

### Preparation of dry egg powder from commercially available chicken eggs and assessment of their nutritive value

Lipa Chowdhury Roll No.: 0119/02 Registration No.: 00631 Session: 2019-2020

A thesis submitted in the partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Biochemistry

> Department of Physiology, Biochemistry and Pharmacology Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University Zakir Hossain Road, Khulshi Chattogram-4225, Bangladesh

> > **June 2020**

### Authorization

I hereby declare that I am the sole author of the thesis. I also authorize the Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (CVASU) to lend this thesis to other institutions or individuals for the purpose of scholarly research.

I further authorize the CVASU to reproduce the thesis by photocopying or by other means, in total or in part, at the request of other institutions or individuals for the purpose of scholarly research.

I, the undersigned, and author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the CVASU Library, is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

Lipa Chowdhury June, 2020

### Preparation of dry egg powder from commercially available chicken eggs and assessment of their nutritive value

Lipa Chowdhury

Roll No.: 0119/02 Registration No.: 00631 Session: 2019-2020

This is to certify that we have examined the above Master's thesis and have found that is complete and satisfactory in all respects, and that all revisions required by the thesis examination committee have made

Prof. Dr. S.K.M Azizul Islam Supervisor Dept. of Physiology, Biochemistry and Pharmacology Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University Dr. Jabin Sultana Co- Supervisor Dept. of Physiology, Biochemistry and Pharmacology Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University

Prof. Dr. S.K.M. Azizul Islam Chairman of the Examination Committee Dept. of Physiology, Biochemistry and Pharmacology Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University

Department of Physiology, Biochemistry and Pharmacology Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University Chattogram-4225, Bangladesh

**June 2020** 

# DEDICATED TO MY RESPECTED AND BELOVED FAMILY AND TEACHERS

#### Acknowledgements

I would like to express my thanks and grateful feelings for everyone that have helped and supported me in various ways during my degree of Master of Science (MS) in Biochemistry under the Department of Physiology, Biochemistry and Pharmacology, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University. Completion of a MS degree is not an easy task for me, and I cannot image myself getting this far without everyone's help and support.

First of all, I would like to thank my supervisor **Prof. Dr. S.K.M. Azizul Islam** Dept. of Physiology, Biochemistry and Pharmacology, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University for his supervision and guidance in successful completion of this work. Thanks to his serious attitude about research, I become a better researcher and learned the ability of critical thinking, problem solving, and trouble shooting and am more confident to face any difficult tasks that may confront me in the future.

Secondly, I would like to thank to my Co-Supervisor **Dr. Jabin Sultana** Dept. of Physiology, Biochemistry and Pharmacology, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University for her cooperation, relentless work and friendly behavior to encourage me for completing this work. I also thank to all lab members and other MS students in the department for assisting to me on the method development, equipment operation, and data analysis. I feel so lucky to be working with this group of people who are funny and creative which makes my MS degree much easier and less miserable.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my family and friends who supported me through all my way. There have been happy times and tough times and I am very grateful that they are always there so that I know I am not alone. With their support and help, I am stronger and braver to conquer any projects and solve any problems in the past, now, and future.

### The author June 2020

# **Table of Contents**

| Authorization  | i    |
|--|------|
| Acknowledgements   | iv   |
| List of Tables   | viii |
| List of Figures  | ix   |
| List of Abbreviations  | X    |
| Abstract   | xi   |
| Chapter I: Introduction  | 1    |
| Chapter II: Review of Literature                               | 4    |
| 2.1 Egg Composition and Formation - Anatomy & Physiology       | 4    |
| 2.2 Nutrient composition of eggs:                              | 7    |
| 2.2.1 Macronutrients   |      |
| 2.2.2 Micronutrients   |      |
| 2.3 Antinutritional Factors                                    |      |
| 2.4 Egg Nutraceuticals   | 13   |
| 2.5 Antimicrobials   | 13   |
| 2.6 Antioxidant Activities                                     |      |
| 2.7 Anti-Cancerous Molecules                                   | 14   |
| 2.8 Immunomodulatory Activities                                | 14   |
| 2.9 Antihypertensive Activities                                | 14   |
| 2.10 Conclusion  | 16   |
| Chapter III: Materials and Methods                             | 17   |
| 3.1 Egg collection and sample preparation                      | 17   |
| 3.1.1 Collection of eggs                                       | 17   |
| 3.1.2 Samples preparation for nutritional composition analysis |      |

| 3.2 Chemical analysis of egg powder                           | 19 |
|---|----|
| 3.2.1 Determination of moisture content                       | 19 |
| 3.2.2 Determination of ash content                            | 19 |
| 3.2.3 Protein determination                                   |    |
| 3.2.4 Crude fat determination                                 |    |
| 3.2.5 Crude Fiber Determination                               |    |
| 3.2.6 Determination of total carbohydrates                    |    |
| 3.3 Determination of mineral contents                         |    |
| 3.3.1 Determination of Sodium (Na <sup>+</sup> )              | 24 |
| 3.3.2 Determination of Chloride Ion (Cl <sup>-</sup> )        | 24 |
| 3.3.3 Determination of Calcium (Ca <sup>++</sup> )            |    |
| 3.3.4 Determination of magnesium (Mg <sup>2+)</sup>           |    |
| 3.3.5 Determination of phosphorus (P):                        |    |
| 3.3.6 Determination of Potassium (K <sup>+</sup> )            |    |
| 3.3.7 Determination of Iron (Fe)                              |    |
| 3.3.8 Determination of Zinc (Zn)                              |    |
| 3.3.9 Determination of Copper (Cu)                            |    |
| 3.3.10 Determination of Manganese (Mn <sup>2+</sup> )         |    |
| 3.3.11 Determination of Selenium (Se <sup>2-</sup> )          |    |
| 3.4 Determination of Vitamin content                          |    |
| 3.4.1 Determination of Riboflavin (Vit B2)                    |    |
| 3.4.2 Determination of retinol and carotene (Vit-A) using TFA |    |
| 3.4.3 Determination of tocopherol (Vit -E)                    |    |
| 3.5.1 Determination of Cholesterol                            |    |
| 3.5.2 Determination of Triglycerides                          |    |

| 3.5.3 Determination of High Density Lipoprotein (HDL)             |
|---|
| Chapter IV: Results   |
| 4.1 Overall weight distribution of different types of chicken egg |
| 4.2 Nutritional composition of white and brown chicken egg        |
| 4.3 Mineral composition of different types of chicken egg 40      |
| 4.4 Vitamin Contents in different white and brown egg yolk        |
| Chapter V: Discussion   |
| Chapter VI: Conclusion  |
| Chapter VII: Strength & Weakness                                  |
| 7.1 Strength of the study 47                                      |
| 7.2 Weakness of the study 47                                      |
| Chapter VIII: Recommendations & future projection                 |
| References  |
| Appendices: Photo Gallery   |
| Brief Biography   |

## List of Tables

| Table 2. 1 Egg lipids 10   |
|--|
| Table 2. 2 Egg vitamins 11   |
| Table 2. 3 Egg minerals and trace elements (average content; mg/100g) 12 |
| Table 3.1: Sodium (Na <sup>+</sup> ) determination                       |
| Table 3.2: Chloride ion (Cl <sup>-</sup> ) determination                 |
| Table 3.3: Calcium (Ca <sup>++</sup> ) determination                     |
| Table 3.4: Magnesium (Mg <sup>2+</sup> ) determination                   |
| Table 3.5: Phosphorus (P) determination                                  |
| Table 3.6: Potassium (K <sup>+</sup> ) determination                     |
| Table 3.7: Iron (Fe) determination 27                                    |
| Table 3.8: Zinc (Zn) determination                                       |
| Table 3.9: Copper (Cu) determination                                     |
| Table 3.10: Manganese (Mn <sup>2+)</sup> determination                   |
| Table 3.11: Selenium (Se <sup>2-</sup> ) determination                   |
| Table 3.13: Carotene determination                                       |
| Table 3.14: Retinol determination  |
| Table 3.15: Tocopherol (Vit -E) determination                            |
| Table 3.16: Cholesterol determination                                    |
| Table 3.17: Triglycerides determination                                  |
| Table 3.18: High Density Lipoprotein (HDL) determination 37              |
| Table 4.1: Comparative egg quality character                             |
| Table 4.2: Proximate component of albumen 39                             |
| Table 4.3 Proximate component of yolk                                    |
| Table 4.4: Mineral composition   |
| Table 4.5: Vitamin contents 41   |
| Table 4.6 Lipid profiles of yolk 42                                      |

# **List of Figures**

| Figure 2.1: Composition of egg   | 4    |
|--|------|
| Figure 2.2: Basic composition of edible parts of the egg. (a) Egg white (b) Egg yolk | 7    |
| Figure 3. 1: Flow sheet for egg powder production                                    | . 18 |
| Figure 3. 2: Mineral determination   | . 23 |

# List of Abbreviations

| %                | Percentage   |
|------------------|--|
| ANOVA            | Analysis of variance                                 |
| СНО              | Carbohydrate   |
| СР               | Crude protein  |
| CVASU            | Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University |
| et al            | Et alii/ et aliae/ et alia                           |
| etc              | Et cetera  |
| FAO              | Food and Agricultural Organization                   |
| HCl              | Hydrochloric Acid                                    |
| HNO <sub>3</sub> | Nitric Acid  |
| mg               | Mili Gram  |
| SPSS             | Statistical Package for Social Science               |
| MS               | Master of Science                                    |
| gm               | Gram   |

#### Abstract

Egg plays a pivotal role in fulfilling human beings' daily nutrient requirements. Chicken egg is one of the most versatile foods. It is a rich source of protein that is of high biological value. However, due to its fragile nature and perishable characteristic the chicken egg is required to be preserved. Dehydration is a good process of food preservation. The aim of the present study was to measure the physical characteristics and nutritive value of different chicken egg powder such as Brown egg and White egg. Eggs were collected from the local kitchen markets, dried and subjected to nutritional assessment. Descriptive analysis including percentage, mean, standard deviation and independent sample t-test were performed to find out the label of significance at p < 0.05. Brown egg had both higher weights than white egg. On the other hand, brown eggs contained higher in albumen content. In case of nutritional components of albumen, brown egg contained higher protein (74.2 %) than white egg (73.6%). On the other hand yolk of white egg contained higher protein (31.4%) than brown egg (31.2%). Moreover, the fat content in albumen part ranges from (0.16% - 0.42%) whereas the yolk portion had (47.22% to 49.2%) in both brown and white egg. The albumen of the different poultry eggs was contained higher moisture than yolk. Brown egg albumen had higher calcium (611.8mg/100gm), Phosphorus (290mg/100gm), Zinc (4.65mg/100gm) and Potassium (240mg/100gm) compared to white egg. Conversely, in yolk of white egg also had higher calcium (645 mg/100gm), magnesium (146mg/100gm), Iron (4.49mg/100gm), Potassium (254mg/100gm) and manganese (0.187mg/100gm). The dried eggs of poultry contained considerable amount of Vitamin A, E and B<sub>2</sub>. White egg had higher vitamin E (8.16 IU/100gm) and vitamin B<sub>2</sub> (2.52 mg/100gm) than brown egg. White egg contained higher cholesterol (704.68 mg/100gm) and triglycerides (7148.56 mg/100gm) than brown egg. On the other hand, brown egg contained higher amount of high density lipoprotein (HDL) (21.58 mg/100gm) than white egg.

**Keywords:** Egg powder, Egg albumen, Egg yolk, Brown egg, White egg, Nutritional composition

#### **Chapter I: Introduction**

Egg is an encapsulated source of macro and micronutrients for infants and adults. It contains a wide range of biologically allure components and an ideal source of balanced and diversified nutrients. Chicken eggs are one of the most versatile foods, which contain high quality proteins, carbohydrates, easily digestible fats, vitamins and minerals (Huopalahti, 2007). It represents the least cost animal protein source, essential lipids, fat and water soluble vitamin like A, D, E, vitamin B<sub>12</sub> (cyanocobalamin), riboflavin, choline, trace elements like zinc, calcium, iron and moderate calorie source (about 140kcal/100gm) (Rehault *et al.*, 2018). For growing children and teenagers, egg contributes significantly to the body nutrients demand (Stadelman and Cotterill, 1995).

Egg white is valued for its high nutritional value, particularly the protein content. Liquid egg white and egg white powder have been extensively used in the food industry, for example, in baking, dressing, confectionary and meat products due to their functional properties of gelation, foaming capacity, emulsifying agents, water holding capacity and flavor. Egg white can create a fluffy, light baked product with good volume and texture in cake mixture. Moreover, egg white has the gel capability and is widely used as a binding agent in many different prepared foods. Accordingly, it is a key ingredient in several bakery products (Arzeni *et al*, 2012), and are very good potential sources of raw materials for the pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries. In recent years several different methods and systems for producing eggs have evolved. These systems vary in how the birds are housed, fed and managed. Layer nutrition and husbandry system significantly influences the sensory characteristics and the chemical composition of eggs (Ternes & Leitsch, 1997).

Due to their bulkiness, fragility, and vulnerability to the surrounding environment as their perishable nature, thus fresh raw eggs are difficult to transport (Jay, 2000). During transportation of fresh raw egg considerable loss of 2.5% occurs due to breakage (Jayaraman *et al.*, 1976). Day by day the commercial egg production has been increasing in Bangladesh, however, the price of eggs fluctuates very often, which is an obstacle in the growth of poultry industry. The producers face problems in preserving the raw eggs. As a result, the wastage of eggs causes huge economic loss. Therefore, eggs have to be utilized

to greater extent possible to reduce wastages and to protect price structure. Because of the increased production and the disadvantages in the storage of whole egg, there is a need to preserve the egg for domestic consumption and to promote export (Rao *et al.*, 1995).

