



EVALUATION OF BIOACTIVE PEPTIDES FROM *Gracilaria changii* PROTEIN

Ismat Ara Begum

Roll no : 0119/10

Registration no : 668

Session : 2019-2020

**A thesis submitted in the partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science in Applied Human Nutrition and Dietetics**

**Department of Applied Food Science and Nutrition
Faculty of Food Science and Technology
Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University
Chattogram-4225, Bangladesh**

DECEMBER, 2020

AUTHORIZATION

I hereby declare that I am the sole author of the thesis. I also authorize the Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (CVASU) to lend this thesis to other institutions or individuals for the purpose of scholarly research. I further authorize the CVASU to reproduce the thesis by photocopying or by other means, in total or in part, at the request of other institutions or individuals for the purpose of scholarly research.

I, the undersigned, and author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the CVASU Library, is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

The Author
December, 2020

Evaluation of bioactive peptides from *Gracilaria changii* protein

Ismat Ara Begum

Roll no: 0119/10

Registration no: 668

Session: 2019-2020

This is to certify that we have examined the above Master's thesis and have found that is complete and satisfactory in all respects, and that all revisions required by the thesis examination committee have been made

.....
Supervisor
Ms. Nilufa Yeasmin
Assistant Professor

.....
Co-supervisor
Ms. Kazi Nazira Sharmin
Associate Professor

.....
Ms. Kazi Nazira Sharmin
Chairman of the Examination Committee

Department of Applied Food Science and Nutrition
Faculty of Food Science and Technology
Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University
Zakir Hossain Road, Khulshi, Chattogram-4225, Bangladesh

DECEMBER, 2020

**DEDICATED TO MY BELOVED
FAMILY
&
TEACHERS**

.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude to the “Almighty Allah” from my deepest sense of gratitude, whose blessing has enabled me to complete the thesis for the degree of Masters of Science (MS) in Applied Human Nutrition and Dietetics. I express my sincere and deepest gratitude to the supervisor, **Ms. Nilufa Yeasmin**, Assistant Professor, Department of Applied Food Science and Nutrition, CVASU for her guidance, support and wholehearted co-operation during the course of my research work.

I also offer my special gratitude and heartfelt thanks to my beloved Co-Supervisor **Ms. Kazi Nazira Sharmin**, Associate Professor & Head, Department of Applied Food Science and Nutrition, CVASU for her tremendously guidance, encouragement, suggestions, support and dedication throughout this research. As my co-supervisor, she has taught me more than I could ever give her credit for here. Without her continuous encouragement and quality supervision, all the works would not possibly been completed in time.

I owe my special thank to the director and the scientists associated with this research work of Bangladesh Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (BCSIR), Dhaka.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AUTHORIZATION.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	viii
LIST OF TABLES.....	ix
ABSTRACT.....	x
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Problem statement.....	3
1.2 Significance of the study.....	3
1.3 Objective	3
CHAPTER 2 : REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1 Seaweed	4
2.1.1 Characteristics of seaweed	4
2.1.2 Nutritional composition of seaweed	5
2.1.3 Applications of seaweed.....	6
2.1.3 <i>Gracilaria changii</i>	7
2.1.4 Taxonomy and Morphology	7
2.1.5 Nutritional composition of <i>Gracilaria changii</i>	8
2.1.6 Previou study on <i>Gracilaria changii</i>	8
2.2 Seaweed Drying	9
2.3 Protein extraction from seaweed	10
2.4 MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry.....	14
2.5 Protein hydrolysis.....	14
2.5.1 Preparation of protein hydrolysates	16
2.5.2 Factors affecting degree of hydrolysis and bioactivity of protein	19
2.5.2.1 Types of proteases	20
2.5.2.2 pH	21
2.5.2.3 Hydrolysis Time	23

2.6 Bioinformatics approach.....	23
2.7 Bioactive peptide.....	24
2.7.1 DPP IV inhibitor.....	25
2.7.2 ACE inhibitory peptide.....	25
2.7.3 Antioxidative peptide.....	26
CHAPTER 3 : MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	28
3.1 Study area.....	28
3.2 Material.....	28
3.2.1 Raw materials.....	28
3.3 Methods.....	28
3.3.1 Overview of study.....	28
3.3.2 Preparation of raw material.....	28
3.3.3 Protein extraction of seaweed.....	29
3.3.4 Protein identification from <i>Gracilaria changii</i> protein by MALDI-TOF/MS.....	29
3.3.5 In silico analysis.....	30
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS.....	31
4.1 Extracted Protein identified by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry.....	31
4.2 In silico analysis.....	31
CHAPTER 5 : DISSCUSSION.....	41
5.1 Protein identification from <i>Gracilaria changii</i> protein by MALDI-TOF/MS.....	41
5.2 In silico analysis.....	41
5.2.1 DPP-IV inhibitory peptide.....	42
CHAPTER 6 : CONCLUSIONS.....	44
CHAPTER 7: RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY.....	45
BRIEF BIOGRAPHY.....	67

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACE	-	Angiotensin-I-Converting Enzyme
DPP IV	-	Dipeptidylpeptiase –IV
DPP III	-	Dipeptidylpeptiase –III
E/S	-	Enzyme to substrate ratio
GLP-1	-	Glucagon –Like-Peptide 1
DM2	-	Diabetes Mellitus 2
GIP	-	Glucose- dependent Insulinotropic Polypeptide
EU	-	Experimental unit
HCL	-	Hydrochloric acid
H₂SO₄	-	Sulphuric acid
EC₅₀	-	Half maximal inhibitory concentration
mg/g	-	Milligram per gram
mg/mL	-	Milligram per millilitre
mM	-	MilliMolar
μM	-	MicroMolar
mmHg	-	Millimeter of mercury
mU/mL	-	MilliUnit per millilitre
MWCO	-	Molecular Weight Cut Off
NaOH	-	Sodium Hydroxide
SDS	-	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate
NO	-	Nitric oxide
RAS	-	Renin-Angiotensin System
KDa	-	Kilodalton
%	-	Percentage
&	-	And
et al	-	Et alii/et aliae/et alia

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Nutritional composition of seaweed	5
2.2	Applications of seaweed	6
2.3	Taxonomy classification of seaweed (<i>Gracilaria changii</i>)	7
2.4	Previous study on <i>Gracilaria changii</i>	9
2.5	Different types of extraction methods for precipitating proteins from seaweed	10
2.6	Post-hydrolysis processes and the function of each processes	18
2.7	Commercial enzyme and various optimum parameter	23
4.1	<i>Gracilaria changii</i> protein predicted by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry	31
4.2	Evaluation of potential bioactive peptides of <i>Gracilaria changii</i> protein by BIOPEP-UWM database	32
4.3	Sequence of peptide identified from <i>Gracilaria changii</i> protein and their characteristics	33

ABSTRACT

Gracilaria changii is an agarophytic red seaweed mostly found in mangroves. Previous researchers indicated that *Gracilaria changii* possess high protein(12.57 % of dry weight). So, its proteins are potential precursors for relasing bioactive peptides. To date, no study has been reported on the evaluation of *Gracilaria changii* proteins as a precursors of bioactive peptides. In the present study, bioactive peptides in phycocyanin alpha subunit(protein) of *Gracilaria changii* was evaluated based on in silico approach. In silico analysis of phycocyanin alpha subunit exhibited in high numbers of bioactive peptides predominately with dipeptidylpeptiase -IV(DPP-IV) inhibitory peptides and angiotensin-I-converting enzyme (ACE-I) inhibitory peptides having lower EC₅₀ value. Other bioactive peptides are DPP III inhibitor, antioxidative, Renin inhibitor , alpha glucosidase inhibitor and neuropeptide. The application of silico tools provided rapid identification of protein. Overall, this study highlighted the potentiality of phycocyanin alpha subunit as a raw ingredient for developing pharmaceuticals products or functional foods.

Keywords: *Gracilaria changii*, bioactive peptides, in silico, DPP-IV inhibitor, ACE inhibitor.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Macroalgae, generally known as seaweed are large colonies of diversified algae that grows in both marine ecosystems and freshwater over the world (Moss & Mcsweeney, 2021). Macroalgae are a potential source of various invaluable macro and micronutrients, carrying proteins, carbohydrates, phenols, vitamins, and minerals (Lafarga *et al.*, 2020).

Bio-active peptides are defined as peptide sequences within a protein that exerts a beneficial effect on body functions, beyond its known nutritional value (Kitts and Weiler, 2003). Bioactive peptides such as antihypertensive (Wijesekara and Kim, 2010) and anti-diabetic peptides (Wang *et al.*, 2015) are widely observed due to their good potential as pharmaceutical products, particularly for human health enhancement. Hypertension is one of the major risk factors causing cardiovascular diseases and generally occurs with obesity, pre-diabetes, and atherosclerosis (Gouda *et al.*, 2006). The degradation of angiotensin -I and bradykinin by the angiotensin-I converting enzyme (ACE-I) within the renin-angiotensin- aldosterone system (RAAS) stimulates the increase of blood pressure and leads to hypertension (Weir & Dzau, 1999). Dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-IV) cleaves incretin hormones such as glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and glucose-dependent- insulinotropic peptide (GIP), resulting in Diabetes Melitus-2 (Singh *et al.*, 2017). Though some synthetic therapeutic drugs have been generated to treat hypertension and Diabetes Melitus -2 (DM2); the majority of them are regarded as unsafe due to the side effects associated with their consumption. These include inflammatory responses, taste disturbance, nausea, headache, and allergic reaction (Singh *et al.*, 2017; Vigersky, 2006). Furthermore, bioactive peptides derived from natural sources like

marine fish and dairy products, concerted with drugs as supportive agents have been considered as an alternative treatment for the disease (Panjaitan *et al.*, 2018).

In silico approaches, as a supplement to empirical methodologies, are capable of assessing the potential of proteins as precursors of bioactive peptides and predicting the specific activities of some peptide sequences (Udenigwe, 2014). Additionally, it is frugal and more time-saving than experimental investigations (Li-Chan, 2015). The BIOPEP, a database of bioactive peptide fragments, helps predict the potential bioactivity of peptides and their corresponding activities (Dziuba *et al.*, 2009).

