Prevalence of Gastrointestinal Parasitic Infection in Sheep and Goats of Sandwip Island, Chattogram, Bangladesh



By:

Mrinmoy Bhowmik Roll No: 15/ 67; Reg No: 01495 Intern ID: 61 Session: 2014 – 2015

A clinical report submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Veterinary Medicine

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University Khulshi, Chattogram-4225, Bangladesh

September 2020

Prevalence of Gastrointestinal Parasitic Infection in Sheep and Goats of Sandwip Island, Chattogram, Bangladesh



A clinical report submitted as per approved style and content

Signature of Author Mrinmoy Bhowmik Roll: 15/67 Reg. No: 01495 Intern ID: 61

Signature of Supervisor Dr. Md. Abdul Alim Associate Professor Department of Pathology and Parasitology

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University Khulshi, Chattogram-4225, Bangladesh

September 2020

Table of contents

ontent Page No)
st of tableIV	V
st of figures	7
st of abbreviationsV	7
stractV	[
apter 1: Introduction	L
apter 2: Materials and Methods	3
2.1 Study area	3
2.2 Study design and sampling strategy	3
2.3 Sample collection and preservation	4
2.4 Laboratory examination	4
2.5 Statistical analysis	1
apter 3: Results	6
3.1. Overall prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infection in sheep and goa	ıt 6
3.2. Area wise prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in sheep an goat	d 7
3.3. Age specific prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in sheep an goat	d 8
3.4. Sex specific prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in sheep an goat	d 9
3.5. Lactation-wise prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in shee and goat	р 9
3.6. Nutritional status related prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infection in sheep and goat	s)
3.7. Feeding practice related prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infection in sheep and goat	.s l
3.8. Deworming status related prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infection in sheep and goat	.s 2

Chapter 4: Discussion	14
Limitations	17
Conclusion	18
References	19
Acknowledgements	23
Biography	24

List of tables

Table	Title	Page No
Table 1	Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infection in sheep	7
	and goat	
Table 2	Age-specific prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic	8
	infection in sheep and goat	
Table 3	Sex specific prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic	9
	infections in sheep and goat	
Table 4	Lactation-wise prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic	10
	infections in sheep and goat	
Table 5	Nutritional status related prevalence of gastrointestinal	11
	parasitic infections in sheep and goat	
Table 6	Feeding practice related prevalence of gastrointestinal	12
	parasitic infections in sheep and goat	
Table 7	Deworming status related prevalence of gastrointestinal	13
	parasitic infections in sheep and goat	

List of figures

Figure	Title	Page No
Figure 1	Map of Sandwip (Inset Bangladesh)	3
Figure 2	Egg of different gastrointestinal parasites in sheep and	5
	goat (40x)	
Figure 3	Prevalence of trematode, cestode, nematode and protozoa	6
	in sheep and goat	
Figure 4	Prevalence of trematode, cestode, nematode and protozoa	7
	in three areas of Sandwip in small ruminants	

List of abbreviations

Abbreviation	Elaboration
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GI	Gastrointestinal
GIP	Gastrointestinal Parasites
CVASU	Chattogram Veterinary and Animal
	Sciences University
%	Percent
Sp.	Species

Abstract

Gastrointestinal parasitic infection is considered one of the major concerns of small ruminants (e.g., sheep and goat) production in Bangladesh. The current study was undertaken to explore the prevalence of gastrointestinal (GI) parasitic infection in small ruminants (sheep and goats) and its associated risk factors (e.g., age, sex, lactation, nutritional status, feeding practices etc.) in sheep and goats of three areas (Bauria, Magdhara and Santoshpur) of the Sandwip Island, Chattogram, Bangladesh. A total of 330 fecal samples of which 220 sheep and 110 goats were taken following random sampling technique from June to July 2019. The samples were subjected to direct smear, flotation and sedimentation techniques to screen out the positive samples. Results demonstrated that the overall prevalence of GI parasitic infection was 66.36% in small ruminants. Species wise prevalence indicated that 68.64% of sheep and 61.82% of goats harbored various parasitic infections. Seven types of gastrointestinal parasites (Fasciola sp., Paramphistomum sp., Moniezia sp., Strongyles, Strongyloides sp., Trichuris sp. and Eimeria sp.) were identified of which Strongyles (37.27%) were higher in sheep and Fasciola sp. (29.09%) was higher in goats. The prevalence of GI parasites was higher in Bauria (72.96%) among three areas of Sandwip. The prevalence GI parasites showed that poor health condition of animals (88.50%) were significantly more susceptible than the moderate (55.56%) and good health animals (54.41%). It was also observed that dewormed animals (>3)months interval) (70.23%) were significantly more prone to parasitic infection than the dewormed animals (≤ 3 months interval) (59.13%). The current study was fresh of its types and we recommended further studies for molecular detection of such diseases which will assist to take necessary prevention measures.