Recently, the use of shell egg in food production, as the raw material has reduced with the technological developments around the world food industry, and egg products such as frozen egg, pasteurized liquid egg and dried egg products have gained popularity. Dried egg powder (egg white and egg yolk) is widely used in food preparation because it is microbiologically safe and easy to transport compared to unshelled or liquid eggs. Dried egg powder is also comparatively easier to store, handle, measure and obtain uniformity, extended shelf life of the product (Caboni et al., 2005). Prasad et al (2004) suggested that biscuits enriched with spray-dried egg powder can form a good substitute instead of shell eggs for armed personnel stationed in remote areas. Further, the product may find use as protein-rich biscuits for infants and children. Moreover, spray dried egg powder has great importance for food industry with respect to handling and hygienic aspects. Spray drying allows preparation of stable and functional powder products (Koc *et al.*, 2010; Liu and Liu, 2009; Sagar and Suresh, 2010) and can be implemented for large scale throughputs (Chávez and Ledeboer, 2007; Youssefi et al., 2009). The properties of eggs are very delicate, and the final quality of the dried product can be significantly affected by drying conditions (Koç et al., 2011). For egg powder production, most of the researches in the literature were related with the method of spray drying and process conditions (Caboni et al., 2005; Lechevalier et al., 2007). Franke and Kiebling (2002) studied the effect of inlet air temperature and nozzle pressure of spray dryer on functional properties of egg powder and found that protein solubility and foaming power decreased as the inlet air temperature increased.

Some recent studies shows that egg plays a beneficial role for humans, including physically active people and some authors demonstrated that egg cholesterol was not well absorbed that's why does not get significantly impact on blood cholesterol concentration (Rehault *et al.*, 2018). Therefore, the people are getting to be aware with respect to the wellbeing and they are more interested to consume distinctive parts of eggs independently. For illustration, a few people groups require as it were egg whites and other needs yolk. To

fulfill their necessities, it's essential to create powder shaped of eggs. However, So far we gather knowledge about the preparation of egg powder and their dietary evaluation was not done in the reference of Bangladesh. Another critical point is that, the individuals express their opinion regarding diverse sorts of poultry eggs. But, there are restricted data with respect to the nutrient levels among different types of poultry eggs.

Dried egg powder is very much useful because it possesses different kinds of nutrients abundantly such as calcium, calories, protein and minerals. The calcium of powdered eggs is necessary for the proper development of the teeth and bones. The calories content is low so that it is a healthy alternative for weight loss. The protein is high in powdered egg; the minerals content are potassium and sodium, which are important and essential for muscle and nerve cells. Furthermore, powdered egg has a longer shelf life. And it is not necessary to store the eggs in a refrigerated area. It is required to preserve at cool to moderate temperature and a dark cabinet or cupboard. Apart from these, eggs that have been dried require much less storage space, so substantial supplies are possible in already small space. The eggs can be added to baked recipes in powdered form without the need to mix them with a liquid ahead of time. More to the point, powdered eggs are generally fairly cheap too. Purchasing powdered eggs costs significantly less then purchasing an equivalent number of fresh eggs. Because of this superiority, they are widely used by food producers as well as restaurants, often for making dishes and baking goods. Finally, during the drying process micro-organism is being killed, which is very much beneficial for long time storage and is safe to eat. The consumer will also use powder eggs in dough preparation of making food without any fear of bacteria.

#### Aims and objectives

- To assess the weight of different parts of eggs at raw and dried condition.
- To produce egg albumen and egg yolk powder of different types of chicken eggs.
- To determine and compare the proximate components of albumen and yolk powder.
- To determine and compare the minerals both albumin and yolk.
- To evaluate the vitamins and lipid profiles of dried yolk powder.

### **Chapter II: Review of Literature**

Eggs are a 'complete protein', meaning they contain all the essential amino acids needed for healthy body functions. The content of the hard-shelled produced by a bird, considered as food. Though the main role of the egg is to reproduce the species, most eggs laid by domestic fowl, excluding those specifically set aside for hatching, are not fertilized but are sold mainly for human consumption. Eggs come from chickens, ducks, geese, turkeys, guinea fowl, pigeons, pheasants, and quail. For their weight, eggs provide the highest quality protein of all foods. This protein is highly digestible, which helps weight management

#### 2.1 Egg Composition and Formation - Anatomy & Physiology



1. Eggshell 2. Outer membrane 3. Inner membrane 4. Chalaza 5. Exterior albumen (outer thin albumen) 6. Middle albumen (inner thick albumen) 7. Vitelline membrane 8. Nucleus 9. Germinal disk (blastoderm) 10. Yellow yolk 11. White yolk 12. Internal albumen 13. Chalaza 14. Air cell 15. Cuticle

Figure 2.1: Composition of egg

#### Size

• Varies significantly between species.

#### Shell

The shell provides physical protection. It protects the embryo from microorganisms and regulates evaporation so that the embryo does not become desiccated. The shell is also a source of calcium carbonate, which is essential for bone formation in the embryo.

#### Shell membranes

- They were organized into an inner and outer layer which lie in close apposition.
- Part at one end forms the air cell, where the hatching chick draws its first breath.
- Meshwork of protein fibers (protein and glycoprotein) with minor collagen, hydroxyproline and hydroxylysine.
- Prevent desiccation and infection.

#### Testa

- The main thickness of the shell
- Matrix fibers and calcium carbonate
- Calcium carbonate layers contain pores to permit gas exchange.
- Pigmented by porphyrin and biliverdin

#### Cuticle

- Water repellent
- Barrier to infection

#### Yolk

- Protein and lipids are synthesized in the liver and travel to the oocyte in the ovary, where they are made into yolk (vitellogenesis).
- Principle stored nutrients provides primary nutrient for the embryo.
- Produces water and energy, allowing survival in arid environments.

- Thick and viscous
- Contains maternal antibodies, primarily IgG.

#### Albumen

- Less dense than yolk
- Composed mainly of water and protein.
- Buffers the embryo from sudden temperature changes.
- It serves as a shock-absorber to protect the embryo.
- A thin layer encloses the yolk membranes and suspends the yolk in the center of the egg by twisted strands called Chalazae.

#### **Germinal Disc**

- Small, circular, white spot on the surface of the yolk.
- It contains cytoplasm and the oocyte

#### **Embryonic Membranes**

Within the egg, three extra embryonic membranes support the life and growth of the embryo:

#### Amnion

- Surrounds only the embryo
- An inner layer of cells secretes amniotic fluid in which the embryo floats.
- The fluid keeps the embryo from drying out and provides protection.

#### Chorion

- It surrounds all embryonic structures and serves as a protective membrane (chorioallantoic membrane).
- Also important for:
  - $\circ$  Transpiration
  - Metabolism
  - Waste collection
  - Calcium transfers from the shell to the embryo.

#### Allantois (or allantoic sac)

- 1. Grows larger as an embryo grows
- 2. It fuses with the chorion and is called the chorio-allantoic membrane.
- 3. Works together with chorion to permit respiration (exchange of oxygen and carbon dioxide) and excretion.
- 4. Important in the storage of nitrogenous wastes (uric acid).

#### 2.2 Nutrient composition of eggs:

Egg proteins are distributed similarly between the egg white (a) and egg yolk (b), whereas lipids, vitamins, and minerals are basically concentrated in egg yolk (Figure 2.2). Water constitutes the central part of egg (Figure 2.2) and, notably, the egg is devoid of fiber. The relative substance of egg minerals, vitamins, or specific fatty acids may change from one national reference to another but remains all-inclusive comparable when considering significant constituents such as water, proteins, lipids, and carbohydrates. In a whole, raw, and freshly laid egg, water, protein, fat, carbohydrates, and ash represent almost 76.1%, 12.6%, 9.5%, 0.7%, and 1.1%, respectively. (USDA National Nutrient Database, 2018)





Retrieved on 01/11/2019 from the Ciqual homepage https://ciqual.anses.fr/ (French Agency of food environmental and Occupational Health & Safety. ANSES-CIQUAL).

#### 2.2.1 Macronutrients

#### 2.2.1.1 Proteins

Egg white and egg yolk are highly concentrated in proteins. Egg yolk has a hepatic origin essentially, while the egg white is synthesized and secreted after ovulation of the mature yolk in the hen's oviduct (Nys *et al.*, 2011).

The concentration of proteins is, on average, 12.5 g per 100 g of entirety raw fresh egg, whereas egg yolk with its vitelline layer and egg white contain 15.9 g protein and 10.90 g protein per 100 g, respectively. These values are marginally altered by hen genetics and age. About 1000 different proteins have been identified in the chicken egg, counting the eggshell (Mann, 2007) (Gautron *et al.*, 2007).

The yolk may be a complex milieu containing 68% low-density lipoproteins (LDL), 16% high-density lipoproteins (HDLs), 10% livetins and other soluble proteins, and 4% B, apovitellenin-1, vitellogenins, phosvitins. Apolipoprotein serum albumin, immunoglobulins, ovalbumin, and ovotransferrin are the most abundant proteins of egg yolk, representing more than 80% of add up to egg-yolk proteins (Rehault et al., 2018). The volk is firmly associated with the vitelline membranes, which comprise two particular layers (Bellairs et al., 1963) that frame an extracellular protein matrix embracing the yolk. The egg white may be a gel-like structure that lacks lipids and is composed of basically water (about 88%) (Bellairs et al., 1963) (Figure 2.2), fibrous structural proteins (ovomucins), glycoproteins (ovalbumin, protease inhibitors), antibacterial proteins (lysozyme), and peptides (Mann, 2008) (Back et al., 1982). The average volume of egg white is evaluated to be 30 mL (for an egg weighting 60 g, eggshell included), and Nutrients 2019, 11, 684 4 of 26 protein concentration is almost 110 mg/mL of egg white. In total, 150 particular proteins have been identified in egg white (Gautron et al., 2007).

Egg white is concentrated in antibacterial lysozyme that's right now utilized as an antiinfectious operator in many pharmaceuticals and as a food preservative. The viscous aspect of egg white is essentially due to ovomucin (Howthrone, 1950). Remarkably, egg white is additionally characterized by four highly abundant protease inhibitors (Saxena, 1997) that will delay the absorption of egg components, mainly when egg white is utilized as a raw ingredient in a few food preparations.

#### 2.2.1.2 Lipids

The overall lipid substance is generally stable within the egg ranging from 8.7 to 11.2 per 100 g of whole egg when considering different EU countries and USA egg composition tables (Seuss-Baum and Nau, 2011). These lipids are only concentrated within the egg yolk (Figure 2.2) and a small portion may stay firmly associated with vitelline films (Trziska *et al.*, 1982) (Shinn *et al.*, 2016). Lipids are a portion of yolk lipoproteins whose structure comprises of a core of triglycerides and cholesteryl esters, encompassed by a monolayer of phospholipids and cholesterol in which apoproteins are inserted (Anton *et al.*, 1997). An increase of fat in eggs basically depends on the increase of yolk-to-egg-white proportion. The relative sum of unsaturated (monounsaturated + polyunsaturated) to immersed fatty acids in yolk (5.31 g versus 2.64 g per 100g of whole egg, Table 2.1) is highly compared to other animal-derived food sources. The amount of cholesterol in eggs is 400mg per 100gm of whole egg.

|                    | Egg whole, Raw |       |       | Egg yolk, Raw |       |       |
|--------------------|----------------|-------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|
| Name               | Average        | Min   | Max   | Average       | Min   | Max   |
|                    | Content        | Value | Value | Content       | Value | Value |
| FA saturated       | 2.64           | 0.05  | 3.13  | 8.47          | 7.13  | 9.55  |
| FA 4:0             | < 0.05         | 0     | -     | 0             | -     | -     |
| FA 6:0             | < 0.05         | 0     | -     | 0             | -     | -     |
| FA 8:0             | < 0.05         | 0     | -     | 0.009         | -     | -     |
| FA 10:0            | < 0.05         | 0     | -     | 0.009         | -     | -     |
| FA 12:0            | < 0.05         | 0     | -     | 0.009         | -     | -     |
| FA 14:0            | 0.024          | 0     | 0.038 | 0.091         | 0.077 | 0.1   |
| FA 16:0            | 1.96           | 0.05  | 2.43  | 6.04          | 5.03  | 6.86  |
| FA 18:0            | 0.65           | 0.05  | 0.89  | 1.73          | -     | 2.42  |
| FA monounsaturated | 3.66           | 0.05  | 6.73  | 11.9          | 10.2  | 13.8  |
| FA 18:1 n-9 cis    | 3.51           | 3.03  | 3.65  | 10.4          | 9.69  | 11.2  |
| FA polyunsaturated | 1.69           | 0.05  | 3.39  | 4.07          | 3.33  | 4.66  |

| FA 18:2 9c, 12c (n-6) | 1.38  | 1.18  | 2.7   | 3.28  | -    | 3.62  |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| FA 18:3 9c,12c,15c    | 0.061 | 0.02  | 0.58  | 0.15  | -    | 0.27  |
| (n-3)                 |       |       |       |       |      |       |
| FA 20:4               | 0.12  | -     | 0.13  | 0.37  | -    | 0.4   |
| 5c,8c,11c,14c (n-6)   |       |       |       |       |      |       |
| FA 20:5               | 0     | -     | 0.003 | 0.01  | -    | 0.011 |
| 5c,8c,11c,14c,17c (n- |       |       |       |       |      |       |
| 3) EPZ                |       |       |       |       |      |       |
| FA 22:6               | 0.09  | 0.045 | 0.18  | 0.25  | 0.11 | 0.46  |
| 4c,7c,10c,13c,16c,19c |       |       |       |       |      |       |
| (n-3) DHA             |       |       |       |       |      |       |
| Cholesterol           | 0.398 | 0.344 | 0.423 | 0.939 | -    | 1.280 |

#### Table 2. 1 Egg lipids

Retrieved on 01/17/2019 from the Ciqual homepage https://ciqual.anses.fr/ (French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety.