1.1 Problem Statement

Gracilaria is the second largest genus of red algae comprising more than 150 species distributed worldwide (Yow *et al.*, 2011). Red seaweed has higher protein than green and brown seaweed (Fleurence, 1999). Thus, its protein specifically phycocyanin may be a potential substrate to release bioactive peptides with ACE inhibitory activity and DPP-IV inhibitory activity. Besides that, the use of synthetic ACE inhibitors poses many side effects to the human body such as cough, cancer, and rash. Furthermore, nowadays, more consumers are health conscious and they prefer natural ingredients for functional foods compared to synthetic chemical products. To the best of our knowledge, no study has been reported on the potential of *Gracilaria changii* proteins as a precursor of bioactive peptides.

1.2 Significance of Study

This study will generate new knowledge on natural and safe DPP -IV and ACE inhibitory activity from *Gracilaria changii* protein. This study will help pharmaceuticals industry to find out a raw ingredient for generating pharmaceuticals products. This study may give an alternative source to the health-conscious consumer for natural food-based products, as they are safe and also environmentally friendly (Veeresham, 2014). This study may also be a guideline to the food industry for developing nutraceutical and value-added products. Furthermore, value added products from seaweed species may also increase the economic value of seaweed industry.

1.3 Objective

1. To evaluate the bio-active peptides from the *Gracilaria changii* protein extract.
2. To determine the half maximal inhibitory concentration (EC_{50}) of ACE inhibitory activities and DPP-IV Inhibitory activities from *Gracilaria changii* protein.
3. Rapid identification of protein from *Gracilaria changii* using bioinformatics (In silico) tools.

CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1 Seaweed

2.1.1 Characteristics of seaweed

Seaweed is a member of the algal group that comprises about 10,000 species. According to previous publications, seaweed for human usage is generated from China 1700 years ago (Yang *et al.*, 2017). It is also known as “marine algae” which form dense forests in coastal water. Oscillation in temperature, light, osmotic stress and desiccation is the brutal environment confronted by the seaweed (Gupta and Abu-Ghannam, 2011). It varies in size ranges from the smallest microscopic single cells to the largest plants, for example, giant seaweeds (Lee, 1987; Raj, 2018).

Seaweed is of ecological importance that contributes around 10% of the total world marine productivity and acts as an important primary producer in the food chain which supplies oxygen to the sea. It serves not only as food and production of hydrocolloid but also as an ingredient in cosmetics and fertilizers (Chan *et al.*, 2006).

Seaweed can classify into three main phyla based on pigmentation which are Chlorophyta (green), Rhodophyta (red), and Phaeophyta (brown). The green pigment of Chlorophytes is due to the dominance of chlorophyll as in land plants while the brown pigments of Phaeophytes are due to the presence of fucoxanthin and xanthophylls. Rhodophytes, the red algae seaweed has red color because of the phycoerythrin pigment (Raj, 2018). Besides red pigment, Rhodophytes also have blue pigments such as phycocyanin and phycoerythrin. The red and blue pigment give benefit to Rhodophytes as it can absorb blue-green light in the sea for food production by photosynthesis (Pal *et al.*, 2014).

2.1.2 Nutritional composition of seaweed

The protein content in seaweed is generally high especially in Rhodophyta or red seaweed (Mohamed *et al.*, 2012). Table 2.1 shows the general nutritional composition of seaweed (Rohani-Ghadikolaei *et al.*, 2012).

Table 2.1: Nutritional composition of seaweed (Rohani-Ghadikolaei *et al.*, 2012)

Composition	Moisture	Lipid	Protein	Ash	Carbohydrate
Dry weight basis (%)	6 – 12	1 - 5	10 - 30	12 - 30	30 – 60

2.1.3 Applications of seaweed

The protein content in seaweed is generally high especially in Rhodophyta or red seaweed (Mohamed *et al.*, 2012). Seaweed as a source of food, agar, and gelling substance is valuable for society. At present, seaweed is not only used in food and pharmaceutical industries for economical purposes, but also acts as a growth medium for bacteriological studies (Pal *et al.*, 2014). Traditionally, seaweed has been used as the main food source for more than 14,000 years, especially in Pacific and Asian countries (Gofii *et al.*, 2000). Seaweed has a high nutritional value such as protein, carbohydrate, minerals, dietary fiber, and vitamins with low calories content which make it potential as a portion of healthy food (Baptista *et al.*, 2011). Table 2.2 shows the different applications of seaweed.

Table 2.2: Applications of seaweed

Application	Reference
Food source such as bread, condiments, drinks, noodles, salad, soup, sushi	(Bouga and Combet, 2015)
Animal feed and supplement especially apply for fish	(Schuenhoff <i>et al.</i> , 2003)
Growth medium for bacteriological studies	(Pal <i>et al.</i> , 2014)
Restoration ecology	(Haapalehto <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
Ingredient in the field of pharmacology	(Raj, 2018)

2.1.3 *Gracilaria changii*

Gracilaria is one of the largest genera of red algal phylum Rhodophyta with more than 100 species distributed worldwide at intertidal and sub-tidal zones (Gulbransen *et al.*, 2012). *Gracilaria* genus is an essential marine seaweed to humans nowadays because it is a worldwide source of agar (Marinho and Bourret, 2005). It also serves as fresh vegetables *Gracilaria changii* is a type of red seaweed that is abundant in Pattani, Thailand (Benjama and Masniyom, 2011).

2.1.4 Taxonomy and Morphology

The colour of *G. changii* is dark red while the thallus could grow between 180 mm to 220 mm tall. Primary branches are shorter compare to secondary branches and reach up about 25 mm to 40 mm long while secondary branches reach up around 40 mm to 170 mm. The species discoidal holdfast and the branches are irregular with diameter between 1 to 2 mm. Constriction occur at base of branches, swelling at middle and tapering toward the end. The formation of branches occurs occasionally. The tip of secondary branches either are divided into two short branchlets. Formation of new branches with pointed tip are seen along tertiary branches. The cross section of stipe stipe is composed of 3–4 layers of parenchymatous cells and surround by 2–3 layer of small rounded cortical cells at the cortex (Nur *et al.*, 2018).The taxonomy classification of *Gracilaria changii* is shown in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3: Taxonomy classification of seaweed (*Gracilaria changii*) (I.A.Abbott., 1999)

Rank	Name
Kingdom	Plantae

Phylum	Rhodophyta
Class	Rhodophyceae
Subclass	Florideophyceae
Order	Gracilariales
Family	Gracilariaceae
Genus	<i>Gracilaria</i>
Species	<i>Gracilaria changii</i>

2.1.5 Nutritional composition of *Gracilaria changii*

Several studies have been reported on the nutritional composition of *G. changii*. Freeze-dried *Gracilaria changii* contains high dietary fibre ($64.74 \pm 0.82\%$), low fat ($0.30 \pm 0.02\%$) and Na/K ratio (0.12 ± 0.02). The total amino acid content ($91.90 \pm 7.70\%$) is mainly essential amino acids ($55.87 \pm 2.15 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$) (P. T. Chan & Matanjun, 2017). The red algae *G. changii* contain (wet weight basis): total protein ($6.9 \pm 0.1\%$), crude fiber, ($24.70 \pm 0.7\%$); total lipid, ($3.30 \pm 0.2\%$) and ash, ($22.7 \pm 0.6\%$). (Norziah and Ching, 2000).

2.1.6 Previous study on *Gracilaria changii*

Besides the nutritional composition of *G. changii*, there are limited studies reported on *G. changii* as shown in Table 2.4. Sasidharan *et al.* (2008) reported that the methanol extract of *Gracilaria changii* exhibited antimicrobial activity for in vivo brine shrimp lethality and in vitro anticancer cell line activity. Badranei *et al.*, (2020) have reported on seaweed *G. cangii* as effective bioremediation for shrimp *P. vannamei* culture because seaweed reduces ammonia that is toxic for shrimp.

Table 2.4 Previous study on *Gracilaria changii*

Previous findings	Reference
Study on <i>Gracilaria changii</i> to evaluate toxicity	(Sasidharan <i>et al.</i> , 2008)
Genetic diversity of <i>Gracilaria changii</i> (Gracilariaceae, Rhodophyta) from the west coast, Peninsular Malaysia based on mitochondrial cox-1 gene analysis	(Yow <i>et al.</i> , 2011)
Determination of antioxidant and hypolipidaemic properties of red seaweed, <i>Gracilaria changii</i>	(P. T. Chan <i>et al.</i> , 2014)
Seaweed <i>Gracilaria changii</i> as a bioremediation agent for ammonia, nitrite and nitrate in controlled tanks of Whiteleg Shrimp <i>Litopen aeusvannamei</i>	(Badraeni <i>et al.</i> , 2020)

2.2 Seaweed Drying

Researchers reported that after cleaning red seaweed, clean seaweed is immediately placed in a freezer(-40°C) and then freeze-dried in a freeze-dryer for 24 h.(P. T. Chan *et al.*, 2014). Biomass drying methods such as freeze, vacuum, solar and convective drying play an important role in protein yield from seaweeds along with affecting their functional properties (Abdullah, 2019). Many researchers have reported that Freeze-drying prior to protein extraction resulted in higher protein content as well as antioxidant activity in five species of brown seaweeds (*Fucus spiralis*, *Laminaria digitata*, *Fucus serratus*, *Halidrys siliquosa*, *Pelvetia canaliculata*). But the green seaweed, *Ulva* sp., a convective drying method (hot air at 70°C, airflow rate of 2.0 m/s for 120 min) provide higher crude protein yield (20%) compared to freeze, vacuum or solar-drying Moreover, the biomass that procures from a convective drying holds higher antioxidant activity. (Cermeno *et al.*, 2020)

2.3 Protein extraction from seaweed

For plant-based protein, protein extraction is needed prior to protein enzymatic hydrolysis because the protein is entrapped in the cell wall of the seaweed. Extraction is defined as a separation technique of the substances in a mixture by dissolving the test component in solvents that yields at least two components which are the solute or extracted solution and the residue (Benaiges and Guillen, 2007). Raw and unprocessed seaweed has poor protein digestibility, and thus tend to reduce the bioactivity of seaweed. The development of different methods for seaweed protein extraction has helped to improve and increase bioavailability (Fleurence *et al.*, 2004).

The efficiency of extraction is influenced by two main factors : a) Chemical composition of the seaweed species , b) Morphological or structural characteristics of seaweed (Barbarino and Lourenço, 2005). Red, green and brown seaweeds have significant differences in terms of chemical composition including protein, fiber, carbohydrate, lipid and moisture content. This makes the seaweeds to pose different ability in the formation of a variety of products (Ciko *et al.*, 2018).