Key words: Gastrointestinal parasites, goat, prevalence, sheep

Chapter 1: Introduction

Globally, livestock production is growing faster than any other sector, and by 2020, livestock is predicted to become the most important agricultural sector in term of added value (Fereja, 2016). In Bangladesh, livestock is one of the most potential sector in rural economy. It plays an important role in improving human health by providing nutrition through milk and meat. In 2018-19 fiscal year, the population of cattle, buffalo, goats and sheep was 24.24 million, 1.50 million, 26.27 million and 3.54 million respectively in Bangladesh (Anonymous, 2019). The contribution of livestock to Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in Bangladesh was approximately 1.47% and livestock in agricultural production was 13.46% (Anonymous, 2019). About 20% of the population is directly and 50% indirectly dependent on the livestock sector (Anonymous, 2019).

In Bangladesh, agricultural production like rearing of sheep and goats plays an important role in the livelihood of rural people and provides an extra source of income especially for rural women helping in women empowerment (Kabir et al., 2019). It often requires a minimum investment and most of the animals are reared with traditional husbandry practices. They can withstand a period of draught better than any other livestock and they can use those pastures, which cannot be used by other livestock.

Gastrointestinal parasites like helminths and protozoa are very common in small ruminants (sheep and goats). The challenge is, however, much more severe in tropical countries due to favorable environmental conditions for GIP transmission (Mohanta et al., 2007). Small ruminants (sheep and goats) under intensive and extensive production systems are extremely susceptible to the effects of wide range of helminths (Abede and Esayas, 2001). GI parasites are thought to be one of the major constraints that hinder the development of livestock population (Jabbar and Green, 1983) and it also adversely affect the health and productivity of animal (Irfan, 1984). The losses caused by parasitic infestation are in the form of lowered general health condition, retarded growth rate, diminishing the working efficiency, decrease milk and meat production, abortion; cost associated with preventive

measures and reduces the disease resistance capability, which may ultimately lead to higher mortality (Radostits et al., 1994).

In Bangladesh, previous studies reported high prevalence of GI parasites in small ruminants affecting 60.67 - 65.9% sheep and 77%, 64.1 - 77% goats (Islam et al., 2017; Rahman et al., 2017). Various risk factors related to host and environment play an important role in the onset of GI parasitic infections. Environmental factors include agro-ecological conditions, animal husbandry practices such as housing system, deworming intervals and pasture management; these largely determine the type, incidence and severity of various parasitic diseases (Badran et al., 2012). Other risk factors such as the host species, sex of the animal, age, body condition and breed/genotype, parasite species and intensity of the worm population, have an effect on the development of gastrointestinal parasitic infections (Tariq et al., 2010).

Sandwip Island is a sub-district under Chattogram district of Bangladesh. This island is very prospective for rearing small ruminants due to its agro-ecological condition. In view of the impact of parasites on the economic losses to livestock, it is important to take an action to identify the major cause of parasitic infection in this area. In addition, no epidemiological information was available on GI parasites of this island. A proper understanding about the epidemiology of GI parasitism is a prerequisite for the rational designing of the effective preventive and control measures against these dreadful GI parasitic diseases (Rajarajan et al., 2017).

Therefore, the present study was conducted with following objectives:

- 1) To determine prevalence of GI parasites in small ruminants such as sheep and goats.
- 2) To determine the prevalence of GI parasites according to age, sex, lactation, feeding practices, nutritional status and deworming status.

Chapter 2: Materials and Methods

2.1 Study area

Three areas (Bauria, Magdhara and Santoshpur) of Sandwip Island were randomly

selected for the current study. Sandwip (Latitude: 22°22' Ν 22°34' N, Longitude: 91°26' E – 91°34' E) is a sub-district of Chattogram which is located along the south eastern coast of Bangladesh (Figure 1). It is bounded by Bamni River on the north, Bay of Bengal on the south, separated from the coast of Chattogram by Sandwip Channel on the east and Meghna River on the west. The average temperature



Figure 1: Map of Sandwip (Inset: Bangladesh) (Image source: www.banglapedia.org and www.britannica.com)

is 25.7° C and the annual rainfall is about 2794 mm.

2.2 Study design and sampling strategy

A total of fecal 330 samples of which 220 sheep and 110 goats were taken following random sampling technique from June to July 2019. A prototype questionnaire was used to record the information like owner's name and address, animal Identification, age, sex, lactation status, nutritional condition, feeding practice and deworming history. The age of animal was determined by the standard dentition methods (Banerjee, 1964). According to the age, animal was divided into young (<1 year) and adult (\geq 1 year). Sex was determine by examining the external genitalia. Based on lactation, the animals were categorized into lactating and non-lactating group. The animal was examined to determine body condition score (BCS) as described by Detweiler et al. (2008). After calculating the BCS, animal was categorized into three group, namely, poor (BCS 1-<2), moderate (BCS 2-3) and good health (BCS >3). The animals that were provided concentrate feed regularly were grouped into regular

concentrate feed group and those that were provided concentrate feed irregularly were grouped into irregular concentrate feed group. The animals which were dewormed \leq 3 months of interval were grouped into regularly dewormed animals and more than this interval was considered as irregularly dewormed animals.

2.3 Sample collection and preservation

Around 10 gm of rectal or freshly voided feces samples were collected for fecal examination according to standard methods (Urquhart et al., 1996). Then, air tight vials containing 10% formalin were used to preserve the samples. Each vial was marked with the unique identification number and that time basic demographic information also collected through questionnaire. After collecting, the samples were transported to the Department of Pathology and Parasitology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, CVASU. The samples were preserved at 4° C until examined.