#### 2.2.1.3 Carbohydrates

Egg does not contain any fibers, and its content in carbohydrates is low (0.7%). Egg carbohydrates are distributed between egg yolk and egg white (Figure 2). Glucose is the dominant free sugar in the egg (about 0.37 g per 100 g of whole egg) and is essentially present in egg white (0.34 g per 100 g of egg white versus 0.18 g per 100 g of egg yolk) (USDA National Nutrient Database for standard, 2018). Trace amounts of fructose, lactose, maltose and galactose have been detected in raw egg white and raw egg yolk (USDA National Nutrient Database for standard, 2018).

#### 2.2.2 Micronutrients

#### 2.2.2.1 Vitamins and choline

Egg yolk is a vitamin-rich food that contains all vitamins except vitamin C (ascorbic acid). The absence of vitamin C in the egg may result from the fact that birds can satisfy their vitamin C requirements by de novo synthesis from glucose. (Chatterjee *et al.*, 1973)

The egg yolk contains a high amount of vitamin A, D, E, K, B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>5</sub>, B<sub>6</sub>, B<sub>9</sub>, and B<sub>12</sub>, while egg white possesses high amounts of vitamins B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>3</sub>, and B<sub>5</sub> but also significant

amounts of vitamins  $B_1$ ,  $B_6$ ,  $B_8$ ,  $B_9$ , and  $B_{12}$  (Table 2.2). Eating two eggs per day covers 10% to 30% of the vitamin requirements for humans. Eggs represent a major source of choline, which is essentially concentrated in the yolk (680 mg/100 g in the egg yolk versus 1 mg/100 g in the egg white) (USDA National Nutrient Database for standard, 2018). It has essential and diverse functions in cellular maintenance and growth across all life stages. It plays some roles in neurotransmission, brain development, and bone integrity (Wiedeman *et al.*, 2018)

| Name                                     | Egg whole,<br>Raw      | Egg yolk,<br>Raw       | Egg white,<br>Raw    |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Vitamin A or Retinol                     | 160                    | 371                    | 0                    |
| Vitamin A precursor or Beta-<br>carotene | 0                      | 88                     | 0                    |
| Vitamin D or Cholecalciferol             | 2.0                    | 5.4                    | 0                    |
| Vitamin E or Alpha-tocopherol            | 1050                   | 2580                   | 0                    |
| Vitamin K or Phylloquinone               | 0.3                    | 0.7                    | 0                    |
| Vitamin C                                | 0                      | 0                      | 0                    |
| Vitamin B <sub>1</sub> or Thiamin        | 40                     | 176                    | 4                    |
| Vitamin B2 or Riboflavin                 | 457                    | 528                    | 439                  |
| Vitamin B <sub>3</sub> or Niacin         | 75                     | 24                     | 105                  |
| Vitamin B5 or Pantothenic acid           | 1533                   | 2990                   | 190                  |
| Vitamin B <sub>6</sub>                   | 170                    | 350                    | 5                    |
| Vitamin B <sub>8</sub> or Biotin         | 16.5–53.8 <sup>2</sup> | 27.2–49.4 <sup>2</sup> | 5.7–7.9 <sup>2</sup> |
| Vitamin B9 or Folate                     | 47                     | 146                    | 4                    |
| Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> or Cobalamin     | 0.89                   | 1.95                   | 0.09                 |

#### Table 2. 2 Egg vitamins

Retrieved on 01/17/2019 from Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service (2014). USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 27. http://www.ars.usda.gov/ba/bhnrc/ndl 2

#### **2.2.2.2 Minerals and Trace Elements**

Egg is rich in phosphorus, calcium, potassium and contains moderate sodium (142 mg per 100 g of whole egg) (Table 2.3). It also includes all essential trace elements including copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, selenium, and zinc (Table 2.3), with egg yolk being the major contributor to iron and zinc supply. The presence of such minerals and micronutrients in an egg is quite interesting as a deficiency in some of these (Zn, Mg, and Se) has been associated with depression and fatigue (Wang *et al.*, 2018)

| Name       | Egg whole, Raw | Egg yolk, Raw | Egg white, Raw |
|------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Calcium    | 56             | 129           | 7              |
| Copper     | 0.072          | 0.077         | 0.023          |
| Iodine     | 0.021          | 0.18          | 0.002          |
| Iron       | 1.75           | 2.73          | 0.08           |
| Magnesium  | 12             | 5             | 11             |
| Manganese  | 0.028          | 0.055         | 0.011          |
| Phosphorus | 198            | 390           | 15             |
| Potassium  | 138            | 109           | 163            |
| Selenium   | 0.030          | 0.056         | 0.02           |
| Sodium     | 142            | 48            | 166            |
| Zinc       | 1.29           | 2.30          | 0.03           |

Table 2. 3 Egg minerals and trace elements (average content; mg/100g)

Retrieved on 01/17/2019 from Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service (2014). USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 27 http://www.ars.usda.gov/ba/bhnrc/ndl and from the Ciqual homepage https://ciqual.anses.fr/ (French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety. ANSES-CIQUAL) for iodine content.

#### **2.3 Antinutritional Factors**

Major proteins of the egg include protease inhibitors that may delay the proper degradation of egg proteins by inhibiting digestive enzymes, including pepsin, trypsin, and chymotrypsin. Indeed, egg white is a significant source of ovostatin, ovomucoid, ovoinhibitor, and cystatin (Back *et al.*, 1982). Moreover, some of these molecules (ovoinhibitor, ovomucoid, cystatin) possess many disulfide bonds that are likely to confer

moderate resistance to denaturation by proteases and gastric juices. Some of these antinutritional factors may be partly denatured by heat (Evenepoel *et al.*, 1998) during the process of cooking, thus facilitating protein access to digestive proteases.

#### 2.4 Egg Nutraceuticals

The egg is not solely a primary food of high nutritional value but it also contains many bioactive compounds (lipids, vitamins, proteins, and derived hydrolytic peptides) (Kovacs-Nolan *et al.*, 2005) of significant interest for human health. Egg proteins display a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activities that could contribute to intestine health. Many efforts have been made in the last decades to characterize further biological activities of egg-derived hydrolytic peptides that may naturally occur during the digestive process (Evenepoel *et al.*, 1998). Interestingly, some of these bioactive peptides are specifically generated after limited proteolysis of denatured egg proteins (Vilcacundo *et al.*, 2018), after boiling.

#### **2.5 Antimicrobials**

Egg antimicrobials in edible parts are essentially concentrated in egg white and the vitelline membrane. Depending on the protein considered, these antimicrobials may exhibit antibacterial, antiviral, antifungal, or antiparasitic activities. Their antibacterial effect relies on several bactericidal or bacteriostatic mechanisms.

#### 2.6 Antioxidant Activities

Long-term oxidative stress in the gastrointestinal tract can lead to chronic intestinal disorders. There is increasing interest in investigating the potential of food-derived antioxidants, including egg antioxidants, in intestinal health. Chicken egg contains many antioxidant compounds that encompass vitamins, carotenoids, minerals, and trace elements but also major egg-white proteins (Abeyrathne *et al.*, 2018) such as ovotransferrin, in its native form or as hydrolytic peptides (Abeyrathne *et al.*, 2018), ovomucoid and ovomucoid hydrolysates (Chang *et al.*, 2013), ovomucin hydrolysates and derived peptides (Chang *et al.*, 2013). The authors

concluded that supplementation of the diet with egg yolk-proteins might be a novel strategy to reduce intestinal oxidative stress (Young *et al.*, 2010).

#### 2.7 Anti-Cancerous Molecules

Food-derived proteins and peptides can also be beneficial to prevent and to cure cancer diseases (Hernandez-Ledesma, 2017). The anticancerous effect of egg tripeptides (Liao *et al.*, 2019) and hydrolytic peptides from ovotransferrin (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2009) have also been published. Information in this field is relatively scarce, but it may be worth investigating such activities. Some interesting data may arise from studies on egg protease inhibitors (Saxena *et al.*, 1997) since similar molecules existing in other food product, including legumes like pea, have been described as potential colorectal chemopreventive agents (Clement *et al.*, 2014).

#### 2.8 Immunomodulatory Activities

Several egg proteins have potential immunomodulatory activities. Among these, egg-white lysozyme is a promising agent for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. The biological significance of the possible immunomodulatory activity of egg white pleiotrophin in human intestine remains very speculative. In contrast, some valuable immunomodulatory activities might emerge from ovotransferrin and egg yolk vitellogenin hydrolysates (Liu *et al.*, 2017) after partial degradation by digestive proteases.

#### 2.9 Antihypertensive Activities

Considering the prevalence and importance of hypertension worldwide (over 1.2 billion individuals) (Kearney *et al.*, 2004), there is increasing ongoing research to find ways to regulate this multifactorial disease. At the population level, the most essential factors of long-term blood pressure control are sodium and potassium intake and the importance of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system. Most egg-derived peptides with anti-hypertensive activities exhibit inhibitory activities against the angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE). This enzyme triggers the processing and activation of angiotensin I into the active vasoconstrictor angiotensin II. Some of these peptides contain only three amino acids (Liao *et al.*, 2016). Some of these tripeptides were demonstrated to be active in vivo—

the oral administration of these peptides that have been administrated orally in hypertensive rats contributed to significantly reducing blood pressure (Majumder *et al.*, 2015) and, thus, may help in diminishing the occurrence of cardiovascular diseases (Liao *et al.*, 2016).

Variability in egg weight (10.8%) among different sources can affect different parts of eggs and quality of eggs as well as the consumer desire for eggs as consumer typically prefers larger eggs (USDA, 2000).

Differences in egg weight have been linked to hen age and stain (Zita et al., 2009), dietary protein/amino acids, energy, and fat/fatty acids, housing density and condition (cages vs. floor), health status, environmental stress, and feed intake (Attia et al., 1994).

Variation in egg quality and nutritional value has a substantial impact on consumer health; welfare and a variety of other factors can influence egg quality. The breed and strain of layers (Kucukyilmaz *et al.*, 2012), dietary composition (Calislar and Kirik, 2009); birds' health, environmental condition and storage, processing and handling of eggs (Ryu *et al.*, 2011; Khan *et al.*, 2013) are some factors which involves in egg quality and nutritional factors.

Genotype (Kucukyilmaz et al., 2012), the amount and type of dietary fats (Rezaei et al., 2008), and husbandry practice influence on egg lipids (Kucukyilmaz et al., 2012).

Minerals included in eggs, such as calcium, phosphorus, copper, iron, manganese, and zinc, are among the most important and basic microelements required for human and chicken nutrition. As a result, they are frequently added to the poultry diet (Hashish et al., 2012).

The mineral content of eggs has significant health implications, and there is increasing interest in supplementing eggs with minerals such as selenium, iron, chromium, and zinc for human health benefits (Attia et al., 2010). Furthermore, mineral concentrations in eggs and eggshells vary greatly depending on the dose and form of the element, as well as other factors such as physiological reasons, husbandry practice, geographic location (Hashish et al., 2012), and supplemented feed additives (Giannenas et al., 2009)

#### 2.10 Conclusion

Egg quality is affected by a vast extend of variables in expansion to bird strain and nutritional status. It is significant for keeping a high rate of eggs to get how the hens' physiology impacts egg quality in favour of positive characteristics while restricting the more negative aspects. Bird age is the primary factor, and a tremendous effort has already gone into the selection to limit age-related impacts on egg weight, egg whites quality, and shell quality.

#### **Chapter III: Materials and Methods**

The experiment was conducted at the Biochemistry Laboratory of Physiology, Pharmacology and Biochemistry Department, Food Processing and Engineering Department and Poultry Research & Training Centre of Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (CVASU), Chattogram, Bangladesh. It has been completed during December 2020 to August 2021 to manufacture quality egg powder and also to determine nutrient contents of it. The egg was collected from local markets of Chattogram.

#### 3.1 Egg collection and sample preparation

#### 3.1.1 Collection of eggs

100 eggs were randomly collected of two types (white and brown) of chicken egg from Zaotola kitchen market, Chattogram City, in December 2020. An equal number of egg samples of brown egg (50) and white egg (50) were purchased. Then eggs were carefully carried from the local market to the Biochemistry lab of CVASU.

#### 3.1.2 Samples preparation for nutritional composition analysis

The collected eggs were cleaned with clothes for further processing. Then whole weight of egg was measured. The eggs were washed by water spray and dried at room temperature to remove surface moisture. The eggs were carefully broken and separated egg yolk and egg albumen and egg liquid were inspected visually for any spoilage. To optimize the drying time, the egg liquid foam was dried using a cabinet drier at 60°C. After drying, the samples were blended and sieved to get fine egg yolk and egg albumen powder. The process of egg powder preparation is summarized in flow chart as follow:



Figure 3. 1: Schematic diagram of egg powder production

#### 3.2 Chemical analysis of egg powder

Egg samples were analyzed for Moisture content (MC), Ash content (AC), Proteins, Fats, Crude fibre and total Carbohydrates. All determinations were done in triplicate and the result was expressed as the average value.

#### **3.2.1 Determination of moisture content**

Apparatus: Crucible, hot air oven, desiccator, weighing balance

**Procedure:** Moisture is always present in food staffs. Estimation of moisture is done simply by heating at 104-105°C for 3-4 hours in the oven and is cooled in a desiccator to absorb moisture. The process is repeated for several times until the constant weight shows by the sample.