The multiple layers cell wall of seaweed is not only formed by the combination of polysaccharides, alginates and carrageenan but also associate with various interactions of bonds including calcium and potassium (Wijesinghe and Jeon, 2013). Successful extraction of protein in seaweed is influenced by the availability of the protein molecules in seaweed. But the protein in seaweed is hindered by anionic cell-wall polysaccharides including the carrageenan in red seaweed and alginates in brown seaweed. So, an enzyme such as polysaccharidase is used for cell disruption as a part of pre-treatment. This pre-treatment is applied to increase protein yield during protein extraction (Bleakley and Hayes, 2017). Table 2.5 shows the different chemical extraction methods for precipitating proteins from seaweed.

Table 2.5: Different types of extraction methods for precipitating proteins from seaweed

Seaweed used	Type of extraction	Protein yield on dry basis	Reference
--------------	--------------------	----------------------------	-----------

Irish brown seaweed (<i>Ascophyllum nodosum</i>)	Treatment with acid (HCl) and alkali (NaOH)	59.76% yield	(Kadam <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
	Sequential extraction	59.76% protein extracted had a mean mass of 3.27 kDa	
	Ultrasound pre-treatment	Increase protein extraction with acid and alkaline treatment alone by 540% and 27%, respectively and time interval from 60 min to 10 min	
<i>Ulva rigida</i> and <i>Ulva rotundata</i>	Deionized water	Low macromolecule protein yield for each species	(Fleurencel <i>et al.</i> , 1995)
	Tris HCl (0.1 M) buffer	-	
	Extraction with Tris HCl (0.1 M) buffer with sonication	-	
	The first extraction with Tris HCl (0.1 M) buffer and second extraction with NaOH (0.1 M)	Remarkably improves the yield	
	First extraction with deionized water and second extraction with NaOH (0.1 M)	Highest protein yield	
	Extraction in the aqueous polymer two-phase system	Notably increases the recovery of proteins (2.0 fold for <i>U. rigida</i> , 2.3 fold for <i>U. rotundata</i>)	
Algal cultures (<i>Scenedesmus</i> , <i>Synechococcus</i> , <i>Asterionella</i>)	Standard method extraction	-	(Rausch, 1981)
<i>Palmaria palmata</i>	Polysaccharidase	-	(Joubert and

	degradation (enzymatic hydrolysis)		Fleurence, 2007)
<i>Gelidium pusillum</i>	Aqueous two-phase extraction	-	(Mittal <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
<i>Palmaria palmata</i>	Osmotic stress	thirty-ninth percent protein yield	(Harnedy and FitzGerald, 2013)
Brown seaweed (<i>Macrocystis pyrifera</i>) and red seaweed (<i>Chondracanthus chamissoi</i>)	High shear force	40% protein yield	(Vasquez <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
	Alkaline and aqueous	24% protein yield	
	Enzyme assisted extraction (EAE)	<i>M. pyrifera</i> : 74.6% protein yield <i>C. chamissoi</i> : 36.1% protein yield	
<i>Ulva sp.</i>	Deionized water and treatment with β - mercaptoethanol	20% protein yield	(Kazir <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
	Deionized water without β - mercaptoethanol	30% protein yield	
	Deionized water and ultrasonic bath	20% protein yield	
	Lysis buffer	30% protein yield	
	NaOH and ultrasonic bath	65% protein yield	
<i>Porphyra umbilicalis</i>, <i>Ulva lactuca</i>, and <i>Saccharina latissima</i>	Sonication in water and ammonium sulphate salt precipitation of protein	Greatest protein yield once applied to <i>U. lactuca</i> ($19.6 \pm 0.8\%$)	(Harrysson <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
	Accelerated solvent extraction		
	pH-shift method	<i>P. umbilicalis</i> ($22.6 \pm7.3\%$) and <i>S. latissima</i> ($25.1 \pm0.9\%$)	

Brown seaweed (<i>Saccharina latissima</i>)	pH-shift method	Total protein yield does not exceed 11.2%	(Abdollahi <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
<i>Eucheuma cottonii</i>	Phenol/lysis buffer Extraction	0.027 ± 0.000 mg/g protein yield	(Lim and Teo, 2015)
	Phenol (TRI reagent)/ chloroform extraction	0.018 ± 0.001 mg/g protein yield	
	Phenol/SDS Buffer Extraction	0.024 ± 0.002 mg/g protein yield	

Ammonium sulphate is the most traditional and most commonly used extraction method for salting out proteins because it is a cheaper and safer chemical reagent. The basic theory to extract protein from plants is generally based on the solubility of globular proteins in the plant. Ammonium sulphate is a type of salt where can increase the solubility of globular protein when the addition of salt at less than 0.15 M. This process is defined as salting-in. The protein solubility decreases at higher ammonium sulphate concentrations and thus lead to precipitation of protein (Green and Hughes, 1955). Ammonium sulphate is the commonly used extraction method for plants as it poses several advantages. The protein extraction through ammonium sulphate is reported to be faster, easier and simple when compare to other extraction methods (Oh *et al.*, 2013).

2.4 MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry

MALDI was introduced in 1988 by Karas and Hillenkamp. It is supported the employment of organic matrices powerfully gripping the wavelength of the optical maser used (often a pulsed nitrogen laser at 337nm) to desorb and ionize in a very comparatively soft manner intact Mass compounds. MALDI is a discontinuous particle production technique that is, of course coupled to discontinuous mass analyzers. TOF mass spectrometers square measure presumably the best mass analyzers by principle, and square measure ideally fitted to MALDI particle sources. The power of the MALDI technique in analyzing completely different categories of biomolecules like peptides, proteins, polysaccharides, polynucleotides (Chaurand *et al.*, 1999). Previous studies showed that amide sequences were foreseen by matrix-assisted optical maser desorption/ionization-time of flight bike mass spectrographic analysis (MALDI-TOF/MS) technique employing a 4700 genetics instrument with Denovo soul computer code (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Researchers also noteded that MALDI-TOF may be a key analytical technique in macromolecule chemistry. It is the most popular technique for the identification of macromolecules (Jurinke *et al.*, 2004)

2.5 Protein hydrolysis

Protein hydrolysates are the breakdown product of protein into protein fractions which include the mixtures of polypeptides, oligopeptides and amino acids through partial hydrolysis (Schaafsma, 2009). Marine protein hydrolysates can be prepared by

proteolytic food grade enzymatic process, simulated gastrointestinal digestion, fermentation process and solvent extraction (Vijaykrishnaraj and Prabhasankar, 2015). Enzymatic protein hydrolysis is generally composed of the nucleophilic attack of a water molecule, which catalysed by a peptidase, on the covalent peptide bond between the carboxyl and amino groups of two adjacent amino acids (Wouters *et al.*, 2016). There are two categories in terms of protein fractions based on different characteristics. Firstly, the category consists of protein fractions with a high amino acid content. Secondly, the category consists of inactive bioactive peptides with an amino acid sequence (Thiquynhhoa *et al.*, 2015). The efficiency and functionality of a protein hydrolysate highly depend on the molecular size, structure and amino acid sequences of peptide generated (Chabanon *et al.*, 2007).

Over the last two decades, the preparations of bioactive peptides have continually been discovered because the short chain peptides from proteins hydrolysis have a higher nutritive value and can be further utilized more efficiently than an equivalent mixture of free amino acids (Kaminski *et al.*, 1986). Previous studies have shown that the protein hydrolysates derived from marine products such as fish, mollusk, bivalves, seaweed, possessed numerous fundamental metabolic processes (Mohamed *et al.*, 2012; Millan-Linares *et al.*, 2014; Lee *et al.*, 2015). Protein hydrolysis involved the use of proteases from animal such as chymotrypsin, trypsin and pepsin, plants and microorganisms. The use of mild temperatures and pH levels in enzymatic protein hydrolysis makes the nutritional properties of the protein hydrolysates remain almost unchanged which are regarded safe for human nutrition (McCarthy *et al.*, 2013).

Protein hydrolysis is commonly used in food industry to improve the functional and physical properties of proteins such as solubility, emulsification, gelation, water-holding capacities, fat-holding capacities and foaming ability in various types of foods (Guan *et al.*, 2006). In addition, biopeptides also offer several advantages that make them preferable in pharmaceutical and food industries, due to the presence of wide spectrum of therapeutic action, low levels of toxicity and structural diversity in the product with different length and amino acid and sequences (Nasri, 2017).

2.5.1 Preparation of protein hydrolysates

According to Mandawat (2016), the preparation of protein hydrolysates can be classified into three stages, which are sample preparation, hydrolysis and purification. The first stage is sample preparation. In most situation, the main purpose of sample preparation is to both remove interferences and to pre-concentrate the analytes into a phase that suitable for the selected final analysis (Bergstrom, 2006). After the washing process, pre-treatment follow by dehydration using air drying method (Paiva *et al.*, 2017) or other drying method and size reducing depend on the requirement of the analysis. For animal protein, the protein can be hydrolysed straight away without any extraction. However, for plants protein, protein extraction is needed prior to hydrolysis .

The second stage of preparation of protein hydrolysates is enzymatic hydrolysis. The protein is mixed with a buffer solution. Then, the selected enzyme is added homogeneously into the seaweed buffer solution. The major enzyme groups used for protein hydrolysate production are bacterial proteases such as Alcalase and Protamex (Bleakley and Hayes, 2017). Besides that, gastrointestinal proteases such as pepsin and

plant origin such as bromelain (Zhao *et al.*, 2009) and papain (Kittiphattanabawon *et al.*, 2013) also have been reported to use in production of protein hydrolysates.

The variables such as temperature, time, pH and E/S are adjusted to the optimum condition. Protein hydrolysis take places under mild processing conditions of pH 6 to 8 and temperature 40 to 60°C, which can minimizes side reactions (Hernandez-Ledesma *et al.*, 2011). During the hydrolysis process, the degree of hydrolysis (DH) or bioactivity or functional properties of protein can be chosen as specific indicator. DH is a measurement of the extent of hydrolysis degradation of a protein (Nedjar-Arroume *et al.*, 2008) and can be defined as the percent ratio between the number of peptide bonds cleaved and the total number of bonds available for proteolytic hydrolysis (Sbroggio *et al.*, 2016). However, longer hydrolysis time may cause the peptides lost their ability such as the ability to inhibit ACE (Wu *et al.*, 2008). Enzymatic hydrolysis is terminated by deactivating the enzyme at high temperature, commonly 90°C for a period of time. The mixture is allowed to cool down and is known as protein hydrolysate.