2.4 Laboratory examination

The direct smear, flotation and sedimentation methods described by Urquhart et al. (1996) were performed to screen out the positive samples. The eggs of parasites were identified morphologically under a compound microscope (10x, 40x magnification) to the genus level, or in the case of Strongyles, to group level previously described (Soulsby, 1982) (Figure 2). A sample was considered as positive when minimum one GI parasite's egg was detected.

2.5 Statistical analysis

The collected information was imported, stored and coded accordingly using Microsoft (MS) Excel-2013TM. The data was exported from MS Excel-2013TM to STATATM 15.1 (Stata Corporation College Station, Texas) for Chi-Square test. Statistical significance was considered at 5% level (P<0.05).



Figure 2: Egg of different gastrointestinal parasites in sheep and goat (40x). (a) *Fasciola* sp. (b) *Paramphistomum* sp. (c) Strongyle (d) *Strongyloides* sp. (e) *Moniezia* sp. (f) *Trichuris* sp.

Chapter 3: Results

3.1. Overall prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infection in sheep and goat

Total of 330 small ruminants examined, 219 (66.36%) were found to be infected with one or more types of GI parasites. The prevalence of GI parasites were higher in sheep (68.64%) than goats (61.82%).

A mixed infection with two or more type of GI parasites was found in 33.33% of infected animals. Mixed infection was significantly higher (P = 0.000) in goats (48.53%) than in sheep (26.49%).

The prevalence of nematode (P = 0.000) was significantly higher in sheep than goats (Figure 3). On the other hand, trematode (P = 0.000) and protozoa (P = 0.005) showed significantly higher in goats in comparison to sheep (Figure 1). Between sheep and goats, there was no significant difference (P = 0.256) in case of cestode infection. Specifically, prevalence of Strongyles (P = 0.032) and *Strongyloides* sp. (P = 0.000) were significantly higher in sheep than in goats (Table 1). *Fasciola* (P = 0.000), *Trichuris* sp. (P = 0.004) and *Eimeria* sp. (P = 0.002) showed significantly higher prevalence in goats in comparison to sheep (Table 1). Prevalence of *Moneizia* sp. (P = 0.256) was alike in both types of animal (Table 1).



*statistically significant

Figure 3: Prevalence of trematode, cestode, nematode and protozoa parasites in sheep and goat

-	Sheep	(N=220)	Goat	Goat (N=110)		
Parasites	Prevalence		Prevalence			
	(%)	95% CI	(%)	95% CI		
Fasciola sp.*	13.18	0.093 - 0.182	29.09	0.214 - 0.381		
Paramphistomum sp.	9.09	0.059 - 0.136	13.64	0.084 - 0.212		
<i>Moniezia</i> sp.	2.27	0.009 - 0.052	4.55	0.019 - 0.102		
Strongyles*	37.27	0.311 - 0.438	25.45	0.182 - 0.343		
Strongyloides sp.*	28.64	0.230 - 0.349	10.00	0.056 - 0.170		
Trichuris sp.*	0.91	0.002 - 0.032	6.36	0.031 - 0.125		
<i>Eimeria</i> sp.*	1.36	0.004 - 0.393	8.18	0.043 - 0.148		
Overall	68.64	0.622 - 0.744	61.82	0.524 - 0.703		
			0			

N= Total no. of animal; CI= Confidence interval; *significant

Table 1: Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infection in sheep and goat

3.2. Area wise prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in sheep and goat

In current study, the overall prevalence was the highest in Bauria (72.96%), followed by Magdhara (69.81%) and Santoshpur (56.64%) which were statistically significant (P = 0.023). Prevalence of nematode was higher in all three areas. Bauria and Magdhara showed the lowest for cestode whereas Santoshpur showed the lowest prevalence for protozoa infections (Figure 4).





3.3. Age specific prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in sheep and goat

The overall prevalence of parasitic infection was found higher in adults (68.29%) than young (63.20%) (P = 0.342). The prevalence of parasitic infection was higher in young (70%) in comparison to adult sheep (67.86%) whereas adult goats (69.23%) were more infected with GI parasites than the young goats (51.11%) (Table 2). However, age related data was not significant (P>0.05).

		Sheep			Goat		
	Young	Adult		Young	Adult		
Parasites	%	%	n voluo	%	%	n volvo	
	(N=80)	(N=140)	p-value	(N=45)	(N=65)	p-value	
Fasciala sp	12.50	13.57	0.821	20.00	35.38	0.081	
<i>Fasciola</i> sp.	(10)	(19)	0.621	(9)	(23)	0.081	
Paramphistomum	10.00	5.71	0.220	4.44	20.00	0.010*	
sp.	(8)	(8)	0.239	(2)	(13)	0.019*	
<i>Moniezia</i> sp.	1.25	2.86	0.442	8.89	1.54	0.060	
	(1)	(4)	0.442	(4)	(1)	0.009	
Steen avilag	40.00	35.71	0.527	28.89	23.08	0 /01	
Subligyles	(32)	(50)	0.527	(13)	(15)	0.471	
Strongulaidas an	30.00	27.86	0.725	4.44	13.85	0 106	
Strongytotaes sp.	(24)	(39)	0.755	(2)	(9)	0.100	
Trichuris on	0.00	1.43	0.282	4.44	7.69	0.402	
Trichuris sp.	(0)	(2)	0.285	(2)	(5)	0.493	
Fimaria sp	1.15	1.43	0.013	0.00	13.85	0 000*	
Limeria sp.	(1)	(2)	0.915	(0)	(9)	0.009*	
Total	70.00	67.86	0.742	51.11	69.23	0.054	
TOTAL	(56)	(95)	0.742	(23)	(45)	0.054	