Calculation: The percent of moisture was calculated as follows:

Moisture 
$$\% = \frac{\text{Initial weight} - \text{Final weight}}{\text{sample weight}} \times 100$$

#### 3.2.2 Determination of ash content

Apparatus: Porcelain, gas burner, muffle furnace

**Procedure:** The ash fraction contains all the mineral elements. This method performs oxidization of all organic matter by incineration and determines the weight of remaining ash.

At first weighed the empty crucible. About 5 gm of dried samples was ignited in the crucible with the help of a suitable burner for about an hour. Completed the ignition by keeping in a muffle furnace at 550-600°C for about 3 hours until grey color ash was obtained. Then the crucible was taken from muffle furnace and cooled in desiccators. Later on the crucible was weighted.

**Calculation:** The ash content was calculated by the following expression:

Ash % of Sample = 
$$\frac{\text{Amount of ash of supplied sample}}{\text{Sample wt}} \times 100$$

#### 3.2.3 Protein determination

Apparatus: Kjeldahl flask, Condenser, Kjeldahl digestion unit

#### **Reagent required**

- 1. Concentrated Sulphuric acid (0.2%)
- 2. Digestion mixture (K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>& CuSO<sub>4</sub>)
- 3. Boric acid solution
- 4. Alkali solution.
- 5. Mixed indicator solution
- 6. Standard HCl (0.2 N)

**Procedure:** The estimation of nitrogen is done by Kjeldhal method. The protein content of food stuff was obtained by estimating the nitrogen content of the material and multiplying the nitrogen factor by 6.25.

#### Digestion

The digestion step was done to break down the intricate structure and chemical bonds of feed substance to simple ionic structure. In digestion procedure, proteins and other forms of nitrogen were broken down and converted to ammonia.

0.3g sample was weighed accurately. Then 4g digestion mixture was added. Further 5ml of conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was added to the mixture. After that the digestion flask was placed on Kjeldahl digestion set. After digestion removed the flask from the chamber and cooled at room temperature.

#### Distillation

In Distillation steps, ammonia-nitrogen was separated from the digested end product. It involved the conversion of ammonium  $(NH_4^+)$  ion to ammonia  $(NH_3)$ . Distilling the ammonia, nitrogen was separated, and collected the distillate in a suitable trapping medium. Collection of ammonia is usually done by absorption into a solution of 2% boric acid. The ammonia is bound to the boric acid in the form of ammonia borate.

At first 25 ml distilled water was added. Then content was transferred to distillation flask. After that 10 ml 40% NaOH solution was added and set the condenser10 ml 2% Boric acid solution and mixed indicator were added in conical flask. Heat the distillation flask and continue up to collection of app. 100ml of distillate.

#### Titration

Determination of the amount of nitrogen on the condensate flask can be accomplished by several methods. The most common method is titration of the ammonia with a standard solution of N/10 HCl in the presence of mixed indicator.

The receiving solution was titrated with 0.2N HCl solution until turn into grey color. The percentage of crude protein calculated by following formula:

Calculation: Percentage of nitrogen and protein calculated by the following equation:

Protein % =  $\frac{\text{Titration value} \times \text{Normality of HCl} \times 0.014}{\text{Sample wt}} \times 6.25 \times 100$ 

#### 3.2.4 Crude fat determination

Apparatus: Soxhlet apparatus, Thimble

**Principle:** Fat was determined by dissolving the sample into organic solvents (chloroform, methanol) separating the filtrate by filtration. The filtrate was placed in separating funnels and then separated mixture was dried to measure the extract and the percentage of fat was estimated.

**Procedure:** The dried sample was taken in a thimble and plugged the top of the thimble with a wood of fat free cotton. The thimble was dropped into the fat extraction tube attached to a Soxhlet apparatus. The anhydrous petroleum ether was poured through the sample in the tube into the flask. Top of the fat extraction tube was attached to the condenser. The sample was extracted for 16 hours or longer on a water bath at 70-80°C.

At the end of the extraction period, the thimble from the apparatus was removed and distilled of the petroleum ether by allowing it or collected in Soxhlet tube. The ether was poured off when the tube was nearly full. When the ether was reached a small volume, it was poured into a small, dry (previously weighed) beaker through a small funnel containing plug cotton. The flask was rinsed and filtered thoroughly using ether. The ether was evaporated on a steam bath at low heat, it was then dried at 100°C for 1 hour, cooled and weighed. The difference in the weights was the ether-soluble material present in the sample.

Calculation: The percent of crude fat was expressed as follows:

Fat % of sample =  $\frac{Wt \text{ of extract}}{Sample \text{ wt}} \times 100$ 

#### 3.2.5 Crude Fiber Determination

Apparatus: Liebig condenser, Reflux condenser, Gooch crucible

#### **Reagent required:**

- 1. 0.255N sulphuric acid solution
- 2. 10.0% Potassium sulphate solution;
- **3.** Asbestos- Gooch grade.

**Procedure:** Crude fiber is the water insoluble fraction of carbohydrate consists mainly of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. It was estimated through digestion of fat free known amount of food sample by boiling it in a weak solution of acid (1.25% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) for 30 minutes followed by boiling in weak solution of alkali (1.25% NaOH) for 30 minutes at constant volume and then deducting ash from the residue obtained.

About 2-5ml of moisture and fat free sample were weighed into 500 ml beaker and 200 ml of boiling 0.255 N (1.25%w/v) sulfuric acid is added .the mixture was boiled for 30 minutes keeping the volume constant by the addition of water at frequent intervals. At the end of this period, the mixture was filtered through a muslin cloth and the residue washed with hot water till free from acid. The material was then transferred to the same beaker and 200ml of boiling 0.313 N (1.25%) NAOH added. After boiling for 30 min, the mixture was filtered through muslin cloth. The residue was washed with hot water till free from alkaline followed by washing with some alcohol and other. It was then transferred to a crucible, dried overnight at 300-1000°C and weighed. The crucible is heated in a muffle furnace at 6000°C for 2-3 hrs. Cooled and weighed again. The difference in the weight represents the weight of crude fiber.

Calculation: The loss in weight represents crude fiber

Crude fiber  $\% = \frac{\text{Weight of residue with crucible-Weight of ash with crucible}}{\text{Sample weight}} \times 100$ 

#### 3.2.6 Determination of total carbohydrates

The carbohydrate content was determined by calculating the difference of Nitrogen Free Extractive (NFE). It was given as the difference between 100 and a sum total of the other proximate components. The formula bellow:

% CHO = 100% - % (Protein + Fat + Fibre + Ash + Moisture content).

#### **3.3 Determination of mineral contents**

This method involves the extraction of minerals from the organic food matrix by digestion through wet digestion. The mineral contents in the digested compounds was determined by spectrophotometer (Humalyzer 3000<sup>®</sup>).

Apparatus: Beaker, Measuring pipets, Volumetric flask, Analytical balance, Heating mantle or hot plate, Filter paper, Whatman® No. 541

Required reagent: Nitric acid and Perchloric acid

**Procedure:** One (01) g of dry sample was weighted in a conical flask. For dried samples, 7.5 ml conc. HNO<sub>3</sub>, and 2.5 ml conc. HClO<sub>4</sub> in the ratio of 2:1 was prepared. For wet sample, 5 ml HNO<sub>3</sub> and 1 ml HClO<sub>4</sub> was added (HNO<sub>3</sub>: HClO<sub>4</sub> = 5:1). Then the flask was placed in a hot plate at 200W for 1-2 hours until full digestion. After digestion, it was cooled at room temperature. Then transferred the digested samples into 100 ml volumetric flask and diluted up to 100 mark with Deionized water and mixed well. Later, the solution was filtered through Whatman® filter paper No. 1 and transfer to Eppendorf Tube for mineral quantification.





Figure 3. 2: Mineral determination

#### **3.3.1 Determination of Sodium (Na<sup>+</sup>)**

Sodium is precipitated as a triple salt with magnesium and Uranyl acetate. The excess of uranyl ions are reacted with Ferro cyanide in an acidic medium to develop a brownish color. The intensity of the color produced is inversely proportional to sodium concentration in the sample.

#### **Procedure:**

#### Table 3.1: Sodium (Na<sup>+</sup>) determination

| Pipette into cuvette |  |   |
|----------------------|--|---|
| Blank                | Standard                                 |   |
| 1.0 ml               | 1.0 ml                                   |   |
|                      |  |   |
| 20 µl                | -  |   |
| -                    | 20 µl                                    |   |
|                      | Pipette<br>Blank<br>1.0 ml<br>20 μl<br>- | Pipette into cuvette        Blank      Standard        1.0 ml      1.0 ml        20 μl      -        -      20 μl |

Mix well and let stand at R.T. for 5 mins. With shaking well intermittently. Centrifuge at 2500 to 3000 RPM to obtain a clear supernatant.

|                           |        | Pipette into cuvette |        |  |
|---------------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|--|
|                           | Blank  | Standard             | Sample |  |
| Acid Reagent(L2)          | 1.0 ml | 1.0 ml               | 1.0 ml |  |
| Supernatant from step 1.  | -      | 20 µl                | 20 µl  |  |
| Precipitating Reagent(L1) | 20 µl  | -                    | -      |  |
| Color Reagent(L3)         | 100 µl | 100 µl               | 100 µl |  |

#### **Step 2: Color Development**

#### **Calculations:**

Sodium in mmol /  $L = \frac{(A)blank - (A)sample}{(A)blank - (A)standard} \times Standard conc. (mmol / L)$ 

#### 3.3.2 Determination of Chloride Ion (Cl<sup>-</sup>)

**Principle:** Chloride ions combine with free mercuric ions and release thiocyanate from mercuric thiocyanate. The thiocyanate released combines with the ferric ions to form a red
brown ferric thiocyanate complex. Intensity of the color formed is directly proportional to the amount of chloride present in the sample.

### **Procedure:**

#### Table 3.2: Chloride ion (Cl<sup>-</sup>) determination

|                 | Pipette into cuvette |          |        |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------|--------|
|                 | Blank                | Standard | Sample |
| Sample          | -                    | -        | 0.01ml |
| Deionized water | 0.01ml               | -        |        |
| Standard        | -                    | 0.01ml   | -      |
| Reagent         | 1.0ml                | 1.0ml    | 1.0ml  |

## **Calculation:**

Chloride in mmol / 
$$L = \frac{(A)\text{sample}}{(A)\text{standard}} \times \text{Standard conc. (mmol / L)}$$

## 3.3.3 Determination of Calcium (Ca<sup>++</sup>)

**Principle:** In an alkaline medium, calcium ions form a violet complex with O-Cresolphthalein complexone.

#### **Procedure:**

#### Table 3.3: Calcium (Ca<sup>++</sup>) determination

|                 | Pipette into cuvette    |                    |        |
|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------|
|                 | <b>Reagent blank SO</b> | <b>Standard SI</b> | Sample |
| Sample          | -                       | -                  | 25µl   |
| Distilled water | 25 µl                   | -                  |        |
| Standard        | -                       | 25 µl              | -      |
| Working Reagent | 1.0ml                   | 1.0ml              | 1.0ml  |

## **Calculation:**

Concentration in mg / dl = 
$$\frac{(A)sample}{(A)standard}$$
 × Standard conc. (mg/dl)

## 3.3.4 Determination of magnesium (Mg<sup>2+)</sup>

**Principle:** The method is based on the specific binding of calmagite, a metallochromic indicator and magnesium at alkaline pH with the resulting shift in the absorption

wavelength of the complex. The intensity of the chromophore formed is proportional to the concentration of magnesium in the sample.

#### **Procedure:**

#### Table 3.4: Magnesium (Mg<sup>2+</sup>) determination

|               | Pipette into cuvette |               |        |
|---------------|----------------------|---------------|--------|
|               | Blank                | CAL. Standard | Sample |
| Sample        | -                    | -             | 10µ1   |
| CAL. Standard | -                    | 10µL          | -      |
| R1. Reagent   | 1.0ml                | 1.0ml         | 1.0ml  |

#### **Calculation:**

Magnesium (mg /dl) =  $\frac{(A)\text{sample}}{(A)\text{standard}}$  × Standard conc. (mg/dl)

#### **3.3.5 Determination of phosphorus (P):**

**Principle:** Inorganic phosphate reacts with ammonium molybdate in the presence of sulfuric acid to form a phosphomolybdic complex which is measured at 340 nm.

#### **Procedure:**

#### Table 3.5: Phosphorus (P) determination

|               | Pipette into cuvette |               |        |
|---------------|----------------------|---------------|--------|
|               | Blank                | CAL. Standard | Sample |
| Sample        | -                    | -             | 10µl   |
| CAL. Standard | -                    | 10µL          | -      |
| R1. Reagent   | 1.0ml                | 1.0ml         | 1.0ml  |

#### **Calculation:**

Phosphorus concentration (mg / dl) =  $\frac{(A)\text{sample}}{(A) \text{ Standard}} \times \text{Standard conc. (mg/dl)}$ 

#### **3.3.6 Determination of Potassium (K<sup>+</sup>)**

**Principle**: Sodium tetraphenyl boron reacts with potassium to produce a fine turbidity of potassium tetraphenyl boron. The intensity of turbidity is directly proportional to the concentration of potassium in the sample.