The final stage is purification. Heat inactivation, ultrafiltration, hydrolysis by exo-proteases and treatment with specific enzymes are the most common process in post-hydrolysis. Table 2.6 shows the main post-hydrolysis processes and the function of processes (McCarthy *et al.*, 2013, Manadawat, 2016). Centrifugation or filtration is performed on the hydrolysis mixture containing the peptides as well as the unhydrolyzed debris and other residues (Vissesangua and Benjakul, 2012). The supernatant or precipitate is then dried through different drying method, for instance, freeze drying, oven drying and spray drying. Among the drying methods, freeze drying at - 80°C is the

most preferable method since it minimises physical damage, oxidation and thermal reactions and preserves the characteristic chemical composition of the seaweeds (Wong and Cheung,2001). The powder formed protein hydrolysates can give benefit applications during the analysis such as reduced in volume, require less storage space and increase shelf life (Cano-Chauca *et al.*, 2005).

Table 2.6 : Post-hydrolysis processes and the function of each processes (Mandawat, 2016)

Process	Function
Heat inactivation	Inactivation of proteolytic enzymes
Use of specific enzymes	Reduce the content of specific amino acids
Ultrafiltration	Removal of high molecular weight proteins and peptides
Activated carbon	Reduction of bitterness
Hydrolysis by exo-proteases	Hydrolysis, reduction of bitterness
Absorption chromatography	Reduce the content of aromatic amino acids

Besides that, the functional properties of the native protein could be enhanced through enzymatic protein hydrolysis without decreasing its nutritional value because proteolysis allows the activation of amino acids in the peptides that are encrypted in the protein structure. The peptides produced remain highly soluble under both acidic conditions and thermal treatments. Protein hydrolysates produced through enzymatic protein hydrolysis can have better organoleptic and sensory properties (Betancur-Ancona *et al.*, 2009). In addition, enzymatic protein hydrolysis not only increases the amount of hydrophilic and polar groups but also reduces the molecular weight of peptides. This results in changes of the structure of protein globular structure since the hydrophobic regions of the protein are hidden and directly influence the emulsifying and foaming properties of protein hydrolysate (Van der Ven *et al.*, 2001).

Protein hydrolysates prepared through enzymatic protein hydrolysis may have better absorption characteristics of proteins. Previous reports have shown that enzymatic protein hydrolysates acted as a suitable source of protein for human nutrition as the gastrointestinal tract absorbed the peptide more effectively when compared with free amino acids (Morris *et al.*, 2007). Peptides present in protein hydrolysate of algae possessed several important bioactive activities included antioxidant (Harrysson *et al.*, 2018), antibacterial, anti-inflammatory and antihypertension (Lee *et al.*, 2015). Previous studies reported that plants such as seaweed, wheat, mushrooms and spinach and bitter melon seeds used to obtain ACE inhibitory peptides through hydrolysis. Both in vivo and in vitro assays allow ACE inhibitory peptides derived from plants to demonstrate antihypertensive activity (Gupta *et al.*, 2018).

2.5.2 Factors affecting degree of hydrolysis and bioactivity of protein

The main enzymatic hydrolysis parameters that influenced the hydrolysis of protein depends on the type protease used, hydrolysis time, temperature and pH (Benitez *et al.*, 2008). These parameters will affect not only the degree of hydrolysis, but also the bioactivity and functional properties of protein (Admassu *et al.*, 2015) and molecular weight distribution of the peptides (McCarthy *et al.*, 2013). It is well known that the factors cooperatively influence the enzyme activity in protein hydrolysis, thereby making the process more controllable (Jamil *et al.*, 2016)

2.5.2.1 Types of proteases

Bioactive peptides from seaweed can be obtained basically by protein hydrolysis using digestive proteases, proteases from plant or microbial proteases during the fermentation process (Samarakoon and Jeon, 2012). In enzymatic hydrolysis process, encrypted peptides are often discharged to play their specific role. Protease has a sensitive structure containing an active site that catalyzes specific substrates and performs highly specific reactions (Hasson *et al.*, 2002). These proteolytic hydrolyzing enzymes can work either separately or in a serial combination of them for the production of bioactive peptides, which range from 2 to 20 amino acid compositions.

A large variety of commercial enzymes mainly endo-peptidase and exo-peptidases, also called endo-proteases and exo-proteases, are available for the production of protein hydrolysates. Endo-peptidases hydrolyze amino acids of the interior of the polypeptide chain, where as exo-peptidases hydrolyze from either the carboxyl end (C terminal) or the amino end (N terminal) of the protein. Although most commercial enzymes are endo-peptidases, the mixtures of endo-peptidases and exo-peptidases also can act as an enzyme (Hamada, 2000). A wide variety of proteolytic enzymes are available from fermentations, animal and plant commercially. The most commonly used enzymes for protein hydrolysates from plant sources are papain and bromelain, animal sources are pancreatin, trypsin and pepsin, and microbial fermentation sources are Alcalase. Protein hydrolysis can be accomplished in two ways include a single enzymatic step using an enzyme and a sequential enzyme hydrolysis using multiple enzymes. The choice of enzyme depends on the protein source and end user requirements (Pasupuleti and Demain, 2010).

2.5.2.2 pH

There are different parameters in protein hydrolysis and this makes it become a complex system to produce protein hydrolysates. The parameters include a mass of the hydrolysis mixture, E/S, pH, temperature and conditions needed to inactivate the protein hydrolysis process (Navarrete and Garcia, 2002). pH is an extremely crucial and sensitive parameter not only in biological, chemical and medical research areas but also in laboratory and industrial applications, especially the protein hydrolysis process. The enzyme is a type of protein that sensitive to pH change from the surroundings as the three-dimensional structure and amino acid functional groups in protein needs an optimum pH for more adequate to bind and catalyst the substrate (Bisswanger, 2014). The optimum pH for protease is a narrow range of pH and is usually determined through the bell-shaped curve in the graph of enzyme activity as a function of pH (Talley and Alexov, 2010). The most favorable physiological pH value for the most enzyme is 7, which is the neutral value. However, there are several exceptions. For example, Alcalase is an alkaline protease, so the pH range of Alcalase is alkaline within the range from 7 to 9 (Ma *et al.*, 2015; Awuor *et al.*, 2017). Pepsin is an acid protease with an optimum pH from 2 to 3 (Jung *et al.*, 2014). The compound that resists the addition of acids and alkalis is known as a buffer. A buffer solution is used to adjust and control the pH value in proteolytic hydrolysis to allow the maximum activity of proteases for the production of protein hydrolysate (Okamoto *et al.*, 2017). As extreme pH, away from the optimum pH of a particular protease, this will result in complete loss of enzyme activity and denature.

2.5.2.3 Temperature

Over a century ago, the rate of enzyme activities and temperature applied to the enzymatic hydrolysis process are discovered. The degree of protein hydrolysis increase when the hydrolysis temperature increase as the higher the temperature, the higher the rate of reaction of an enzyme. A temperature rise of 10°C, doubles the reaction rate (Ovissipour *et al.*, 2009). When the temperature is low, the enzyme is inactive and lead to no hydrolysis process occurring. In contrast, the enzyme deactivates if the temperature is too high because of the intermolecular attraction between polar groups such as hydrogen bonding, dipole-dipole attractions and ionic interactions and hydrophobic forces between the non-polar groups of the enzyme break by the high thermal energy. The confirmation of the active site of the enzyme alters and most of the enzyme is thermal deactivation or denaturation at high temperature (Daniel and Danson, 2013; Robinson, 2015). The protein hydrolysis process is usually terminated by inactivating the protease through heat treatment. The adjustment of temperature avoids the production of unwanted subsequent peptides or protein hydrolysate and the development of harsh conditions (Jo *et al.*, 2017). Different proteases have different optimum temperatures and germination temperatures.

2.5.2.3 Hydrolysis Time

The prolonged hydrolysis time or incubation time is directly proportional to the degree of hydrolysis (DH), when other parameters such as E/S, pH and temperature are constant. The amount of bioactive peptide becomes higher, when the hydrolysis time given to the enzyme increase and further increase the functional properties such as ACE inhibitory activities of food product (Mohtar *et al.*, 2014). Prior studies emphasize that the concentration of protease has a significant effect on reducing hydrolysis time. When the protease concentration is high, the protease will not become the limiting factor. Protease is free to bind with the substrate and increase the rate of protein hydrolysate production in a shorter hydrolysis time (Taylor *et al.*, 2005). Throughout the protein hydrolysis process, the degree of hydrolysis (DH) increases proportionally with time. The rate of protein hydrolysis decreases slowly at the end of the process because the peptide bonds within the protein are no longer available for hydrolysis. The number of peptides and the native protein becomes constant as the same ratio of enzyme and substrate (Bao *et al.*, 2017). Table 2.7 shows the commercial enzyme and various optimum parameters (Amiza *et al.*, 2017).

Table 2.7: Commercial enzyme and various optimum parameter (Amiza *et al.*, 2017)

Enzyme	pH	Temperature (°C)	Hydrolysis time (hour)
Alcalase	8.5	55	2, 4
Protamex	6.5	50	2, 4
Neutrase	7.0	55	2, 4
Papain	6.0	60	2, 4

2.6 Bioinformatics approach

In order to bypass some challenges of the classical approach, computer-based (often known as “in silico”) simulation has been recently applied towards the invention of bioactive peptides encrypted in food proteins (Holton *et al.*, 2013). With the advantage of

simultaneously evaluating multiple food proteins and proteolytic enzymes, bioinformatics is well-positioned to make a transformative impact in bioactive peptide research. The *in silico* approach involves the use of information accrued in databases, such as BIOPEP (Dziuba *et al.*,1999) to determine the occurrence frequency of cryptic bioactive peptides in the primary structure of food proteins. The protein sequences can be obtained from databases, notably the universal protein knowledgebase (UniProtKB)(Udenigwe, 2014). Other researchers also noted that bioinformatics tools can be used to select the critical process parameters for the production of specific peptides from proteins as well as to identify novel peptides with the aim of synthesizing and determining their bioactivity. This computer-based (or “*in silico*”) approach utilizes information databases. BIOPEP-UWM is a specific database for bioactive peptides, which enables to reach specific peptide sequences with their potential activity. Several other databases can be used to obtain protein sequences for analyzing their potential bioactive peptides; such as UniProtKB, SwissProt, TrEMBL and NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) (Kartal *et al.*, 2020). Many researchers start to integrate *in silico* approach with experimental studies due to its time-saving and economical benefits and they have performed experimental studies which have supporting results within *in silico* evaluations. Nowadays, bioactive peptides have gained importance as their potential impact on human health became clear and the integration of *in silico* approach in experimental studies to predict the possible positive health effects of bioactive peptides become one of the primary interests of researchers in worldwide (Kartal *et al.*, 2020).