N= Total no. of animal;*significant

Table 2: Age-specific prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infection in sheep and goat

3.4. Sex specific prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in sheep and goat

In current study, the overall prevalence of GI parasites in sex showed that female (67.39%) had more prevalent than male (64%) (P = 0.549). While, it is interesting to note that in goats the prevalence of GI parasites was higher in male (64.29%) in compare to female (60.29%), while in sheep the opposite result was found (Table 3). However, sex related prevalence was not significant (P>0.05).

	Sheep				Goat		
-	Female	Male		Female	Male		
Parasites	%	%	p-value	%	%	p-value	
	(N=162)	(N=58)		(N=68)	(N=42)		
Fasciala sp	11.73	34.48	0.287	27.94	30.95	0.725	
<i>Fasciola</i> sp.	(19)	(20)	0.207	(19)	(13)	0.755	
Paramphistomum	8.02	5.17	0.473	10.29	19.05	0.104	
sp.	(13)	(3)	0.475	(7)	(8)	0.194	
<i>Moniezia</i> sp.	2.47	1.72	0 744	7.35	0.00	0.072	
	(4)	(1)	0.744	(5)	(0)		
Strongulas	40.74	27.59	0.075	25.00	26.19	0.880	
Subliggies	(66)	(16)	0.075	(17)	(11)	0.009	
Strongulaidas on	25.31	37.93	0.068	5.88	16.67	0.067	
Strongytotaes sp.	(41)	(22)	0.008	(4)	(7)	0.007	
Trichuris on	1.23	0.00	0 205	4.41	9.52	0.286	
Trichuris sp.	(2)	(0)	0.395	(3)	(4)	0.280	
Fimariasp	1.23	1.72	0 783	8.82	7.14	0.755	
<i>Eimeria</i> sp.	(2)	(1)	0.785	(6)	(3)	0.755	
Total	70.37	63.79	0.354	60.29	64.29	0.675	
TOTAL	(114)	(37)	0.334	(41)	(27)	0.675	

N= Total no. of animal

Table 3: Sex specific prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in sheep and goat

3.5. Lactation-wise prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in sheep and goat

Overall prevalence of parasites was observed higher in lactating animal (68.53%) than non-lactating animal (64.71%) (P = 0.466). Lactating sheep (71.96%) also

seemed to be more infected with GI parasites than the non-lactating sheep (65.49%) whereas non-lactating goats (63.51%) found to be more infected with GI parasites than the lactating goats (58.33%) (Table 5). Non-lactating sheep and goats seem to be more infected with Strongyles and *Strongyloides* sp. (Table 4). Although they did not reach the significance (P>0.05). Lactating goats showed significantly (P = 0.003) higher prevalence with *Eimeria* sp. than the non-lactating goats (Table 4).

		Sheep	Goat				
Parasites	Non lactating (N= 113) %	Lactating (N= 107) %	p-value	Non lactating (N= 74) %	Lactating (N= 36) %	p-value	
<i>Fasciola</i> sp	14.16	12.15	0.660	28.38	30.56	0 814	
	(16)	(13)	0.000	(21)	(11)	0.014	
Paramphistomum	5.31	9.35	0.240	13.51	13.89	0.057	
sp.	(6)	(10)	0.247	(10)	(5)	0.757	
<i>Moniezia</i> sp.	1.77	2.80	0 607	6.67	0.00	0.110	
	(2)	(3)	0.007	(5)	(0)	0.110	
Stron ovil a a	38.94	35.51	0.000	29.73	16.67	0.140	
Strongyles	(44)	(38)	0.000	(22)	(6)	0.140	
Stuan aulaidas an	30.97	26.17	0.421	10.81	8.33	0 694	
Strongyloldes sp.	(35)	(28)	0.431	(8)	(3)	0.684	
Til inst	0.88	0.93	0.000	9.46	0.00	0.057	
Tricnuris sp.	(1)	(1)	0.969	(7)	(0)	0.057	
Eine ani a an	0.88	1.87	0.520	2.70	19.44	0.002*	
Eimeria sp.	(1)	(2)	0.329	(2)	(7)	0.003*	
Total	65.49	71.96	0.201	63.51	58.33	0.600	
TOTAL	(74)	(77)	0.301	(47)	(21)	0.000	

N= Total no. of animal;*significant

Table 4: Lactation-wise prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in sheep and goat

3.6. Nutritional status related prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in sheep and goat

In this study, nutritional status showed significant difference on the prevalence of GI parasites. Highest overall prevalence of GI parasites was observed in poor health

(88.50%) animal followed by moderate (55.56%) and good health (54.41%) animal (P = 0.00). Individually sheep and goats showed similar kind of result (Table 5). Poor health sheep and goats had significantly higher prevalence for Stronglyes and *Strongyloides* sp. (Table 5).