#### **Procedure:**

|                        | Pipette into cuvette |          |        |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------|--------|
|                        | Blank                | Standard | Sample |
| Sample                 | -                    | -        | 0.02ml |
| Deionized water        | 0.02ml               | -        | -      |
| Standard               | -                    | 0.02ml   | -      |
| K <sup>+</sup> Reagent | 1.0ml                | 1.0ml    | 1.0ml  |

#### Table 3.6: Potassium (K<sup>+</sup>) determination

## **Calculation:**

Potassium in (mmol / L) =  $\frac{(A)\text{sample}}{(A)\text{standard}} \times \text{Standard conc.} (mmol / L)$ 

#### **3.3.7 Determination of Iron (Fe)**

**Principle:** The iron is dissociated from transferring-iron complex in weakly acid medium. Liberated iron is reduced into the bivalent form by means of ascorbic acid. Ferrous ions give with FerroZine a colored complex. The intensity of the color formed is proportional to the iron concentration in the sample.

#### **Procedure:**

#### Table 3.7: Iron (Fe) determination

|          | Pipette into cuvette |          |        |
|----------|----------------------|----------|--------|
| _        | Blank                | Standard | Sample |
| Sample   | -                    | -        | 200µl  |
| Standard | -                    | 200µl    | -      |
| Reagent  | 1.0ml                | 1.0ml    | 1.0ml  |

#### **Calculations:**

Iron in  $\mu g / dl = \frac{(A)\text{sample } -(A)\text{sample blank}}{(A)\text{standard}} \times \text{Standard conc. (mg/dl)}$ 

#### 3.3.8 Determination of Zinc (Zn)

**Principle:** Zinc in an alkaline medium reacts with Nitro-PAPS to form a purple coloured complex. Intensity of the complex formed is directly proportional to the amount of Zinc present in the sample.

#### **Procedure:**

## Table 3.8: Zinc (Zn) determination

|                 | Pipette into cuvette |          |        |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------|--------|
|                 | Blank                | Standard | Sample |
| Working Reagent | 1.0 ml               | 1.0 ml   | 1.0 ml |
| Distilled Water | 50 µl                | -        | -      |
| Zinc Standard   | -                    | 50 µl    | -      |
| Sample          | -                    | -        | 50 µl  |

## **Calculations:**

Zinc in  $\mu g/dl = \frac{Abs \text{ of Sample}}{Abs \text{ of standard}} \times Standard \text{ conc. } (\mu g/dl)$ 

## 3.3.9 Determination of Copper (Cu)

**Principle:** Copper, reacts with Di-Br-PAESA to from a colored complex. Intensity of the complex formed is directly proportional to the amount of Copper present in the sample.

#### **Procedure:**

## Table 3.9: Copper (Cu) determination

| Pipette into cuvette (Step-1) |       |        |        |  |  |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--|--|
| Blank Standard Sample         |       |        |        |  |  |
| Sample                        | -     | -      | 2.0 ml |  |  |
| Methanol                      | 10 ml | -      | -      |  |  |
| Standard                      | -     | 2.0 ml | -      |  |  |
| S1                            | -     | 2.0 ml | 2.0 ml |  |  |
| S2                            | -     | 2.0 ml | 2.0 ml |  |  |
| S3                            | -     | 4.0 ml | 4.0 ml |  |  |
| Final volume(S4)              | 10 ml | 10 ml  | 10 ml  |  |  |

## **Calculations:**

Copper in  $\mu g/dl = \frac{Abs \text{ of Sample}}{Abs \text{ of standard}} \times Standard \text{ conc. } (\mu g/dl)$ 

## **3.3.10** Determination of Manganese (Mn<sup>2+</sup>)

**Principle:** Manganese solution in sodium hydroxide when mixed with brucine followed by HCl produces pink color and the absorbance is measured at 480 nm. This color reaction has been developed for the colorimetric determination of manganese (Hashmi *et al.*, 1969).

#### **Procedure:**

## Table 3.10: Manganese (Mn<sup>2+)</sup> determination

|                 | Pipette into cuvette |          |        |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------|--------|
|                 | Blank                | Standard | Sample |
| Buffer Reagent  | 500 µl               | 500 µl   | 500 µl |
| (L1)            |                      |          |        |
| Colour          | 500 µl               | 500 µl   | 500 µl |
| Reagent(L2)     |                      |          |        |
| Distilled Water | 50 µl                | -        | -      |
| Copper Standard | -                    | 50 µl    | -      |
| Sample          | -                    | -        | 50 µ   |
|                 |                      |          |        |

Trade name: Manganese standard solution. Catalogue number: 119789. Manufacturer name: Merck KGaA ,Germany.

Shake, heat at 60-65°C for 2 mins in water bath until formation of orange yellow color and cool under tap water and take 5 ml standard and sample from S4 and blank will be untouch.

#### **Calculation:**

Manganese 
$$(\mu g/2ml) = \frac{Y}{0.0002}$$
  
Where,  $0.0002 =$  slope, Y=Absorbance

#### 3.3.11 Determination of Selenium (Se<sup>2-</sup>)

**Principle:** The reaction of selenium with potassium iodide in acidic medium liberate iodine. The liberated iodine bleaches the violet colour of azure B to colourless leucoform and the absorbance is measured at 620 nm (Narayana and Mathew, 2006).

## **Procedure:**

## Table 3.11: Selenium (Se<sup>2-</sup>) determination

| Pipette into cuvette |        |          |        |
|----------------------|--------|----------|--------|
|                      | Blank  | Standard | Sample |
| Sample               | -      | -        | 1.0 ml |
| Distilled water      | 1.0 ml | -        | -      |
| Standard             | -      | 1.0 ml   | -      |
| S1                   | 1.0 ml | 1.0 ml   | 1.0 ml |
| S2                   | 1.0 ml | 1.0 ml   | 1.0 ml |
| S3                   | 500 µl | 500 µl   | 500 μl |

Gently shaken each cuvette after adding S2 solution to yield yellow color. Again shaking well 2 mins after adding S3 solution. Centrifuge at 3000 RPM for 15 min to obtain a clear supernatant.

| Pipette into cuvette (Step- 2) |       |          |        |
|--------------------------------|-------|----------|--------|
|                                | Blank | Standard | Sample |
| S4                             | 10 ml | 5.0 ml   | 5.0 ml |
| Add S3                         | -     | 5.0 ml   | 5.0 ml |

Trade name: Sodium Biselenite. Batch number: M0M091209. Manufacturer name: Qualikems fine chem. Pvt Ltd.

## **Calculation:**

Selenium ( $\mu g/ml$ ) = 8.654x + 1.830

Where, 8.654= slope, 1.830= intercept, x= absorbance



Figure 5: Calibration curve for the determination of Manganese



Figure 6: Calibration curve for the determination of selenium

#### 3.4 Determination of Vitamin content

#### 3.4.1 Determination of Riboflavin (Vit B2)

**Principle:** After oxidizing interfering substances permanganate, riboflavin is extracted into acetic acid-pyridine-butanol mixture and measured calorimetrically (Morell and Slater, 1946).

#### **Procedure:**

| Table 3.12: Riboflavin | (Vit B2) | ) determination |
|------------------------|----------|-----------------|
|------------------------|----------|-----------------|

| Pipette into cuvette  |        |        |        |  |  |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|
| Blank Standard Sample |        |        |        |  |  |
| Sample                | -      | -      | 500 µl |  |  |
| Distilled water       | 500 µl | -      | -      |  |  |
| Standard              | -      | 500 µl | -      |  |  |
| S1                    | 500 µl | 500 µl | 500 µl |  |  |
| S2                    | 1 drop | 1 drop | 1 drop |  |  |
| S3                    | 2 drop | 2 drop | 2 drop |  |  |

Shake gently for 1 min. If the purple color is not destroyed within 10 sec, add a further drop of S3 and warm to 21°C.

| S4 | 1.5 ml | 1.5 ml | 1.5 ml |
|----|--------|--------|--------|
|    |        |        |        |

Shake vigorously up and down 25 times over 1 min and Stand to allow the layers to separate. Add a small amount of S5, rotate between the hands until the alcohol layer clears and Stand for a min or two for the layers to separate fully.

|--|

Absorbance read at 540 nm in colorimeter using excitation at 480 nm, zeroing against the blank. The alcohol extracts are stable for at least 2 hr. To get an improved riboflavin reading, expose the alcohol extract to strong ultraviolet light for 60 min after taking the initial reading. Then read the final absorbance at 540nm and the difference is due to riboflavin.

Trade name: Riboflavin standard. Manufacturer name: Koch-Light Laboratories Ltd.

#### **Calculation:**

$$Riboflavin (mg/l) = \frac{Difference of sample Abs}{Difference of standard Abs} \times Standard conc. (mg/l)$$

#### 3.4.2 Determination of retinol and carotene (Vit-A) using TFA

**Principle:** Proteins are precipitated with alcohol and retinol and carotenes extracted into light petroleum. After reading the intensity of the yellow colour due to carotenes, the light petroleum is evaporated and the residue dissolved in chloroform before carrying out the colour reaction. Allowance is made for the carotene contribution to the reaction (Bradley and Hornback, 1973). Retinol present in sample reacts with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). During the reaction of sample and TFA, a blue color is observed indicating the presence of retinol in the sample. The blue color is transient, so if the color develops, it must be observed within 2 seconds after adding the reagent (Makhumula *et al.*, 2007).

#### **Procedure:**

| Pipette into cuvette  |        |        |        |  |  |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|
| Blank Standard Sample |        |        |        |  |  |
| Sample                | -      | -      | 1.0 ml |  |  |
| S1                    | -      | -      | 2.0 ml |  |  |
| S2                    | 6.0 ml | -      | 3.0 ml |  |  |
| Standard              | -      | 6.0 ml | -      |  |  |
| Total volume          | 6.0 ml | 6.0 ml | 6.0 ml |  |  |
| (S3)                  |        |        |        |  |  |

#### Table 3.12: Carotene determination

Trade name: Beta carotene. Batch number: 9899207. Manufacturer name: Sisco research laboratories.

Mix well with a vortex mixer, mechanical shaking for 10 min, centrifuge the tubes for 10 min at 3000 RPM. Finally take 2 ml blank and standard and collect 2 ml supernatant from sample. Read the absorbance at 420 nm against the blank. Do this without delay to prevent solvent evaporation and destruction of carotenoids by light.

#### Table 3.13: Retinol determination

| Pipette into cuvette   |        |        |        |  |  |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--|--|
| Blank Standard Sample  |        |        |        |  |  |
| Sample   | -      | -      | 2.0 ml |  |  |
| (from S3)  |        |        |        |  |  |
| Evaporate the contents of the sample cuvette to dryness in a 50 °C water bath. |        |        |        |  |  |
| S4   | 100 µl | -      | 100 µl |  |  |
| Standard   | -      | 100 µl | -      |  |  |
| S5   | 1.0 ml | 1.0 ml | 1.0 ml |  |  |
| - 1  |        |        |        |  |  |

Trade name: Retinol acetate. Batch number: G05Y/1305/1006/62. Manufacturer name: S.D. fine- chem. Limited, Mumbai 400025.

Mix well with a vortex mixer. Recording the absorbance  $(A_{620})$  at exactly 2s after adding the reagent. Because S5 reagent is a strong acid with an irritant vapour.

#### **Calculation:**

Carotene (mg/l) = 
$$\frac{Y-0.0041}{0.0133}$$
  
Where, 0.0133= slope, 0.0041= intercept, Y= absorbance  
Retinol (mg/l) =  $\frac{Y-0.01}{0.00267}$   
Where, 0.00267= slope, 0.01= intercept, Y= absorbance

#### **3.4.3 Determination of tocopherol (Vit -E)**

**Principle:** Tocopherols can be measured by their reduction of ferric to ferrous ions which then form a red complex with  $\alpha, \alpha'$ - dipyridyl. Tocopherols is first extracted into xylene and the absorbance is read at 480 nm to measure the tocopherols. A correction for the tocopherols is made after adding ferric chloride and reading at 520 nm (Baker and Frank, 1968).

#### **Procedure:**

| Pipette into cuvette |        |          |        |  |
|----------------------|--------|----------|--------|--|
|                      | Blank  | Standard | Sample |  |
| Sample               | -      | -        | 1.5 ml |  |
| S2                   | 1.5 ml | -        | -      |  |
| Standard             | -      | 1.5 ml   | -      |  |
| S1                   | 1.5 ml | -        | 1.5 ml |  |
| S2                   | -      | 1.5 ml   | -      |  |
| S3                   | 1.5 ml | 1.5 ml   | 1.5 ml |  |
| Supernatant          | 1.0 ml | 1.0 ml   | 1.0 ml |  |
| S4                   | 1.0 ml | 1.0 ml   | 1.0 ml |  |
|                      |        |          |        |  |

#### Table 3.14: Tocopherol (Vit -E) determination

Trade name: DL-α-Tocopherol. Batch number: L225501707. Manufacturer name: Loba Chemical Pvt.Ltd.

Pipette 1.5ml of the mixture into colorimeter cuvettes and read the absorbance  $(A_{480})$  of the sample and standard against the blank at 480 nm. Then, in turn, beginning with the blank add 0.33 ml ferric chloride solution, mix, set the wavelength to 520 nm and 1.5 min after mixing read the absorbance  $(A_{520})$  of the sample and standard against the blank

#### **Calculation:**



Figure 7: Calibration curve for the determination of carotene



Figure 8: Calibration curve for the determination of retinol

## 3.5 Determination of lipid profile

## 3.5.1 Determination of Cholesterol

**Principle:** The cholesterol is determined after enzymatic hydrolysis and oxidation. The indicator quinoneimine is formed from hydrogen per oxide 4 aminophenazone in the presence of phenol and peroxidase.