2.7 Bioactive peptide

Food-derived bioactive peptides commonly contain 2 to 20 amino acids and they are inactive when encrypted in their native protein structure. They need to be released by protein degradation. Peptides present in protein hydrolysate of algae possessed several important bioactive activities included antioxidant (Harrysson *et al.*, 2018), antibacterial (Shannon and Abu-Ghannam, 2016), anti-inflammatory (Lee *et al.*, 2015) and antihypertension (Suetsuna *et al.*, 2004).

2.7.1 DPP IV inhibitor

Seaweed-derived peptides have been shown to be efficient in inhibiting the enzymes dipeptidyl peptidase-IV (DPP-IV; EC 3.4.14.5) and platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase (PAF-AH; EC 3.1.1.47)(Lafarga *et al.*, 2020). Dipeptidyl peptidase-IV (DPP- IV) inhibitors unique approach for the management of diabetes has been considered to be safe, as DPP-IV inhibitors reduce blood glucose levels by monitoring hyperglycemia including positive effects on weight because it remains neutral, improve glycated hemoprotein levels and don't induce symptom.(Singh *et al.*, 2017).Seaweed-derived DPP-IV restrictive peptides known to this point enclose the peptides ILAP, LLAP, and MAGVDHI, recently rumored by Harnedy, Georgia Okeeffe and FitzGerald.The peptides ILAP, LLAP, and MAGVDHI were generated from an aqueous protein extract of *Palmaria palmata* using Corolase PP and showed DPP- IV EC50 values of 43.4, 53.7, and 159.4 μ M, respectively. In addition, Fitzgerald, Gallagher, O'Connor, Prieto, Mora-Soler, Grealy and Hayes identified the PAF-AH inhibitory tetrapeptide NIGK, which was generated from *Palmaria palmata* using papain and showed a PAF-AH EC50 value of 2.3 mM (Lafarga *et al.*, 2020).

2.7.2 ACE inhibitory peptide

ACE(Angiotensin-I-Converting Enzyme) is a crucial enzyme in blood pressure regulation and leads to hypertension. Hence, the inhibition of ACE using ACE inhibitor is important to treat high blood pressure (Coppey *et al.*, 2006). ACE inhibitors not only inactivate the formation of angiotensin II from angiotensin I but also increase bradykinin

bioavailability by reducing its degradation into inactive fragments (Gamboa *et al.*, 2011). Thus, ACE inhibitors are always the first choice for patients in treating hypertension. Natural food-based ACE inhibitors are safer than synthetic ACE inhibitors drugs such as captopril, enalapril and lisinopril because a study has reported that synthetic drugs may contain carcinogenic contaminants N-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) and other side effects (Packard *et al.*, 2002). The previous report has shown that 20% of hypertension patients stop the ACE inhibitor treatments due to the occurrence of side effects, especially chronic cough (Morimoto *et al.*, 2004). Therefore, the non-toxic, safer and economically friendly natural ACE inhibitors from food-based materials are more preferable. Researchers are more focused on the development of natural ACE inhibitors that are isolated from a variety of natural bio-resources and functional foods (Kumar *et al.*, 2010). Previous studies reported that plants such as seaweed, wheat mushrooms (Jang *et al.*, 2011), spinach (Yang *et al.*, 2003) and bitter melon seeds used to obtain ACE inhibitory peptides through hydrolysis. Both *in vivo* and *in vitro* assays allow ACE inhibitory peptides derived from plants to demonstrate antihypertensive activity (Gupta *et al.*, 2018).

2.7.3 Antioxidative peptide

The oxidation of lipids and proteins of food products during processing or storage by reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as superoxide anion radical ($O_2^{\bullet-}$), hydroxyl radical ($\bullet OH$), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), and Peroxyl radical ($\bullet OOR$), is the major reason for food deterioration that would reduce consumer acceptability of food due to undesirable changes of quality and the possible production of toxic compounds. (Li *et al.*, 2010). Consuming these potentially toxic products may trigger various human chronic diseases, including cancer, arteriosclerosis, aging diabetes mellitus, inflammation, coronary heart

diseases, and neurological disorders, such as Alzheimer's disease (Kitts *et al.*, 2003). Therefore, to prevent food products from such deteriorations and protect consumers against serious diseases, one key strategy is inhibition of lipid peroxidation occurring in the living body and food products by using antioxidant substances or preservatives. Antioxidants or preservatives are chemical components in biological materials, relatively found in low concentrations that prolong the shelf life of food by delaying or inhibiting the oxidation of a substrate in the food (Balboa *et al.*, 2013). Bioactive peptides are the most appearing antioxidative substances in food. Synthetic substances, for example, butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), propyl gallate, TBHQ (tert-butyl hydroquinone) have better antioxidant activity and retarding effects of oxidation than those of the natural antioxidants. However, the use of these chemical antioxidants needs strict control due to their potential health risks and toxicity (Admassu *et al.*, 2018). To date, no study has been reported on the evaluation of bioactive peptides from *Gracilaria changii* protein by using silico tools.

CHAPTER 3 : MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 Study area

This study was done at Bangladesh Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (BCSIR), Dhaka.

3.2 Material

3.2.1 Raw materials

One kilograms of dried seaweed (*Gracilaria changii*) was purchased from Malaysia. The seaweed was stored in chiller at 4°C until further use.

3.3 Methods

3.3.1 Overview of study

Firstly, the seaweed was cleaned with water three times to remove all the contaminants, cut into small pieces and lyophilized, prior to grinding into powder form. Secondly, seaweed protein was extracted using cellulase and ammonium sulphate treatment, prior to freeze drying and MALDI- TOF mass spectrometry analysis. Then, bioactive peptide was predicted from target protein phycocyanin via “In silico “ approach..

3.3.2 Preparation of raw material

According to Chan and Matanjun (2017), the dried seaweed was washed with tap water three times to remove all the unwanted impurities, adhering sand particles, epiphytes and other contaminants. Secondly, the seaweed was cut into small pieces of around 1 cm long. Thirdly, the excess water was removed by placing the wet seaweed in a siever and

then the seaweed was wrapped in aluminium foil, followed by storage in a freezer at -80°C. Then, the seaweed was freeze-dried using a cabinet freeze dryer. Next, dry blender was used to grind the lyophilized seaweed into powder form. Lastly, the seaweed was stored in chiller for further use.

3.3.3 Protein extraction of seaweed

Protein extraction method from seaweed was employed as described by Galland-Irmouli *et al.* (1999), with slight modification. The method used a combination of treatment using cellulase enzyme, sonication and ammonium sulphate extraction. Ten grams of freeze-dried and ground seaweed powder was suspended in 250 mL acetate buffer with 1 g cellulase for 2 h by using water bath (Shaker bath 903, Protech, UK). Next, the mixture was suspended in 1 L of ultrapure water independently, followed by sonication for 1 h. Then, the seaweed solution was stirred overnight on a magnetic stirrer plate at 4°C. The seaweed solution was centrifuged at 10,000×g for 30 min and the supernatant was decanted. The supernatant was brought to 60% (w/v) ammonium sulphate saturation. The mixture was stirred at 4°C for 1 h, followed by centrifuged at 10,000×g for 30 min to precipitate the protein fraction. The precipitates were dialyzed using 3.5-kDa MWCO dialysis tubing (Fischer Scientific, USA) against ultrapure water at 4°C overnight. Finally, the precipitate was freeze-dried and stored at -80°C until further use.

3.3.4 Protein identification from *Graciliria changii* protein by MALDI-TOF/MS

Extracted protein were analyzed by using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight/mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF/MS). MALDI as a principle for analysis of

large bio- molecules was introduced by Karas and Hillenkamp. Briefly, in MALDI-MS, the sample is embedded in the crystalline structure of small organic compounds (matrix) and deposited on a conductive sample support. The cocrystals are irradiated with a nanosecond laser beam, for example, an ultraviolet (UV) laser with a wavelength of 266 or 337 nm. The energies introduced are in the range of 1×10^7 – 5×10^7 W/cm². The laser energy causes structural decomposition of the irradiated crystal and generates a particle cloud (the plume) from which ions are extracted by an electric field. Following acceleration through the electric field, the ions drift through a field-free path and finally reach the detector (e.g., a secondary electron multiplier or channel plate). Ion masses typically calculated by measuring their TOF, which is longer for larger molecules than for smaller ones.(Jurinke *et al.*, 2004)

3.3.5 In silico analysis

The target *Graciliria changii* protein alpha phycocyanin subunit was identified by MALDI-TOF/MS data analysis. It was further investigated using NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>)) and BIOPEP-UWM

database (<https://biochemia.uwm.edu.pl/biopep-uwm>) to predict the potential biological activities. Firstly, protein sequence of alpha phycocyanin subunit obtained from NCBI database. Then, “Bioactive peptides” was chosen from the “database” options of BIOPEP-UWM. The identified target protein from analyzed using the “profiles of potential biological activity” tool, and the name of peptide, activity, number of peptide, sequence and location of bioactive peptides in protein sequences were acquired. The occurrence of frequency (A) of bioactive peptides was calculated as $A = a/N$, where a = number of bioactive peptides and N = total number of amino acid (AA) residues in the protein chain (Panjaitan *et al.*, 2018).

CHAPTER 4: RESULTS

4.1 Extracted Protein identified by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry

MALDI-TOF analysis revealed that the extracted *Gracilaria changii* protein include C-phycoerythrin beta chain, C-phycoerythrin alpha chain, Malate dehydrogenase, Ribulose-bisphosphate -carboxylase and Allophycoerythrin alpha chain. These are listed in the Table with their NCBI ID, molecular mass and amino acid number.