		Sheep				G	oat	
Parasites	Poor (N=70) %	Moderate (N=44) %	Good (N=106) %	p-value	Poor (N=43) %	Moderate (N= 37) %	Good (N=30) %	p-value
Fasciola spp	18.57 (13)	9.09 (4)	11.31 (12)	0.254	32.56 (14)	24.32 (9)	30.00 (9)	0.715
Paramphistom um spp	11.43 (8)	2.27 (1)	6.60 (7)	0.174	20.93 (9)	8.11 (3)	10.00 (3)	0.198
Monieziaspp	2.86 (2)	0.00 (0)	2.83 (3)	0.528	11.63 (5)	2.70 (1)	3.33 (1)	0.614
Strongyles	50.00 (35)	47.73 (21)	24.53 (26)	0.001*	37.21 (16)	24.32 (9)	10.00 (3)	0.031*
Strongyloides spp	45.71 (32)	15.91 (7)	22.64 (24)	0.000*	18.60 (8)	2.70 (1)	6.67 (2)	0.047*
Trichurisspp	0.00 (0)	4.55 (2)	0.00 (0)	0.018*	11.63 (5)	2.70 (1)	3.33 (1)	0.193
<i>Eimeria</i> spp	2.86 (2)	2.27 (1)	0.00 (0)	0.235	11.63 (5)	5.41 (2)	6.67 (2)	0.562
Total	91.43 (64)	68.36 (27)	56.60 (60)	0.000*	83.72 (36)	48.65 (18)	46.67 (14)	0.001*

N= Total no. of animal;*significant

Table 5: Nutritional status related prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in sheep and goat

3.7. Feeding practice related prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in sheep and goat

Current study further revealed that, animal reared with irregular concentrate (69.68%) feed showed higher prevalence of GI parasites than reared with regular concentrate feed (61.97%). However, the difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.142). Individually sheep and goats showed similar kind of result (Table 6).

		Sheep		Goat			
Parasites	Irregular concentrate (N= 118) %	Regular concentrate (N= 102) %	p-value	Irregular concentrate (N= 70) %	Regular concentrate (N= 40) %	p-value	
Fasciola sp.	16.10 (19)	9.80 (10)	0.169	30.00 (21)	27.50 (11)	0.781	
Paramphistomu	13.56	0.00	0 000*	20.00	2.50	0.010*	
<i>m</i> sp.	(16)	(0)	0.000	(14)	(1)	0.010*	
<i>Moniezia</i> sp.	1.69	2.94	0.526	5.71	2.50	0.436	
	(2)	(3)	0.550	(4)	(1)	0.450	
Strongyles	33.05	42.16	0.164	28.57	20.00	0 321	
Subligyles	(39)	(43)	0.104	(20)	(8)	0.321	
Strongyloides	27.97	29.41	0.813	12.86	5.00	0 186	
sp.	(33)	(30)	0.015	(9)	(2)	0.100	
Trichuris sp	0.00	1.96	0.126	7.14	5.00	0.658	
Tricharis sp.	(0)	(2)	0.120	(5)	(2)	0.058	
Fimaria sp	2.54	0.00	0.105	8.57	7.50	0.844	
Eimeria sp.	(3)	(0)	0.105	(6)	(3)	0.044	
Total	70.34	66.64	0.558	68.57	50.00	0.054	
10141	(83)	(68)	0.556	(48)	(20)	0.054	

N= Total no. of animal;*significant

Table 6: Feeding practice related prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in sheep and goat

3.8. Deworming status related prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in sheep and goat

Rarely dewormed (> 3 months interval) animal (70.23%) was more likely to become infected with GI parasites than frequently dewormed (\leq 3 months interval) small ruminant (59.13%) with statistically significant difference (P = 0.042). Individually sheep and goats showed similar kind of results but statistical significance was not found in sheep (Table 7).

	Sheep					
Rarely dewormed (N= 150) %	Frequently dewormed (N= 70) %	p-value	Rarely dewormed (N= 45) %	Frequently dewormed (N= 65) %	p-value	
16.00 (24)	7.14 (5)	0.070	53.33 (24)	12.31 (8)	0.030*	
10.67	5.71	0 005*	31.11	1.54	0 00/*	
(16)	(4)	0.005	(14)	(1)	0.004	
3.33	0.00	0.122	6.67	3.08	0.966	
(5)	(0)	0.122	(3)	(2)		
31.33	50.00	0 000*	40.00	15.38	0.517	
(47)	(35)	0.008*	(18)	(10)	0.317	
30.00	25.71	0.510	17.78	4.62	0.222	
(45)	(18)	0.512	(8)	(3)	0.332	
0.00	2.86	0.020*	8.89	4.62	0.014	
(0)	(2)	0.038*	(4)	(3)	0.914	
2.00	0.00	0.224	17.78	1.54	0.059	
(3)	(0)	0.234	(8)	(1)	0.058	
69.33	67.14	0744	72.31	46.67%	0.007*	
(104)	(47)	0.744	(47)	(21)	0.006*	
	karely generation 16.00 (24) 10.67 (16) 3.33 (5) 31.33 (47) 30.00 (45) 0.00 (0) 2.00 (3) 69.33 (104)	Sheep kin pa % 0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (Sheep A Participant 0 A Participant 0 Paritipant 0 Paritipant 0	Sheep h_{12} h_{10} h_{11} h_{10} h_{1	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	