## **Procedure:**

| Table 3.15: Ch | olesterol | determination |
|----------------|-----------|---------------|
|----------------|-----------|---------------|

| Pipette into cuvette |                      |          |        |  |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------|--------|--|
|                      | <b>Reagent Blank</b> | Standard | Sample |  |
| ddH <sub>2</sub> O   | 5µ1                  | -        | -      |  |
| Standard             | -                    | 5µl      | -      |  |
| Sample               | -                    | -        | 5µl    |  |
| Reagent              | 500µl                | 500µ1    | 500µl  |  |

## Calculation

Cholesterol in mg/dl=
$$\frac{Abs \text{ of Sample}}{Abs \text{ of standard}} \times Standard \text{ conc. (mg/dl)}$$

#### **3.5.2 Determination of Triglycerides**

**Principle:** The triglycerides are determine after enzymatic hydrolysis with lipases. The indicator is a quinoneimine formed from hydrogen per oxide 4 aminophenazone and 4 chlorophelol under the catalytic influence of peroxidase.

#### **Procedure:**

|  | <b>Table 3.16:</b> | Trigly | cerides | deter | mination |
|--|--------------------|--------|---------|-------|----------|
|--|--------------------|--------|---------|-------|----------|

| Pipette into cuvette          |       |         |         |  |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|---------|--|
| Reagent Blank Standard Sample |       |         |         |  |
| ddH <sub>2</sub> O            | -     | -       | -       |  |
| Standard                      | -     | 5µl     | -       |  |
| Sample                        | -     | -       | 5µl     |  |
| Reagent                       | 500µ1 | 500µl   | 500µl   |  |
|                               |       | · · · · | · · · · |  |

#### Calculation

Triglycerides in mg/dl= $\frac{Abs \text{ of Sample}}{Abs \text{ of standard}} \times Standard \text{ conc. (mg/dl)}$ 

#### 3.5.3 Determination of High Density Lipoprotein (HDL)

**Principle:** Low density lipoproteins and chylomicron fractions are precipitated quantitatively phosphotungstic acid in the presence of magnesium ions. After centrifugation, the cholesterol concentration in the HDL fraction, which remains in the supernatant, is determined.

## **Procedure:**

## Table 3.17: High Density Lipoprotein (HDL) determination

| Pipette into cuvette          |       |       |       |  |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Reagent Blank Standard Sample |       |       |       |  |
| ddH <sub>2</sub> O            | 50µl  | -     | -     |  |
| Standard                      | -     | 50µl  | -     |  |
| Sample                        | -     | -     | 50µ1  |  |
| Supernatant                   |       |       |       |  |
| CHOL                          | 500µl | 500µ1 | 500µ1 |  |
| Reagent                       | ·     |       | ·     |  |

#### Calculation

High Density Lipoprotein (HDL) in mg/dl= $\frac{Abs \text{ of Sample}}{Abs \text{ of standard}} \times Standard \text{ conc. (mg/dl)}$ 

## **Chapter IV: Results**

#### 4.1 Overall weight distribution of different types of chicken egg

Table 4.1 showed (Mean±SD) the overall weight distribution of different poultry such as commercial brown egg and white egg from chicken at Chattogram division. The whole egg (Raw) weight of brown egg found high weight  $(60.17\pm5.90)$  g than white egg ( $56.72\pm4.776$ ) g. In raw egg, the albumen content was found the highest in brown egg ( $37.53\pm6.041$ ) g whereas this was found the lowest in white egg ( $30.87\pm4.776$ ) g. On the other hand, the yolk and shell weight of white egg had the highest weight ( $20.5.5\pm.596$ ;  $5.37\pm1.639$ ) g among other types of eggs. In case of dried condition, the albumen content was found the highest in brown egg ( $5.13\pm1.272$ ) g. The highest weight of dried yolk was found in white egg ( $9.25\pm1.656$ ) g whereas in the brown egg was found the lowest ( $8.59\pm1.420$ ) g. The ratio of raw albumen to raw yolk and dry albumen to dry yolk was found the highest in white egg.

| Parameters         |         | White egg (N=46) | Brown egg (N=50) |         |
|--------------------|---------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| _                  | Min-Max | Mean ± SD        | Mean ± SD        | p-value |
| Raw (gm)           | 46-68   | 56.72±3.810      | 60.17±5.90       | 0.003   |
| Raw albumen (gm)   | 18-43   | 30.87±4.776      | 37.53±6.041      | 0.000   |
| Raw Yolk (gm)      | 17-32   | 20.5±2.890       | 17.53±.596       | 0.000   |
| Raw albumen :      |         | $1.54 \pm 0.344$ | 2.20±0.488       | 0.069   |
| Raw yolk           | -       |                  |                  |         |
| Dry albumen (gm)   | 3-9     | 5.17±1.312       | 5.13±1.272       | 0.993   |
| Dry Yolk (gm)      | 5-15    | 9.25±1.656       | 8.59±1.420       | 0.035   |
| Dry albumen : Dry  |         | 0.57±0.163       | 0.61±0.157       | 0.024   |
| yolk               | -       |                  |                  |         |
| Shell (gm)         | 3-10    | 5.37±1.639       | 5.28±1.598       | 0.865   |
| Raw Weight : Shell |         | 11.29±2.665      | 12.52±4.247      | 0.393   |
| Weight             | -       |                  |                  |         |

 Table 4.1: Comparative egg quality character of commercially available white and brown chicken egg

The mean difference is significant at 0.05 level

**Legends**: All values showed Mean±SD of data and expressed in gm. SD= Standard Deviation.

#### 4.2 Nutritional composition of white and brown chicken egg

| Parameters         | Albumen           |                  |         |  |  |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------|--|--|
| _                  | White egg (N=5)   | Brown egg (N=5)  |         |  |  |
| _                  | Mean ± SD         | Mean ± SD        | p-value |  |  |
| Crude protein      | 73.99±2.422       | 74.83±2.957      | 0.636   |  |  |
| Fat                | $0.22 \pm .048$   | .45±.162         | 0.030   |  |  |
| Ash                | 4.23±.120         | 4.27±.177        | 0.717   |  |  |
| Moisture           | $10.51 \pm .224$  | 11.32±.553       | 0.016   |  |  |
| Carbohydrates      | $11.05 \pm 2.620$ | 9.12±2.445       | 0.264   |  |  |
| Energy(Kcal/100gm) | 339.91±1.84       | $342.15 \pm .98$ | 0.044   |  |  |

Table 4.2: Proximate component of albumen between white and brown egg of commercial chicken per 100 gm

The table 4.2 shows the proximate component of Albumen between White and Brown Egg of commercial chicken. Fat percentage between white and brown egg differ significantly (P<0.5). Moisture percentage found higher significantly in white egg as compared to brown egg (P<0.5). Energy value found higher significantly in brown egg than white egg (P<0.5).

Table 4.3 Proximate component of yolk between white Egg and brown egg of commercial chicken per 100 gm

| Parameters         |                  |                  |         |  |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|--|
|                    | White egg (N=5)  | Brown egg (N=5)  | -       |  |
|                    | Mean ± SD        | Mean ± SD        | p-value |  |
| Crude protein      | 31.40±1.34       | 31.20±.837       | 0.784   |  |
| Fat                | 49.2±2.49        | 47.2±1.92        | 0.193   |  |
| Ash                | 3.44±.152        | 3.68±.217        | 0.077   |  |
| Moisture           | 6.60±3.053       | 7.45±1.207       | 0.583   |  |
| Carbohydrates      | $10.41 \pm .029$ | $10.41 \pm .123$ | 0.383   |  |
| Energy(Kcal/100gm) | 592.59±13.58     | 607.43±21.95     | 0.235   |  |

The Table 4.3 shows the proximate component of yolk between white egg and brown egg. There were no significant difference between white egg and brown egg in terms of crude protein, fat, ash, moisture, carbohydrate and energy value.

## 4.3 Mineral composition of different types of chicken egg

| Parameters | Types   | Na       | K        | Cl           | Ca         | Р        | Mg      | Zn          | Fe          | Mn         | Se        |
|------------|---------|----------|----------|--------------|------------|----------|---------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
|            | Brown   | 43±.032  | 240±.406 | 1013.87±1.23 | 611.8±2.09 | 290±.98  | 96±.523 | 4.506±11.22 | 4.654±14.50 | .058±1.310 | .145±.121 |
| Albumen    | White   | 47±1.032 | 204±.70  | 1510.17±1.96 | 477.8±2.65 | 228±.52  | 144±.33 | 4.39±3.902  | 3.706±8.51  | .0455±.51  | .142±.32  |
|            | P-value | .84      | .40      | .000         | .552       | .40      | .11     | .942        | .634        | .407       | 1.0       |
| Yolk       | Brown   | 161±.23  | 206±.75  | 1212.39±1.03 | 631±2.72   | 256±.73  | 92±.26  | 4.56±.097   | 4.23±1.97   | 0.182±0.03 | .131±1.54 |
|            | White   | 156±.94  | 254±1.49 | 1212.39±1.20 | 645±8.12   | 228±1.11 | 146±.32 | 4.02±4.45   | 4.49±.97    | 0.187±.32  | .129±1.2  |
|            | P-value | .343     | .539     | .000         | .003       | .913     | .019    | 0.03        | .666        | .003       | .035      |

Table 4.4: Mineral composition of different type of brown and white egg (mg/100g)

## The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level

Legends: All values showed ME±SD of data and expressed in percentage. ME= Mean, SD= Standard Deviation.

The mineral content of different chicken eggs is shown in the Table 4.4. In dried albumen part, potassium content showed significant difference between two types of eggs. Magnesium content found higher in white eggs, than brown eggs. However, the remaining mineral contents including sodium, calcium, zinc, manganese, selenium, phosphorus, potassium and iron were not differed significantly between them.

Contents of calcium, magnesium, chlorine, zinc, manganese, selenium was found significantly different between different types of dried egg yolk.

#### 4.4 Vitamin Contents in different white and brown egg yolk

| Types of egg | Vitamin A (IU) |             | Vitamin E (IU) | Riboflavin (mg) |  |
|--------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|--|
|              | Carotene       | Retinol     |                |                 |  |
| Brown Egg    | 971.09±0.73    | 606.06±1.92 | 8.11±.27       | 2.43±1.12       |  |
| (n=5)        |                |             |                |                 |  |
| White        | 962.36±2.74    | 639.54±1.63 | 8.16±1.72      | 2.52±1.29       |  |
| Egg(n=5)     |                |             |                |                 |  |
| P-Value      | .200           | .213        | .110           | .354            |  |

#### Table 4.5: Vitamin contents per 100 grams

#### The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level

**Legends**: All values showed Mean±SD of data and expressed in percentage. SD= Standard Deviation.

Above Table 4.5 showed the vitamin contents in brown and white egg yolk. Carotene is higher in brown egg than white egg while retinol is found higher in white egg. Vitamin E and riboflavin is higher in brown egg than white egg.

| Parameters                      | Yolk (m         |                 |         |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
|                                 | White egg (N=5) | Brown egg (N=5) | _       |
|                                 | Mean ± SD       | Mean ± SD       | p-value |
| Cholesterol, mg                 | 704.68±180.48   | 618.11±171.23   | 0.436   |
| Triglycerides, mg               | 7148.56±790.52  | 5813.25±449.15  | 0.006   |
| High density<br>lipoprotein, mg | 10.79±1.80      | 21.58±16.52     | 0.184   |

Table 4.6 Lipid profiles of Yolk between White Egg (n=5) and Brown Egg (n=5) of commercial chicken egg powder

The Table 4.6 shows lipid profiles of yolk between white egg and brown egg. There were no significant difference between white egg and brown egg in terms of cholesterol, and high density lipoprotein except triglycerides (P<0.05).

#### **Chapter V: Discussion**

The chicken eggs have been traditionally considered and important source of nutrients for human. The variability in the quality and nutritional values of eggs has a significant impact on consumer's health; simultaneously welfare and many other factors can affect the egg quality. There are some evidences for a genetic influence on the following chemical components of eggs or of factors that might directly affect their chemical composition: 1) relative proportion of yolk and albumen and percentage solids, 2) albumen quality, 3) qualitative protein polymorphism, 4) total protein content, 5) cholesterol, 6) vitamin A, thiamine, riboflavin, 7) fatty acids, 8) enzymes, and 9) deposition of metabolic products in the eggs In the current study, the average weights of eggs were found between 40-66 gm (Washburn, 1978). Considering two poultry species brown egg weight found higher compared to white egg.

In this study, in raw state of brown egg, the albumen content found 2.1 times higher than yolk whereas in dried condition the content of yolk nearly 1.6 times higher as compared to albumen and in raw state of white egg, the albumen content found 1.5 times higher than yolk whereas in dried condition the content of yolk nearly 1.7 times higher as compared to albumen. Differences in egg weight among various sources can affect the quality of eggs, and consumers desire as they usually prefer larger eggs (USDA, 2000). Moreover, egg weight could be varied due to age, strain of hens (Zita et al., 2009; Alsaffar, et al., 2013), diet variations, housing density, housing condition, health status, environmental stress and feed intake (Attia et al., 1994). A number of factors are associated with increasing yolk index with increasing egg weight, age of hens, storage time and poor storage condition. Increasing yolk index results from undesirable changes in interior egg quality due to increase permeability of vitelline membrane for water from albumen to yolk. Furthermore, the high food energy value (FEV) recorded particularly in egg yolk powder, which makes it particularly attractive for infant food formulae (Krause and Mahan, 1984; Vaclevik and Christian, 2008). On analysis of proximate components shows that the albumen portion contains higher moisture content in brown egg compared to white egg. White egg albumen had higher protein, carbohydrates and ash than Brown egg whereas fat content found higher in yolk than Brown egg. In the present study, the protein, fat, ash percentage found slightly lower in both albumen and yolk than the earlier study. Conversely, the moisture content found higher in this study in both parts of dried egg than the earlier study. These discrepancies are due to different types of chicken eggs, method and time duration of cabinet drying. Cabinet drying is suitable to keep the moisture contents are low enough to extended the shelf life of the egg powders in an environment of low humidity (Jay, 2000). Both egg white and egg yolk are highly concentrated in proteins. Hundreds of different proteins have been identified and are associated with specific physiological function to fulfill time-specific requirements during embryonic development. Egg yolk has essentially a hepatic origin, while white egg is synthesized and secreted after ovulation of mature yolk in the hen's oviduct (Mann, 2008).