Table 4.1: *Gracilaria changii* protein predicted by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry

SL.	Protein	NCBI ID	Molecular Mass(Da)	AA No.
1	C-phycoerythrin beta chain	45777	18,201	172
2	C-phycoerythrin beta chain	45778	18,185	172
3	C-phycoerythrin alpha chain	45780	17,464	162
4	Malate dehydrogenase	46467	35,037	326
5	Ribulosebisphosphate carboxylase large chain	45785	51,379	467
6	Allophycoerythrin alpha chain	46453	17,532	161

4.2 In silico analysis

The biopep analyses showed that there were 175 bioactive peptides with several types of biologically functional peptides in phycoerythrin alpha subunit. The numbers of peptides released from phycoerythrin alpha subunit are presented in Table 4.2

Table 4.2: Evaluation of potential bioactive peptides of *Gracilaria changii* protein by BIOPEP-UWM database (accessed on November,2021).

Name of peptide	Peptide Sequence
DPP IV inhibitor	94 GP (2), MP (1), KA (1), VV (1), TP (1), SP (1), FP (1), GA (2), IA (2), RA (1), FL (1), AL (2), SL (3),GL (1), AA (3), WY (1), YT (1), AD (1), AG (1), AS (4), AT (1), EI (1), EY (1), GE (1),GY (1), HS (1), IN (3), KF (1), KT(1), LI (2), LT (1), LV (1), MK (1), NA (2), NE (1), NG (2), NR (1), NT (1), PF (1), PG (1), PI (1), PM (1), PS (1), PT (1), QA (1), QG (1), QS (2), QY (1), RL (1), RM (1), SF (1), SH (1), SI (1), SV (1), SVV (1), TG (2), TK (1), TQ (1),TS (1), TT (2), TY (3), VG (1),VY (1),YA (2),YI (2),YL (3),YQ (1),YY(1), GPM (1).
Ace Inhibitors	68 RY (1), RF (1),VY (1), FP (1), LSP (1),YL (3), DIGYY (1), GP (2), RA (1), IA (2),YA (2),AA (3), VG (1), IG (2), GA (2), GL (2), AG (1), GR (2), GQ (1) ,GK (1), GE (1), QG (1),SG (1),TG (2), EA (4), NG (2),PG (1), SF (1), KF (1), AR (2), KA (1), EY (1), EI (1), IE(1), TE (1), LQ (2), PT (1), TQ (1), AI (3), RYQ (1), FTTQ (1), ASL (1), LEE (1),SVY (1), GPM(1), DY(1),TP (1),TGP (1), LR (1), LDY (1), SVYT (1),YY (1),YLR (1), LRM (1).
DPP III inhibitors	13 YY(1),LR(1),YL(3),GE(1), RF(1),FL(1),PF(1),VY(1),YI(2),KA(1)
Antioxidative	13 GYY (1), EL (2), TY (3), VY (1), LDY (1), RYQ (1), GAA (1), CLV (1), SVYT (1), RY (1)
Renin inhibitors	8 FT (1), AR (1), KF(1), NR (1),SF (1),YA (2), SVYT (1)
PEP inhibitors	4 PGP (1), PG (1), GP (2)

®PEP= Prolyl endopeptidase

The sequence of peptide identified from *Gracilaria changii* protein and their characteristics, i.e. activity, EC₅₀ (Inhibition of half maximum activity), location and number of occurrence are presented in Table 4.3

Table 4.3: Sequence of peptide identified from *Gracilaria changii* protein and their characteristics

Sequence of peptide	Activity	EC ₅₀ (μM)	Location	No.	Reference
GP	DPP IV inhibitor	9690.00	[71-72],[105-106]	2	Gallego <i>et al.</i> , 2014 Ashmarin <i>et al.</i> , 1998 Ashmarin <i>et al.</i> , 1998 Byun <i>et al.</i> , 2002
	Antithrombotic	0.00			
	Antiamnestic	0.00			
	ACE inhibitor	252.63			
MP	DPP IV inhibitor	870.00	[69-70]	1	Hatanaka <i>et al.</i> , 2012
KA	DPP IV inhibitor	6270.00	[81-82]	1	Gallego <i>et al.</i> , 2014 Sentandreu <i>et al.</i> , 2007 Dhanda <i>et al.</i> , 2009
	ACE inhibitor	31.50			
	DPP III inhibitor	0.00			
VV	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[100-101]	1	Bella <i>et al.</i> , 1982
TP	DPP IV inhibitor	2370.00	[3-4]	1	Hatanaka <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Wu <i>et al.</i> , 2008
	ACE inhibitor	288.40			
SP	DPP IV inhibitor	5980.00	[125-126]	1	Hatanaka <i>et al.</i> , 2012
FP	ACE inhibitor	315.00	[63-64]	1	Abubakar <i>et al.</i> , 2010 Hatanaka <i>et al.</i> , 2012
	DPP IV inhibitor	363.00			
GA	ACE inhibitor	2000.00	[54-55], [102-103]	2	Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 1980 Hikida <i>et al.</i> , 2013
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00			
IA	ACE inhibitor	153.00	[9-10],[112-113]	2	Hikida <i>et al.</i> , 2013 Hikida <i>et al.</i> , 2013
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00			

RA	Activating ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis ACE inhibitor	0.00 460.00 0.00	[33-34]	2	Turner <i>et al.</i> , 2000 Cushman D. W., 1981 Hikida <i>et al.</i> , 2013
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00			
FL	DPP IV inhibitor	399.58	[18-19]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015 Nongonierma A. B., 2013 Dhanda S., 2008
	DPP III inhibitor	0.00			
AL	DPP IV inhibitor	882.13	[132-133],[160-161]	2	Nongonierma <i>et al.</i> , 2013
SL	DPP IV inhibitor	2517.08 0.00	[37-38],[43-44],[141-142]	3	Luzarowski <i>et al.</i> , 2021
	Regulating ACE inhibitor	2500.00	[114-115]	1	Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 1980
	DPP IV inhibitor	2615.00			Nongonierma <i>et al.</i> , 2013
AA	ACE inhibitor	620.00	[40-41],[55-56],[146-147]	3	Cushman D.W., 1981 Gallego <i>et al.</i> , 2014
	DPP IV inhibitor	9400.00			
WY	Antioxidative DPP IV inhibitor	0.00 281.00	[128-129]	1	Hernandez <i>et al.</i> , 2007 Nongonierma <i>et al.</i> , 2007
YT	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[60-61]	1	Nongonierma <i>et al.</i> , 2014
AD	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[12-13]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	Alpha-glucosidase inhibitor	25660.00			Mora <i>et al.</i> , 2020
AG	ACE inhibitor	2500.00	[113-114]	1	Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 1980
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00			Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
AS	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[10-11],[34-35],[36-37],[75-76]	4	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
AT	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[103-104]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015

EI	ACE inhibitor DPP IV inhibitor	0.00 0.00	[117-118]	1	van Platerink <i>et al.</i> , 2008 Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
EY	ACE inhibitor DPP IV inhibitor	2.68 0.00	[109-110]	1	Wu <i>et al.</i> , 2008 Lan <i>et al.</i> ; 2015
GE	ACE inhibitor DPP IV inhibitor DPP III inhibitor	5400.00 0.00 0.00	[22-23]	1	Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 1980 Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015 Dhanda <i>et al.</i> , 2008
GY	ACE inhibitor DPP IV inhibitor	210.00 0.00	[89-90]	1	Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 1980 Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
IN	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[27-28],[118-119],[158-159]	3	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
KF	ACE inhibitor Renin inhibitor CaMPDE inhibitor DPP IV inhibitor	28.30 0.00 0.00 0.00	[62-63]	1	Meisel <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Li H <i>et al.</i> , 2010 Li H <i>et al.</i> , 2010 Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2010
KT	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[2-3]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
LI	Stimulating DPP IV inhibitor	0.00 0.00	[51-52],[111-112]	2	Morifuji <i>et al.</i> , 2009 Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
LT	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[44-45]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
LV	Stimulating DPP IVinhibitor	0.00 0.00	[99-100]	1	Morifuji <i>et al.</i> , 2009 Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
HS	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[140-141]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
MK	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[1-2]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
NA	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[47-48],[159-160]	2	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
NE	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[148-149]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015

NG	ACE inhibitor	12000.00	[21-22],[28-29]	2	Cushman D. W., 2009 Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00			
NR	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[119-120]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	Renin inhibitor	0.00			Udenigwe <i>et al.</i> , 2012
NT	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[151-152]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
PF	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[64-65]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	DPP III inhibitor				Dhanda <i>et al.</i> , 2008
PG	Antithrombotic	0.00	[70-71]	1	Ashmarin <i>et al.</i> , 1998
	Antiamnestic	0.00			Ashmarin <i>et al.</i> , 1998
	ACE inhibitor	17000.00			Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 1980
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00			Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
PM	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[106-107]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
PI	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[4-5]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
PS	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[126-127]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
PT	ACE inhibitor	0.00	[72-73]	1	VanPlaterink <i>et al.</i> , 2008
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00			Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
QA	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[145-146]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
QG	ACE inhibitor	7400.00	[15-16]	1	Cushman D. W., 1980
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00			Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
QS	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[25-26],[57-58]	2	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
QY	DPP IV	0.00	[50-51]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015

	inhibitor				
RL	ACE inhibitor	2439.00	[50-51}	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00			Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
RM	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[93-94]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
SF	ACE inhibitor	130.20	[121-122]	1	Suetsuna <i>et al.</i> , 1998
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00			Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	Renin inhibitor	0.00			Udenigwe <i>et al.</i> , 2012
SH	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[139-140]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
SI	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[26-27]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
SV	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[58-59]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
SW	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[127-128]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
TG	ACE inhibitor	9900.00	[53-54],[104-105]	2	Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 1980
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00			Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
TK	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[61-62]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
TQ	ACE inhibitor	0.00		1	van Platerink C. J., 2008
	DPP inhibitor	0.00	[67-68]		Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
TS	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[45-46]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
TT	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[66-67],[95-96]	2	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
TY	Antioxdative	0.00	[73-74],[96-97],[152-153]	3	Cheng <i>et al.</i> , 2010
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00			Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
VG	ACE inhibitor	0.00	[101-102]	1	Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 1980
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00			Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
VY	ACE inhibitor	7.10	[59-60]	1	Saito <i>et al.</i> , 1994
	Antioxidative	0.00			Cheng <i>et al.</i> , 2010
	DPP IV	0.00			Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015