N= Total no. of animal;*significant

Table 7: Deworming status related prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in sheep and goat

Chapter 4: Discussion

Gastrointestinal (GI) parasitic infection causes serious health problem in small ruminants such as sheep and goat. Current study revealed an overall prevalence of 66.36% parasitic infection in sheep and goat in Sandwip. This finding indicates high level of GI parasitic infection in the small ruminant of Sandwip. This level of infection was 63-67% in small ruminants also have been reported by previous research (Asif et al., 2008; Rahman et al., 2017; Velusamy et al., 2015). However, the overall prevalence reported in this study was relatively lower than the report of Koinari et al. (2013) and Singh et al. (2017) who reported 78% and 83.03% from Papua New Guinea and India, respectively. Comparatively lower prevalence was reported by Dagnachew et al. (2011) and Rajarajan et al. (2017) who reported 47.47% and 43% from Ethiopia and India, respectively. The reason of difference might be due to sample size, geographic locations, climatic states, breed of animal, anthelmintic treatment and management system.

This study showed lack of significant difference in the prevalence of GI parasites between sheep and goats. This may be due to most of the farmers in Sandwip were rearing mixed species flocks which usually grazed together that might give equal chances for both species to be infected with GI parasites. In consent to this findings, Rahman and colleagues have also reported no significant difference in prevalence between sheep and goats. Similarly, unlike the present study significantly higher prevalence of GI parasites was observed in sheep than in goats by Singh et al. (2017) and Velusamy et al. (2015).

This study found significantly higher prevalence of nematodes infection in sheep in compare to goats. On the other hand, goats were found significantly more infected with trematode and protozoa than in sheep (Figure 3). Sheep prefer to graze, while goats usually browse that's why goats avoid the infective nematode larvae, which usually remain on the grass consumed by sheep, particularly when sheep and goats feed together. In consistent with this result, higher prevalence of nematodes in sheep was reported by previous research (Gorski et al., 2004) and higher prevalence of trematodes and protozoa in goats were reported by prior research (Dabasa et al.,

2017). In contrast, higher prevalence of nematodes in goats and higher prevalence of protozoa in sheep were reported by prior published article (Islam et al., 2017). This variation may be due to availability of intermediate hosts, grazing practice and rearing system.

The various species of GI parasites identified in this study have also been reported by previously published reports from different areas of Bangladesh (Hossain et al., 2016; Rahman et al., 2017) and other geographic locations (Dagnachew et al., 2011). Yet, the present study has variation in the prevalence of GI parasites with the mentioned studies, which may be attributed to geographic locations, climatic states required for the development of free living stages of different parasites.

Overall prevalence of GI parasites in Bauria and Magdhara were close and Santoshpur showed the lowest GI parasitic prevalence. The lowest prevalence in Santoshpur may be due to intensive management system of animals followed by the most of farmers in this area. The lower prevalence of the GI parasites under intensive management system was reported by the previously published research (Iyad et al., 2012). There is no recent data available on the prevalence of parasitic infections in none of the areas of Sandwip.

In this study, young and adults showed no statistically significant difference in GI parasitic prevalence. This may be due to equal opportunities in grazing land for both age groups. In consistent with this result, Getachew and colleagues (2017) also found no significant differences between age groups. However, Islam and his co-workers found higher prevalence in young whereas Rahman et al. (2017) and Singh et al. (2017) found higher prevalence in adults.

In this study, sex of animal did not show any significant association with the prevalence of GI parasites. Islam and Taimur (2008) and Rahman et al. (2017) also found no significant association between sexes with the prevalence of GI parasities. It could be due to the grazing practices in Sandwip, wherein, males and females were grazed together on same grazing land, having equal opportunity of infection. In concordance with this result, significantly higher prevalence in female was reported by Islam et al. (2017) and Singh et al. (2017).

This study found, no significant association of lactation with parasitic prevalence. Sorathiya and colleagues (2016) also did not find any significant association of lactation with parasitic prevalence. Both lactating and non-lactating animal used to graze together on grazing land which might give equal chances of exposure (Sorathiya et al., 2016).

This study revealed, nutritional status had significant effect on the prevalence of GI parasites. Parasitic prevalence was relatively higher in both sheep and goats with poor health followed by moderate and good health. The animals with poor health might have low immunity to resist parasitic infection which might lead to higher parasitic prevalence. Islam et al. (2017) and Rahman et al. (2017) observed consistency with this result. In contrast, Dabasa and colleagues (2017) found that animal with good condition more likely prone to GI parasites and they justified that emaciation observed in the studied animals were due to malnutrition and concurrent infections.