In the current study, the overall mineral contents were higher in the egg yolk than the egg white. Both egg yolk and egg white contain iron at daily recommended level. The recommended daily requirement of iron for man is 6-40 mg/kg (Bolt and Bruggenwert, 1978). Moreover, the egg yolk contains more iron than the white, which is dissimilar with the previous study. The reason for this is that iron is present in ferric form and interacts with the phosvitin found in egg yolk. Additionally, the presence of eggs in the diet reduces the bioavailability of iron from other food sources. Combining eggs in the diet with enhancing factors such as vitamin C, citric acid, cysteine-containing peptides or ethanol results in significantly increased bioavailability of iron (Hallberg and Hulthen, 2000). The mineral contents are varied among different egg sources in mineral contents of eggs reflected the nutrition and health status of laying hens. For example, Ca, P, Zn, Mn, Mg, Fe, Cu and Na contents were affected by source of eggs (Rehault, 2019). The mineral of the whole edible parts of eggs was found to fulfill 3.2, 14.3, 4.3, 0.11, 1-1, 8.0, 3.0, 1.8, and 21% of the RDA for Ca, P, Zn, Mn, Mg, Fe, Ca, K, and Na, respectively (Attia, 2014). Differences in mineral contents of eggs could be attributed to dietary mineral contents and sources, husbandry systems (floor vs Cage) (Gianneas et. al., 2009), feed additives (Backenmann and Terners, 2008), organic and conventional rearing system and different geographical area.

The recommended dietary allowance value of calcium is 600-1400mg/kg (Bolt and Bruggenwert, 1978). The present study shows that both Calcium and Potassium high Brown egg powder albumen and white egg yolk powder. Moreover, magnesium content is higher in brown egg albumen and yolk than white egg albumen and yolk powder. Phosphorus content is high in yolk powder than albumen in white egg.

The Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) of selenium is 55 micrograms daily for adult men and women. Women who are pregnant and lactating need about 60 and 70 micrograms daily, respectively. In the present study selenium content is higher in brown egg albumen powder and yolk powder than white egg.

Manganese is involved in the formation of bone and in amino acid, lipid, and carbohydrate metabolism. The Adequate Intake (AI) for adult men and women is 2.3 and 1.8 mg/day, respectively. In this study, manganese content is higher in white egg yolk powder than brown egg.

We need little amount of vitamin in our everyday life. Egg is a good source of vitamins compared to other food products. Although the vitamin content between brown and white egg did not differ significantly, retinol content found higher in white egg while carotene in found higher in brown egg. Both vitamin E and B<sub>2</sub> or Riboflavin were found higher in white egg than brown egg. These variations could be due to differences in diet, genotype, housing pattern and age of chicken. The egg yolk contains high amount of vitamin A, D, E, K, B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>5</sub>, B<sub>6</sub>, B<sub>9</sub>, and B<sub>12</sub> while egg white possesses high amounts of vitamin B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>3</sub>, B<sub>5</sub> but also significant amounts of vitamins B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>6</sub>, B<sub>8</sub>, and B<sub>12</sub>.

Some authors have demonstrated that egg cholesterol was not well absorbed, that's why egg doesn't significantly impact blood cholesterol concentration (Kim et. al., 2018). In the study, both Cholesterol and triglycerides were found higher in white egg than brown egg and conversely high density lipoprotein (HDL) were found higher in brown egg.

We may suggest that egg albumen powder might be good choice for people who are suffered from protein deficiency whereas egg yolk powder could be regarded as good fat source. Eating two eggs per day covers 10% to 30% of the vitamin requirements for human. It is noteworthy that the content of liposoluble vitamins (Vitamin A, D, E, K) in egg yolk is highly dependent on the hen's diet (Rehault, 2019).

## **Chapter VI: Conclusion**

Egg is considered as complete food, which are excellent source of high-quality protein, lipids, vitamins and minerals. Egg powder is easy to handle, transport and showed excellent functional properties like foaming, firmness. From the investigations of the present work, it can be concluded that the brown egg weight was higher than white egg. There was significant difference in overall weight. Further, all egg powders differed in nutritional composition where brown egg albumen powder had the highest nutrient content compared to white egg albumen powder. Brown egg yolk had the highest protein compared to white egg. In case of ash (minerals) brown egg yolk powder showed higher content compared to white egg yolk powder.

## Chapter VII: Strength & Weakness

## 7.1 Strength of the study

- There is limited research on egg powder. In this study we produce egg powder separately (egg white and egg yolk powder) and evaluate their nutritional component.
- We used common chicken eggs which were available in the local market.
- Compared the nutritional comparison among three different types of chicken egg.

## 7.2 Weakness of the study

- Less fund
- The eggs could not collect from different location and different season
- Other parameters like amino acid, vitamin D and K were not done
- The other important properties of egg powder like foaming properties, gel properties, pH were not analyzed.
- The physical characteristic tests and the self-life of the samples were not analyzed.

## **Chapter VIII: Recommendations & future projection**

- The egg should be collected from different area, different species and in different seasons.
- The other parameter such as amino acid, D and K should be analyzed.
- The physical characteristics test and the shelf life of the egg powder should be analyzed.
- The other important properties of egg powder like foaming properties, gel properties, pH etc. should be analyzed.
- Effect of different drying methods on powdered egg of different poultry should be considered.
- Drying losses should be measured and appropriate dryer should be developed for drying of egg keeping the original quality

#### References

- Abeyrathne, E.D.N.S., Huang, X. and Ahn, D.U. 2018. Antioxidant, angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitory activity and other functional properties of egg white proteins and their derived peptides–A review. Poultry science, 97(4), pp.1462-1468. Agric. Food Chem. 2018, 66, 5133–5138.
- Anton, M. and Gandemer, G. 1997. Composition, solubility and emulsifying properties of granules and plasma of egg yolk. Journal of Food Science, 62(3), pp.484-487.
- Arzeni, C., Pérez, O.E. and Pilosof, A.M. 2012. Functionality of egg white proteins as affected by high intensity ultrasound. Food Hydrocolloids, 29(2), pp.308-316.
- Attia, Y.A., Abdalah, A.A., Zeweil, H.S., Bovera, F., El-Din, A.T. and Araft, M.A., 2010. Effect of inorganic or organic selenium supplementation on productive performance, egg quality and some physiological traits of dual-purpose breeding hens. Czech J Anim Sci, 55(11), pp.505-19.
- Attia, Y.A., Burke, W.H. and Yamani, K.A. 1994. Response of broiler breeder hens to forced molting by hormonal and dietary manipulations. Poultry science, 73(2), pp.245-258.
- Back, J.F., Bain, J.M., Vadehra, D.V. and Burley, R.W., 1982. Proteins of the outer layer of the vitelline membrane of hen's eggs. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Protein Structure and Molecular Enzymology, 705(1), pp.12-19.
- Bellairs, R., Harkness, M. and Harkness, R.D., 1963. The vitelline membrane of the hen's egg: a chemical and electron microscopical study. Journal of Ultrastructure Research, 8(3-4), pp.339-359.
- Bethke, R. M., D. C. Kennard, and H. L. Sassaman, 1927. The fat soluble vitamin content of hen's egg yolk as affected by the ration and management of the layers. J. Biol. Chem. 72:695-706.

- Bethke, R. M., P. R. Record, and O. H. M. Wilder, 1936. The effect of the ration of the hen on the vitamin G content of the eggs with observations on the distribution of vitamin B and G in normal eggs. J.Nutr. 12:309-320.
- Bethke, R. M., P. R. Record, O. H. M. Wilder, and C. H. Kick, 1936. Effect of different sources of vitamin D on the laying bird. II. Storage of vitamin D in the egg and chick and mineral composition of the mature embryo. Poultry Sci. 15:3 36—344.
- Bethke, R. M., P. R. Record, O. H. M. Wilder, and D. C. Kennard, 1937. The comparative efficiency of vitamin D from cod liver oil and irradiated cholesterol for laying birds. Poultry Sci. 16:483—441.
- Bird, H.R., 1944. The nutritive value of eggs and poultry meat. Poultry and egg national board. No. 5,
- Bolt GH, Bruggenwert WG. Solid Chemistry, basic elements. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York, 1978; 145.
- Burgess, T. L., C. L. Burgess, and J. D. Wilson. 1962. Effect of MER-29 on egg production in the chicken. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 109:218-221.
- Caboni, M.F., Boselli, E., Messia, M.C., Velazco, V., Fratianni, A., Panfili, G. and Marconi, E., 2005. Effect of processing and storage on the chemical quality markers of spray-dried whole egg. Food Chemistry, 92(2), pp.293-303.
- Calislar, S. and Kİrİk, A. 2009. Effects of fat type on performance, some egg characteristics and egg yolk cholesterols of laying hens. Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances, 8(12), pp.2727-2729.

Cambridge, UK, 2011; Volume 2 Egg Safety and Nutrional Quality; pp. 201–236.

Chang, O.K., Ha, G.E., Han, G.S., Seol, K.H., Kim, H.W., Jeong, S.G., Oh, M.H., Park, B.Y. and Ham, J.S. 2013. Novel antioxidant peptide derived from the ultrafiltrate of ovomucin hydrolysate. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry, 61(30), pp.7294-7300.

- Chatterjee, I.B. 1973. Evolution and the biosynthesis of ascorbic acid. Science, 182(4118), pp.1271-1272.
- Chávez, B.E. and Ledeboer, A.M., 2007. Drying of probiotics: optimization of formulation and process to enhance storage survival. Drying technology, 25(7-8), pp.1193-1201.
- Clarenburg, R., Kim Chung, I.A. and Wakefield, L.M. 1971. Reducing the egg cholesterol level by including emulsified sitosterol in standard chicken diet. The Journal of nutrition, 101(3), pp.289-297.
- Clemente, A. and del Carmen Arques, M. 2014. Bowman-Birk inhibitors from legumes as colorectal chemopreventive agents. World journal of gastroenterology: WJG, 20(30), p.103-105.
- Cook, F., and G. M. Briggs. 1973. Nutritive value of eggs. In Egg science and technology.W. J. Stadelman and O. J. Cotterill, ed. Avi Publishing Co. p. 231-236
- Cotterill, O. J., and J. L. Glauert. 1979. Nutrient values for shell, liquid/frozen and dehydrated eggs derived by linear regression analysis and conversion factors. Poultry Sci. 58:131-134.
- Cotterill, O. J., W. W. Marion, and E. C. Naber. 1977. A nutrient re-evaluation of shell eggs. Poultry Sci. 56:1927-1934.
- Council, N.R. 1994. Nutrient requirements of poultry. Washington, DC, National Academic Press). Metabolisable energy value and diges-tibility of fat and fatty acids in linseed determined with growing broiler chickens. British Poultry Science, 42, pp.57-63.
- Cruickshank, E. M. 1934. Studies in fat metabolism in the fowl. I. The composition of the egg fat and depot of the fowl as affected by the ingestion of large amounts of different fats. Biochem. J. 28: 965-977.
- Cruickshank, E. M. 1941. The effect of diet on the chemical composition, nutritive value and hatchability of the egg. Nutr. Abstr. Rev. 10:645–659.

- Cunningham, F. E., and P. E. Sanford. 1973. Some nutritional aspects of egg yolk mottling. Feedstuffs: 45(32):27-30.
- Denton, C. A., W. L. Kellogg, J. R. Sizemore, and R. J. Lillie. 1954. Effect of injection and feeding vitamin B, j to hens on content of the vitamin in the egg and blood. J. Nutr. 54:571-577.
- Elvehjem, C. A., A. R. Kemmerer, E. B. Hart, and J. G. Halpin. 1929. The effect of the diet of the hen on the iron and copper content of the egg. J. Biol. Chem. 85:89-96.
- Evenepoel, P., Geypens, B., Luypaerts, A., Hiele, M., Ghoos, Y. and Rutgeerts, P. 1998. Digestibility of cooked and raw egg protein in humans as assessed by stable isotope techniques. The Journal of nutrition, 128(10), pp.1716-1722..
- Everson, G. H., and H. J. Souders. 1957. Composition and nutritive importance of eggs. J. Amer. Dietet. Ass. 33:1244-1254.
- Fisher, H., and G. A. Leveille. 1957. Observations on the cholesterol, linoleic and linolenic acid content of eggs as influenced by dietary fats. J. Nutr. 63: 119-129.
- Forsythe RH. 1963 Chemical and physical properties of eggs and egg products. Cereal Science Today 8: 309–310; 312-328
- Franke, K. and Kiebling, M., 2002. Influence of spray drying conditions on functionality of dried whole egg. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 82(15), pp.1837-1841.
- Gautron, J., Nau, F., Mann, K., Guérin-Dubiard, C., Rehault, S., Hincke, M.T. and Nys, Y.
  2007. Molecular approaches for the identification of novel egg components. World's Poultry Science Journal, 63(1), pp.82-90.
- Gautron, J., Réhault-Godbert, S., Nys, Y., Mann, K. and Righetti, P.G. 2011. Use of highthroughput technology to identify new egg components. In Improving the safety and quality of eggs and egg products, pp. 133-150.
- Giannenas, I., Nisianakis, P., Gavriil, A., Kontopidis, G. and Kyriazakis, I. 2009. Trace mineral content of conventional, organic and courtyard eggs analysed by

inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Food Chemistry, 114(2), pp.706-711.