	inhibitor DPP III inhibitor	0.00			Dhanda <i>et al.</i> , 2008
YA	ACE inhibitor	0.00	[74-75],	2	Cushman D. W., 1980
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[156-157]		Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	Renin inhibitor	0.00			Udenigwe <i>et al.</i> , 2012;
YI	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[129- 130],[135- 136]	2	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	DPP III inhibitor	0.00			Lee, <i>et al.</i> , 1982
YL	ACE inhibitor	122.00	[91- 92],[110- 111],[153- 154]	3	Mullally <i>et al.</i> , 1996
	Neuropeptide	0.00			Kanegawa <i>et al.</i> , 2010
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00			Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	DPP III inhibitor	0.00			Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2015
YQ	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[31-32]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
YY	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00	[90-91]	1	Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	DPP III inhibitor	0.00			Lee <i>et al.</i> , 1982
	ACE inhibitor	180.00			Lafarga <i>et al.</i> , 2016
GPM	ACE inhibitor	16.98	[105-107]	1	Wu J <i>et al.</i> , 2006
	DPP IV inhibitor	417.90			Jin <i>et al.</i> , 2015
RY	ACE inhibitor	10.50	[30-31]	1	Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 1980
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00			Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
RF	ACE inhibitor	93.00	[17-18]	1	Saito <i>et al.</i> , 1994
	DPP III inhibitor	0.00			Dhanda <i>et al.</i> , 2008
GQ	Neuropeptide	0.00	[30-31]	1	Parish <i>et al.</i> , 1983
	ACE inhibitor	7000.00			Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 1980
GK	ACE inhibitor	5400.00	[22-23]	1	Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 1980
SG	ACE inhibitor	8500.00	[143-144]	1	Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 1980

EA	ACE inhibitor	10000	[7-8],[39-40],[131-132],[149-150]	4	Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 1980
	Alpha-glucosidase inhibitor	17000			Mora L., 2020
AR	ACE inhibitor	95.50	[130-131]	1	Sentandreu <i>et al.</i> , 2007
KA	DPP IV inhibitor	6270.00	[81-82]	1	Gallego <i>et al.</i> , 2014
	ACE inhibitor	31.50			Sentandreu <i>et al.</i> , 2007
	DPP III inhibitor	0.00			Dhanda <i>et al.</i> , 2008
IE	ACE inhibitor	0.00	130-131]	1	van Platerink <i>et al.</i> , 2008
TE	ACE inhibitor	0.00	[6-7]	1	van Platerink <i>et al.</i> , 2008
	DPP IV inhibitor	0.00			Lan <i>et al.</i> , 2015
LQ	ACE inhibitor	0.00	[24-25],[133-134]	2	van Platerink <i>et al.</i> , 2008
AI	ACE inhibitor	3.41	[8-9],[78-79],[157-158]	3	Nakahara T., 2010
DY	Regulating	0.00	[155-156]	1	Ziganshin <i>et al.</i> , 1994
	ACE inhibitor	100.00			Wu <i>et al.</i> , 2006
LR	Renin inhibitor	0.00	[92-93]	1	Udenigwe <i>et al.</i> , 2012
	ACE inhibitor	158.00			Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2014
	DPP III inhibitor	0.00			Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2012
ASL	ACE inhibitor	102.15	[36-38]	1	Wu <i>et al.</i> , 2015
RYQ	ACE inhibitor	0.00	[30-32]	1	De Gobba <i>et al.</i> , 2014
	Antioxidative	0.00			Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2015
FTTQ	ACE inhibitor	0.00	[65-68]	1	Mojica <i>et al.</i> , 2015
LEE	ACE inhibitor	100.00	[115-117]	1	Wu J <i>et al.</i> , 2006
SVY	ACE inhibitor	8.13	[58-60]	1	Wu J <i>et al.</i> , 2006
TGP	ACE inhibitor	79.10		1	O’Keeffe M. <i>et al.</i> , 2017
LDY	Antioxidative	0.00	[154-156]	1	Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2015
SVYT	ACE inhibitor	63.00	[58-61]	1	Girgih <i>et al.</i> , 2014

	Antioxidative	0.00			Girgih <i>et al.</i> , 2014
	Renin inhibitor	0.00			Girgih <i>et al.</i> , 2014
YLR	ACE inhibitor	5.80	[91-93]	1	Kumagai <i>et al.</i> , 2021
LRM	ACE inhibitor	0.15	[92-94]	1	Kumagai <i>et al.</i> , 2021
GYG	Opioid	1000.00	[89-91]	1	Fukudome <i>et al.</i> ,1992
	Antioxidative	0.00			Yokomizo <i>et al.</i> , 2002
FT	Renin inhibitor	0.00	[65-66]	1	Udenigwe <i>et al.</i> , 2012

CHAPTER 5: DISSCUSSION

In the present study, an attempt was to evaluate potential bioactive peptides from alpha phycocyanin (protein) of *Gracilaria changii*.

5.1 Protein identification from *Gracilaria changii* protein by MALDI-TOF/MS

Table 4.1 listed the identified protein with their accession number, molecular weight and amino acid number. The selected protein phycocyanin -alpha contains molecular weight 17,464(Da) and 162 amino acids.

5.2 In silico analysis

Table 4.2 indicates the peptide name and their sequence. Fifteen biological activities were identified from the biopep analysis. Six of them, i.e. DPP-IV inhibitor, Ace inhibitor, DPP III inhibitor, antioxidative, renin inhibitor and Prolyl endopeptidase (PEP) inhibitor. The result also showed that DPP-IV and ACE inhibitory peptide were high in number respectively 94 and 64 exist in phycocyanin. Table 4.3 represents the sequence of peptide, activity, EC50 value, location in parent protein and number of occurrence. Almost all DPP-IV inhibitory peptides exhibited most potent activities containing EC50 value $0.00\mu\text{M}$ except 8 DPP-IV inhibitory peptides, which possess higher EC50 value ($\text{EC}_{50} > 300\mu\text{M}$), those peptides were di-peptide GP, KA, NG, GL, SL, AL, FP and tri-peptide GPM. The ACE inhibitory peptides GA, AG, GE, NG, QG, RL, TG, GQ, GK, SG and EA contain higher EC50 value ($\text{EC}_{50} > 2000\mu\text{M}$), rest of all contain lower EC50 value.

5.2.1 DPP-IV inhibitory peptide

In the result DPP-IV is predominated bioactive peptide containing lower EC₅₀ value. In the previous literature reported that Dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-IV) is involved in incretin hormone processing and therefore plays a key role in glyceimic regulation.(Nongonierma & FitzGerald, 2019).Many researchers also added that DPP-IV inhibitory activities had the potential for management of cardiovascular disease, oxidative stress, type 2 diabetes and nervous system disorders, respectively.(Fu et al., 2016).

5.2.2 ACE inhibitory peptide

The frequency results showed that more than one-third part was comprised of antihypertensive effective peptides (ACE inhibitory peptides). Hypertension is generally recognized as a threat factor for cardiovascular diseases such as coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, and stroke. Since it is crucial in controlling blood pressure, a dipeptidyl carboxypeptidase (also known as angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE), (E.C. 3.4.15.1.) is one of the major protection pathways for hypertension (Verdecchia *et al.* 2008). It plays an important function in the rennin-angiotensin system. It also inactivates the vasodilator effect of the bradykinin hormone by degradation (Agirbasli and Cavas, 2017).

5.2.3 Other bioactive peptides

The result revealed that antioxidative peptide, DPP-III inhibitory peptide and renin inhibitory peptide were lower in number. The peptide of those also contains an EC₅₀ value of 0.00 μM. Previous researchers reported that food products become toxic by

reactive oxygen. Those toxic products cause various human chronic diseases, including cancer, arteriosclerosis, aging, diabetes mellitus, inflammation, coronary heart diseases, and neurological. Therefore, to prevent food products from such deteriorations and protect consumers against serious diseases, one key strategy is inhibition of lipid peroxidation occurring in the living body and food products by using antioxidant substances or preservatives (Li-Chan , 2015). Antioxidants or preservatives are chemical contains components in biological materials, relatively found in low concentrations that prolong the shelf life of food by delaying or inhibiting the oxidation of a substrate in the food. Bioactive peptides are the most commonly occurring antioxidant substances(Admassu *et al.*, 2018).

CHAPTER 6 : CONCLUSIONS

In evaluation of *Gracilaria changii* protein, phycocyanin released bioactive peptides. These bioactive peptides with DPP-IV inhibitory, ACE inhibitory, antioxidant, renin inhibitor and neuropeptide inhibitory activities had the potential for management of type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease and oxidative stress. This research showed that *Gracilaria changii* protein are suitable for further investigations to find various bioactive peptides. According to the findings, protein of *Gracilaria changii* could be evaluated in the development of functional food or pharmaceutical products due to their therapeutic effects on type- 2 diabetes and cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases. The result of in silico analyses indicates that in vitro studies are needed to obtain DPP-IV and ACE inhibitor, antioxidative and neuroprotective peptides from *Gracilaria changii* protein. Synthetic peptides and drug molecules pose multiple challenges, including instability in the gastrointestinal tract, high cost, adverse side effects, stringent regulatory compliances, and intense market competition. Hence, the increasing demand for natural bioactive peptides necessitates the use of natural resources like seaweed as well as the redesign and development of an effective bioactive peptides production strategy that is feasible for nutraceutical and pharmaceutical applications.

CHAPTER 7 : RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY

More studies should be carried out on the *Gracilaria changii* seaweed protein extract in order to generating pharmaceuticals and functional products or the value-added products from *G. changii* seaweed protein. The suggestions for further studies are as follow:

- Study on generation of potential bioactive peptides from *Gracilaria changii* protein, specially with DPP-IV and ACE inhibitory peptides should be carried out.
- Study on potential for development of bioactive peptides such as antioxidant, anti-diabetics, and antimicrobial properties from seaweed protein extract should be performed.
- Study on physicochemical properties of seaweed powder can be examined.
Study on physicochemical properties of seaweed protein extract can be performed.
- Study on fractionation and purification of digestive enzymes from seaweed protein extract can be implemented.
- Investigation on the identification on the active constituents of the seaweed protein extract (amino acid sequence) and determination of mechanism of ACE inhibitory action should be explored.
- Study on developing pharmaceutical products to increase their market value and to provide enhancement to human health.