In this study, no statistically significant variation had been observed between irregular and regular concentrate feeding practice with parasitic prevalence which indicates regular concentrate feeding had no effect on parasitic prevalence. It also noted that along with concentrate feed, most of the animal grazed regularly. No available data has been found on parasitic prevalence with concentrate feeding practice.

This study further found that, regularly dewormed animal had significantly lower parasitic prevalence than rarely dewormed animal. Ratanapob and colleagues (2012) also found similar significant difference. However, Amran et al. (2018) and Kantzoura et al. (2012) did not find any significant association with deworming which may be attributed to lack of proper interval.

Limitations

Seasonal variation of gastrointestinal parasitic infections was not observed due to time shortage. This study was limited to certain parameters and some parameters (breed, flock size, pregnancy status, housing condition etc.) were left untouched so that future researchers can elaborate this study by approaching the untouched portion.

Conclusion

The investigation documented a higher prevalence of GI parasites in sheep and goat in Sandwip Island, Chattogram. The highest prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections was found in Bauria union. Sheep was more prone to be infected by Strongyles and goat was more susceptible to be infected with *Fasciola* sp.. Gastrointestinal parasitic infections were strongly associated with nutritional status and deworming interval. Further extensive studies are recommended to identify the various disease along with GI parasites in this area which will ultimately assist to build up an effective preventive and control measures.

References

- Abede, W. and Esayas, G. 2001. Survey of ovine and caprine gastrointestinal helminthosis in eastern part of Ethiopia during the dry season of the year. Rev. Med. Vet. 152: 379–384.
- Amran, M. A., Yadav, S. K., Akter, F., Sarkar, S., Joy, S.M. and Samrat, A.-A. K. 2018. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in different existing goat breeds in different districts of Bangladesh. J. Adv. Parasitol. 5: 11–21.
- Anonymous, 2019. Annual Report 2018-2019. Fisheries and Livestock Information Office, Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock, Government of People's Republic of Bangladesh, P: 43, 46
- Asif, M., Azeem, S., Asif, S. and Nazir, S. 2008. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites of sheep and goats in and around Rawalpindi and Islamabad, Pakistan. J. Vet. Anim. Sci. 1: 14–17.
- Badran, I., Abuamsha, R., Aref, R., Alqisi, W. and Alumor, J. 2012. Prevalence and diversity of gastrointestinal parasites in small ruminants under two different rearing systems in Jenin district of Palestine. An-Najah Univ. J. Res. 26: 1– 18.
- Banerjee, G. C. 1964. A Textbook of Animal Husbandry, 8th ed. Oxford and IBH Publishing CO. PVT. LTD., New Delhi, P: 47
- Dabasa, G., Shanko, T., Zewdei, W., Jilo, K., Gurmesa, G. and Abdela, N. 2017. Prevalence of small ruminant gastrointestinal parasites infections and associated risk factors in selected districts of Bale zone, south eastern Ethiopia. J. Parasitol. Vector Biol. 9: 81–88.
- Dagnachew, S., Amamute, A. and Jemberu, W. 2011. Epidemiology of gastrointestinal helminthiasis of small ruminants in selected sites of North Gondar zone, Northwest Ethiopia. Ethiop. Vet. J. 15: 57–68.
- Detwiler, G., T. Gipson, R. C. Merkel, A. Goetsch and T. Sahlu. 2008. Body condition scores in goats. 23rd Ann. Goat Field Day, Langston. 127-133.
- Fereja, G. B. 2016. Use of biotechnology in livestock production and productivities: a review. Int. J. Res. Granthaalayah 4: 100–109.

- Getachew, M., Tesfaye, R. and Sisay, E. 2017. Prevalence and risk factors of gastrointestinal nematodes infections in small ruminants in Tullo District, Western Harerghe, Ethiopia. J. Vet. Sci. Technol. 8: 428.
- Gorski, P., Niżnikowski, R., Strzelec, E., Gajewska, A. and Popielarczyk, D. 2004. Prevalence of protozoan and helminth internal parasite infections in goat and sheep flocks in Poland. Arch. Anim. Breed. 47: 43–49.
- Hossain, M., Bhuiyan, Md. J. U., Alam, Md. S., Islam, K. M., Nath, T. C., Datta, R. and Uddin, A. M. 2016. Cross sectional epidemiological investigation on the prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites of small ruminants in Sullah upazilla of Sunamgonj district, Bangladesh. J. Adv. Parasitol. 2: 100–104.
- Irfan, M. 1984. Key note address on effect of parasitism in lowering livestock production. Pak. Vet. J. 4: 25–27.
- Islam, K. S. and Taimur, M. J. F. A. 2008. Helminthic and protozoan internal parasitic infections in free ranging small ruminants of Bangladesh. Slov. Vet. Res. 45: 67–72.
- Islam, M. S., Hossain, M. S., Dey, A. R., Alim, M. A., Akter, S. and Alam, M. Z. 2017. Epidemiology of gastrointestinal parasites of small ruminants in Mymensingh, Bangladesh. J. Adv. Vet. Anim. Res. 4: 356–362.
- Iyad, B., Ruba, A., Rateb, A., Wael, A. and Jaber, A. 2012. Prevalence and diversity of gastrointestinal parasites in small ruminants under two different rearing systems in Jenin district of Palestine. An - Najah Univ. J. Res. 26: 1–18.
- Jabbar, M. A. and Green, D. A. G. 1983. The status and potential of livestock within the context of agricultural development policy in Bangladesh. Aberystwyth: The University of Wales.
- Kabir, Md. S., Marković, M. R. and Radulović, D. 2019. The determinants of income of rural women in Bangladesh. Sustainability. 11: 5842.
- Kantzoura, V., Kouam, M. K., Theodoropoulou, H., Feidas, H. and Theodoropoulos,
 G. 2012. Prevalence and risk factors of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in small ruminants in the Greek temperate Mediterranean environment. Open J. Vet. Med. 2: 25–33. https://doi.org/10.4236/ojvm.2012.21005