- Guardiola F, Codony R, Miskin D, Rafecas M, Boatella J. 1995. Oxysterol formation in egg powder and relationship with other quality parameters. J Agr Food Chem 43:1903–1907
- Guardiola F, Codony R, Rafecas M, Grau A, Jorda'n A, Boatella J. 1997. Oxysterol formation in spray-dried egg processed and stored under various conditions: Prevention and Relationship with Other Quality Parameters. J Agr Food Chem 45:2229–2243
- Hallberg l and hulthén l (2000), 'Prediction of dietary iron absorption: an algorithm for calculating absorption and bioavailability of dietary iron', Am J Clin Nutr, 71, 1147–1160
- Hashish, S.M., Abdel-Samee, L.D. and Abdel-Wahhab, M.A. 2012. Mineral and heavy metals content in eggs of local hens at different geographic areas in Egypt. Global Vet, 8(3), pp.298-304.
- Hawthorne, J.R. 1950. The action of egg white lysozyme on ovomucoid and ovomucin. Biochimica et biophysica acta, 6, pp.28-35..
- Hernández-Ledesma, B. and Hsieh, C.C. 2017. Chemopreventive role of food-derived proteins and peptides: A review. Critical reviews in food science and nutrition, 57(11), pp.2358-2376.
- Hernández-Ledesma, B. and Hsieh, C.C. 2017. Chemopreventive role of food-derived proteins and peptides: A review. Critical reviews in food science and nutrition, 57(11), pp.2358-2376..
- Hill, F. W., M. L. Scott, L. C. Norris, and G. F. Heuser. 1961. Reinvestigation of the vitamin A requirements of laying and breeding hens and their progeny. Poultry Sci. 40:1244-1254.
- Huopalahti, R., López-F, R., Anton, M. & Schade, R. (eds). 2007. Bioactive Egg Compounds. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, pp. 298

- Ibrahim, H.R. and Kiyono, T., 2009. Novel anticancer activity of the autocleaved ovotransferrin against human colon and breast cancer cells. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry, 57(23), pp.11383-11390.
- Jayaraman, K.S, Ramanathan, L.A., Pitchamuthu, P. and Patia, B.S. (1976) Development of dehydrated omelette mix based on spray, foam-mat and accelerated freeze-dried egg powder. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 13: 325 - 328.
- Jay, M.J. 2000. Modern Food Microbilogy. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. Aspen Publishers Inc., Gaithersburg, Maryland. 68-70.
- Kearney, P.M., Whelton, M., Reynolds, K., Whelton, P.K. and He, J., 2004. Worldwide prevalence of hypertension: a systematic review. Journal of hypertension, 22(1), pp.11-19.
- Khan, M.J., Khan, S.H., Bukhsh, A., Abbass, M.I. and Javed, M. 2013. Effect of different storage period on egg weight, internal egg quality and hatchability characteristics of Fayumi eggs. Italian Journal of Animal Science, 12(2), p.e51.
- Kim, J.E.; Campbell, W.W. Dietary Cholesterol Contained in Whole Eggs Is Not Well Absorbed and Does Not Acutely Affect Plasma Total Cholesterol Concentration in Men and Women: Results from 2 Randomized Controlled Crossover Studies. Nutrients 2018, 10, 1272.
- Koç B, Sakin Yılmazer M, Balkir P, Kaymak-Ertekin F. 2010. Spray drying of yoghurt: Optimization of process conditions for improving viability and other quality attributes. Dry Technol 28:495–507
- Koç, M., Koç, B., Susyal, G., Yilmazer, M.S., Ertekin, F.K. and Bağdatlıoğlu, N., 2011. Functional and physicochemical properties of whole egg powder: effect of spray drying conditions. Journal of food science and technology, 48(2), pp.141-149.
- Kovacs-Nolan, J., Phillips, M. and Mine, Y. 2005. Advances in the value of eggs and egg components for human health. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry, 53(22), pp.8421-8431.

- Küçükyılmaz, K., Bozkurt, M., Yamaner, Ç., Çınar, M., Çatlı, A.U. and Konak, R. 2012. Effect of an organic and conventional rearing system on the mineral content of hen eggs. Food chemistry, 132(2), pp.989-992.
- Latshaw, J. D., and M. Osman. 1975. Distribution of selenium in egg white and yolk after feeding natural and synthetic selenium compounds. Poultry Sci. 54:1244-1252.
- Lechevalier V, Jeantet R, Arhaliass A, Legrand J, Nau F. 2007. Egg white drying: Influence of industrial processing steps on protein structure and functionalities. J Food Eng 83:404–413
- Liao, W., Chakrabarti, S., Davidge, S.T. and Wu, J. 2016. Modulatory effects of egg white ovotransferrin-derived tripeptide IRW (Ile-Arg-Trp) on vascular smooth muscle cells against angiotensin II stimulation. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry, 64(39), pp.7342-7347..
- Liao, W., Fan, H., Davidge, S.T. and Wu, J. 2019. Egg white-derived antihypertensive peptide IRW (Ile-Arg-Trp) reduces blood pressure in spontaneously hypertensive rats via the ACE2/ang (1-7)/mas receptor Axis. Molecular nutrition & food research, 63(9), p.1900063.
- Liu CP, Liu SD. 2009. Low-temperature spray drying for the microencapsulation of the fungus Beauveria bassiana. Dry Technol 27:747–753
- Liu, L., Xu, M., Tu, Y., Du, H., Zhou, Y. and Zhu, G. 2017. Immunomodulatory effect of protease hydrolysates from ovotransferrin. Food & function, 8(4), pp.1452-1459.
- Majumder, K., Chakrabarti, S., Morton, J.S., Panahi, S., Kaufman, S., Davidge, S.T. and Wu, J., 2015. Egg-derived ACE-inhibitory peptides IQW and LKP reduce blood pressure in spontaneously hypertensive rats. Journal of Functional Foods, 13, pp.50-60.
- Mann, K. 2007. The chicken egg white proteome. Proteomics, 7(19), pp.3558-3568.
- Mann, K. 2008. Proteomic analysis of the chicken egg vitelline membrane. Proteomics, 8(11), pp.2322-2332.

- Morgan, J.N. and Armstrong, D.J. 1992. Quantification of cholesterol oxidation products in egg yolk powder spray-dried with direct heating. Journal of food science, 57(1), pp.43-45.
- Naber, E. C, J. F. Elliot, and T. L. Smith. 1974. Effect of probucol on reproductive performance and liver lipid metabolism in the laying hen. Poultry Sci. 53:1960.
- Naber, E. C. 1976. The cholesterol problem, the egg and lipid metabolism in the laying hen. Poultry Sci. 55:14-30.
- Naber, E. C. 1977. The impact of contamination by organochlorine insecticides on poultry nutrition and feeding. Fed. Proc. 36:1880-1887.
- Nys, Y. and Guyot, N., 2011. Egg formation and chemistry. In Improving the safety and quality of eggs and egg products (pp. 83-132).
- Nys, Y. and Guyot, N., 2011. Egg formation and chemistry. In Improving the safety and quality of eggs and egg products (pp. 83-132).
- Patton, A.R. and Palmer, L.S. 1936. The amino acid content of eggs and chicks: relation to diet and to incidence of chondrodystrophy. Journal of Nutrition, 11, pp.129-134.
- Prasad, N.N., Swamy, M.S.L., Viswanathan, K.R. and Santhanam, K. 2004. Nutritional quality of egg-fortified biscuits. JOURNAL OF FOOD SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY-MYSORE, 41(5), pp.534-536.
- Rao, T.S.S, Hemaprakash Reddy and Jayaraman, K.S. (1995). Standardization parameters for enhancing the shelf life of boiled egg. Journal of Food Science and -Technology. 32(1): 46-49.
- Reder, R. 1939. Egg Composition: I. The Effect of Diet and Storage on the Chemical Composition of Eggs. Poultry Science, 18(1), pp.19-25.
- Réhault-Godbert, S., Guyot, N. and Nys, Y., 2018. The golden egg: nutritional value, bioactivities, and emerging benefits for human health. Nutrients, 11(3), p.684.
- Rezaei, M., Dehghani, S., AliGhaffari, J. and Haghnazar, A. 2008. The effects of different levels of L-carnitine and fat on performance and egg quality of laying hens.

- Romanoff, A. L., and A. J. Romanoff. 1949. The avian egg. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, NY.
- Rose, M. S., and E. M. Vahlteich. 1938. A review of investigations on the nutritive value of eggs. J. Amer. Dietet. Ass. 14:593-614.
- Ryu, K.N., No, H.K. and Prinyawiwatkul, W. 2011. Internal quality and shelf life of eggs coated with oils from different sources. Journal of food science, 76(5), pp.S325-S329.
- Sagar, V.R. and Kumar, P.S. 2010. Recent advances in drying and dehydration of fruits and vegetables: a review. Journal of food science and technology, 47(1), pp.15-26.
- Saxena, I. and Tayyab, S. 1997. Protein proteinase inhibitors from avian egg whites. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences CMLS, 53(1), pp.13-23.
- Seuss-Baum, I. and Nau, F. 2011. The nutritional quality of eggs. In Improving the safety and quality of eggs and egg products (pp. 201-236).
- Shinn, S.E., Liyanage, R., Lay Jr, J.O. and Proctor, A. 2016. Isolation and characterization of chicken yolk vitelline membrane lipids using eggs enriched with conjugated linoleic acid. Lipids, 51(6), pp.769-779.
- Shinn, S.E., Liyanage, R., Lay Jr, J.O. and Proctor, A., 2016. Isolation and characterization of chicken yolk vitelline membrane lipids using eggs enriched with conjugated linoleic acid. Lipids, 51(6), pp.769-779.
- Singh, R. A., J. F. Weiss, and E. C. Naber. 1972. Effect of azasterols on sterol metabolism in the laying hen. Poultry Sci. 51:449-457.
- Stadelman, W.J. and Cotterill, O.J., 1995. Egg science and technology. 53(1), pp.13-23.
- Suleyman Calislar and Ali Kirik, 2009. Effects of Fat Type on Performance, Some Egg Charecteristics and Egg Yolk Cholesterols of Laying Hens. Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances, 8: 2727-2729.

- Ternes, W. & Leitsch, S. 1997. Chemistry of egg yolk. In: Proceedings of the VII European Symposium on the Quality of Eggs and Egg Products. Poznan, Poland. WPSA Polish branch, Poznan, Poland, pp. 127–144.
- Titus, H. W., J. C. Fritz, and W. R. Kaufmann. 1938. Some observations on egg yolk color. Poultry Sci. 17:38-45.
- Titus, H. W., T. C. Byerly, and N. R. Ellis. 1933. Effect of diet on egg composition. 1. Partial chemical analysis of eggs produced by pullets on different diets. J.Nutr. 6:127-138.
- Touchburn, S. P., R. D. M. Silva, and E. C. Naber. 1974. Further evidence for an unidentified nutrient in fish solubles improves hatchability and progeny growth of turkeys and Japanese Quails. Poultry Sci. 53:1745-1758.
- Trziszka, T. and Smolińska, T. 1982. Chemical characterization of the vitelline membrane of hens' eggs. Food Chemistry, 8(1), pp.61-70.
- USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 1; U.S. Department of Agriculture.
- Vilcacundo, R., Méndez, P., Reyes, W., Romero, H., Pinto, A. and Carrillo, W., 2018. Antibacterial activity of hen egg white lysozyme denatured by thermal and chemical treatments. Scientia pharmaceutica, 86(4), p.48.
- Wang, J., Um, P., Dickerman, B.A. and Liu, J. 2018. Zinc, magnesium, selenium and depression: a review of the evidence, potential mechanisms and implications. Nutrients, 10(5), p.584.
- Washburn, K. W., and H. L. Marks, 1978. Genetic Variation in the Chemical Composition of the Egg<sup>1</sup>. Department of Poultry Science, University of Georgia. 58:529-535.
- Wiedeman, A.M., Barr, S.I., Green, T.J., Xu, Z., Innis, S.M. and Kitts, D.D., 2018. Dietary choline intake: current state of knowledge across the life cycle. Nutrients, 10(10), p.1513.

- Young, D., Fan, M.Z. and Mine, Y., 2010. Egg yolk peptides up-regulate glutathione synthesis and antioxidant enzyme activities in a porcine model of intestinal oxidative stress. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry, 58(13), pp.7624-7633.
- Yousr, M. and Howell, N. 2015. Antioxidant and ACE inhibitory bioactive peptides purified from egg yolk proteins. International journal of molecular sciences, 16(12), pp.29161-29178.
- Youssefi Sh, Emam-Djomeh Z, Mousavi SM. 2009. Comparison of artificial neural network (ANN) and response surface methodology (RSM) in the prediction of quality parameters of spray-dried pomegranate juice. Dry Technol 27:910–917
- Zita, L., Tůmová, E. and Štolc, L. 2009. Effects of genotype, age and their interaction on egg quality in brown-egg laying hens. Acta Veterinaria Brno, 78(1), pp.85-91.

# **Appendices: Photo Gallery**


## **Brief Biography**

Lipa Chowdhury passed the Secondary School Certificate Examination in 2010 and then Higher Secondary Certificate Examination in 2012. She obtained her B.Sc. (Hon's) in Food Science and Technology from the Faculty of Food Science and Technology of Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (CVASU), Chattogram, Bangladesh. Now, she is a candidate for the degree of Master of Science in Biochemistry under the Department of Physiology, Biochemistry and Pharmacology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, (CVASU). She has immense interest to work in improving health status of poor people through proper guidance and suggestions and create awareness among people about Food Science and Nutrition.