cross sectional study. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2012;12:76.

BIOGRAPHY

Mohammad Rasel, son of Md.Mohon Mia, was born 6th January, 1994 in Cumilla, Bangladesh. He had completed his Secondary School Certificate (SSC) examination at 2011 with GPA-5 from Nasirabad Govt.High School, Chattogram and Higher Secondary Certificate (HSC) examination at 2013 with GPA 5.00 from Govt. City College, Chattogram. He enrolled for Doctor of Veterinary Medicine (DVM) degree in Chittagong Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (CVASU), Chittagong, Bangladesh in 2014-15 session. Currently he have been doing his internship programme which is the compulsory of DVM programme under the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University. In the near future, he would like to work and have massive interest in farm animal medicine.