- Koinari, M., Karl, S., Ryan, U. and Lymbery, A. J. 2013. Infection levels of gastrointestinal parasites in sheep and goats in Papua New Guinea. J. Helminthol. 87: 409–415. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X12000594
- Mohanta, U. K., Anisuzzaman, Farjana, T., Das, P. M., Majumder, S. and Mondal, M. M. H. 2007. Prevalence, population dynamics and pathological effects of intestinal helminths in Black Bengal goats. Bangladesh J. Vet. Med. 5: 63– 69. https://doi.org/10.3329/bjvm.v5i1.1313
- Radostits, O. M., Blood, D. C. and Gay, C. C. 1994. Veterinary Medicine: A Text Book of Disease of Cattle, Sheep, Pigs, Goats and Horse, 8th ed. Baillere Tindall Publication, London, P: 1223-1225, 1237-1238
- Rahman, M. A., Labony, S. S., Dey, A. R. and Alam, M. Z., 2017. An epidemiological investigation of gastrointestinal parasites of small ruminants in Tangail, Bangladesh. J. Bangladesh Agric. Univ. 15: 255–259.
- Rajarajan, S., Palanivel, K. M., Geetha, M. and Rani, N. 2017. Epidemiology of gastrointestinal parasitism in small ruminants in Pudukkottai district, India. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci. 6: 4924–4930.
- Ratanapob, N., Arunvipas, P., Kasemsuwan, S., Phimpraphai, W. and Panneum, S. 2012. Prevalence and risk factors for intestinal parasite infection in goats raised in Nakhon Pathom Province, Thailand. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 44: 741–745. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-011-9954-6
- Singh, E., Kaur, P., Singla, L. D. and Bal, M. S., 2017. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitism in small ruminants in western zone of Punjab, India. Vet. World 10: 61–66. https://doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2017.61-66
- Sorathiya, L. M., Fulsoundar, A. B., Rao, T. K. S. and Kumar, N. 2016. Prevalence and risk factors for gastrointestinal parasitism in traditionally maintained goat flocks of South Gujarat. J. Parasit. Dis. 41: 137–141.
- Soulsby, E. J. L. 1982. Helminths, Arthropods and Protozoa of Domesticated Animals, 7th ed. London: Baillere Tindall, P: 729-735
- Tariq, K., Chishti, M. and Ahmad, F. 2010. Gastrointestinal nematode infections in goats relative to season, host, sex and age from the Kashmir valley. Indian J. Helminthol. 84: 93–97.

- Urquhart, G. M., Armour, J., Duncan, J. L., Dunn, A. M. and Jennings, F. W. 1996. Veterinary Parasitology, 2nd ed. Blackwell Science, United Kingdom, P: 276
- Velusamy, R., Rani, N., Ponnudurai, G. and Anbarasi, P. 2015. Prevalence of intestinal and haemoprotozoan parasites of small ruminants in Tamil Nadu, India. Vet. World 8: 1205–1209.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to acknowledge the immeasurable grace and profound kindness of Almighty "GOD" the supreme authority and supreme ruler of universe, who empowers the author to complete the work successfully.

I feel great pleasure to express my deepest sense of gratitude and indebtedness to my supervisor Dr. Md. Abdul Alim, Associate professor, Department of Pathology and Parasitology, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University for his scholastic guidance, valuable suggestions, constant inspiration and encouragement throughout the entire period of my study.

The author gratefully admits the help of DR. Mohammad Amir Hossain, Upazilla Livestock Officer (in-charge), Upazilla Veterinary Hospital, Sandwip, Chattogram for his cordial support during sample collection of this study.

I would like to special thanks to DR. Yasir Hasib, MS fellow, Department of Pathology and Parasitology, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University for his cordial helps during report writing.

Biography

I am Mrinmoy Bhowmik, son of Mr. Narayan Chandra Bhowmik and Mrs. Jharna Rani Bhowmik. I passed my Secondary School Certificate (SSC) examination from Ataturk Model High School, Feni in 2012 (GPA 5.00) and Higher Secondary Certificate (HSC) examination from Dhaka City College, Dhaka in 2014 (GPA 5.00). I enrolled for Doctor of Veterinary Medicine (DVM) degree in Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (CVASU), Bangladesh. I have immense interest to work in the field of Microbiology.