References

- Abdollahi, M., Axelsson, J., Carlsson, N., Nylund, G. M., Albers, E., Undeland, I. 2019. Food Hydrocolloids Effect of stabilization method and freeze /thaw-aided precipitation on structural and functional properties of proteins recovered from brown seaweed (*Saccharina latissima*). Food Hydrocolloids. 96:140–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.05.007>
- Abbott, I.A., 1999. Notes on some species of *Halymenia* in the southwestern Pacific. Taxonomy of economic seaweeds with reference to some Pacific species, pp.163-172.
- Abubakar, A., Saito, T., Kitazawa, H., Kawai, Y. and Itoh, T., 1998. Structural analysis of new antihypertensive peptides derived from cheese whey protein by proteinase K digestion. Journal of dairy science.81(12):3131-3138.
- Admassu, H., Gasmalla, M. A. A., Yang, R., Zhao, W. 2018. Bioactive Peptides Derived from Seaweed Protein and Their Health Benefits: Antihypertensive, Antioxidant, and Antidiabetic Properties. Journal of Food Science. 83(1): 6–16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14011>
- Agirbasli, Z., Cavas, L. 2017. In silico evaluation of bioactive peptides from the green algae *Caulerpa*. Journal of Applied Phycology.29(3):1635–1646. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-016-1045-7>
- Amiza, M. A., Liyana, H. A., Zaliha, H. 2017. Optimization of enzymatic protein hydrolysis conditions to obtain maximum angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory activity from Angel Wing Clam (*Pholas orientalis*) meat. Madridge Journal of Food Technology. 2(1):65–73. <https://doi.org/10.18689/mjft-1000110>
- Ashmarin, I.P., Karazeeva, E.P., Lyapina, L.A. Samonina, G.E., 1998. The simplest proline-containing peptides PG, GP, PGP, and GPGG: regulatory activity and possible sources of biosynthesis. Biochemistry. Biokhimiia, 63(2):119-124.

- Awuor, O. L., Kirwa, M. E., Betty, M., Jackim, M. F. 2017. Optimization of Alcalase hydrolysis conditions for production of Daga (*Rastrineobola argentea*) Protein hydrolysate with antioxidative properties. *Industrial Chemistry*.3(1):1–6. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2469-9764.1000122>
- Badraeni, Azis, H. Y., Tresnati, J., Tuwo, A. 2020. Seaweed *Gracilaria changii* as a bioremediator agent for ammonia, nitrite and nitrate in controlled tanks of Whiteleg Shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*.564(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/564/1/012059>
- Bao, Z. jie, Zhao, Y., Wang, X. ying, Chi, Y. J. 2017. Effects of degree of hydrolysis (DH) on the functional properties of egg yolk hydrolysate with alcalase. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 54(3):669–678. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-017-2504-0>
- Baptista, J., Neto, A. I., Lima, E., Paiva, L., Patarra, R. F. 2011. Nutritional value of selected macroalgae. *Journal of Applied Phycology*.23(2):205–208. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-010-9556-0>
- Barbarino, E., Lourenço, S. O. 2005. An evaluation of methods for extraction and quantification of protein from marine macro- and microalgae. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 17(5): 447–460. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-005-1641-4>
- Benaiges, A., Guillen, P. 2007. Botanical Extracts. In A. Salvador & A. Chisvert (Eds.), *Analysis of Cosmetic Products*. Elsevier Science (pp. 345–363).
- Benjama, O., Masniyom, P. 2011. Nutritional composition and physicochemical properties of two green seaweeds (*Ulva pertusa* and *U. intestinalis*) from the Pattani Bay in Southern Thailand. *Journal of Science and Technology*. 33(5):575–583.
- Bergstrom, A. 2006. Strategy for Monitoring Organic Pollutants in Waste remove interferences and to pre-concentrate Water with Focus on Improved Sample Preparation. Lund University, Sweden.

- Bisswanger, H. 2014. Enzyme assays. *Perspectives in Science*.1:41–55.
<https://doi.org/10.1039/b813732c>
- Bleakley, S., Hayes, M. (2017). Algal Proteins: Extraction, Application, and Challenges Concerning Production Foods. 6(5):33. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods6050033>
- Bouga, M. and Combet, E. 2015. Emergence of seaweed and seaweed-containing foods in the UK: Focus on labelling, iodine content, toxicity and nutrition. *Foods* 4: 240-253.
- Byun, H.G. Kim, S.K., 2002. Structure and activity of angiotensin I converting enzyme inhibitory peptides derived from Alaskan pollack skin. *BMB Reports*, 35(2): 239-243.
- Byun, H.G.Kim, S.K., 2001. Purification and characterization of angiotensin I converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory peptides from Alaska pollack (*Theragra chalcogramma*) skin. *Process Biochemistry*, 36(12):1155-1162.
- Cano-Chauca, M., Stringheta, P. C., Ramos, A. M., Cal-Vidal, J. 2005. Effect of the carriers on the microstructure of mango powder obtained by spray drying and its functional characterization. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*.6(4): 420–428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2005.05.003>
- Cermeno, M., Kleekayai, T., Amigo-Benavent, M., Harnedy-Rothwell, P., FitzGerald, R. J. 2020. Current knowledge on the extraction, purification, identification, and validation of bioactive peptides from seaweed. *Electrophoresis*. 41(20): 1694–1717. <https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.202000153>
- Chan, C.-X., Ho, C.-L., Phang, S.-M. 2006. Trends in seaweed research. *Trends in Plant Science*.11(4): 165–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2006.02.003>
- Chan, P. T., Matanjun, P. 2017. Chemical composition and physicochemical properties of tropical red seaweed, *Gracilaria changii*. *Food Chemistry*.221:302–310. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.10.066>

- Chan, P. T., Matanjun, P., Yasir, S. M., Tan, T. S. 2014. Antioxidant and hypolipidaemic properties of red seaweed, *Gracilaria changii*. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 26(2), 987–997. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-013-0135-z>
- Cheng Y., Chen J., Xiong Y. L. 2010. Chromatographic separation and tandem MS identification of active peptides in potato protein hydrolysate that inhibit auto oxidation of soybean oil-in-water emulsions. *Journal of Agriculture. Food Chemistry*. 58: 8825-8832.
- Cheung H.-S., Wang F.-L., Ondetti M. A., Sabo E. F., Cushman D. W. Inhibitor of angiotensin I-converting enzyme (EC 3.4.15.1; MEROPS ID: M02-001).
- Cheung H.S., Wang F.L., Ondetti M. A., Sabo E. F., Cushman D. W. 1980. Binding of peptide substrates and inhibitors of angiotensin-converting enzyme. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 255:401-407.
- Cheung, I.W.; Li-Chan, E.C.2017. Enzymatic production of protein hydrolysates from steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) skin gelatin as inhibitors of dipeptidyl-peptidase IV and angiotensin-I converting enzyme. *Journal of Functional Foods* . 28: 254–264.
- Chaurand, P., Luetzenkirchen, F., Spengler, B. 1999. Peptide and protein identification by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI) and MALDI-post-source decay time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry*. 10(2) :91–103. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-0305\(98\)00145-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-0305(98)00145-7)
- Ciko, A. M., Jokic, S., Subaric, D., Jerkovic, I. 2018. Overview on the application of modern methods for the extraction of bioactive compounds from marine macroalgae. *Marine Drugs*. 16(10): 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.3390/md16100348>
- Coppey, L. J., Davidson, E. P., Rinehart, T. W., Gellett, J. S., Oltman, C. L., Lund, D. D., Yorek, M. A. 2006. ACE inhibitor or angiotensin II receptor antagonist attenuates diabetic neuropathy in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Journal of Diabetes*. 55(2): 341–348.

- Cushman D. W. 1981. Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors: Evolution of a new class of antihypertensive drugs (page 19). in: Horovitz Z. P. (Ed.) Mechanisms of action and clinical implications, Urban & Schwarzenberg.
- Daniel, R. M., Danson, M. J. 2013. Temperature and the catalytic activity of enzymes: A fresh understanding. FEBS Letters.587(17):2738–2743.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2013.06.027>
- De Gobba C., Tompa G., Otte J. 2014. Bioactive peptides from caseins released by cold active proteolytic enzymes from *Arsukibacterium* sp. Food Chemistry. 165:205-215.
- Dhanda S.; Singh H.; Singh J. 2008. Hydrolysis of various bioactive peptides by goat brain dipeptidylpeptidase-III. Cell Biochemistry and Function. 23(3): 339–345,
- Dziuba, M., Dziuba, B., Iwaniak, A. 2009. Milk proteins as precursors of bioactive peptides. Acta Scientiarum Polonorum, Technologia Alimentaria. 8(1): 71–90.
- Fitzgerald R. J., Meisel H. Lactokinins. 1999. Whey protein-derived ACE inhibitory peptides. Nahrung .43(3): 165-167.
- Fleurence, J., Morançais, M., Dumay, J. 2018. Seaweed proteins. In Proteins in Food Processing: Second Edition (Second Edi). Elsevier Ltd.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-100722-8.00010-3>
- Fleurencel, J., Massiani, L., Guyader, O., Mabeau, S. 1995. Use of enzymatic cell wall degradation for improvement of protein extraction from *Chondrus crispus* , *Gracilaria verrucosa* and *Palmaria palmata*. 393–397.
- Fukudome S., Yoshikawa M. 1992. Opioid peptides derived from wheat gluten: their isolation and characterization. FEBS Letter. 296(1): 107-111.
- Fu, Y., Wu, W., Zhu, M., Xiao, Z. 2016. In Silico Assessment of the Potential of Patatin as a Precursor of Bioactive Peptides. Journal of Food Biochemistry.40(3):366–370.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.12213>

- Galland-Irmouli, A. V., Fleurence, J., Lamghari, R., Luçon, M., Rouxel, C., Barbaroux, O., Bronowicki, J. P., Villaume, C., Gueant, J. L. 1999. Nutritional value of proteins from edible seaweed *Palmaria palmata* (Dulse). *Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry*. 10(6): 353–359. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0955-2863\(99\)00014-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0955-2863(99)00014-5)
- Gallego M., Aristoy M.-C., Toldra F.1978. Post-proline cleaving enzyme and post-prolinedipeptidylaminopeptidase: comparison of two peptidases with high specificity for proline residues. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 253: 3708-3716.
- Gallego M., Aristoy M.-C., Toldrá F. 2014. Dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitory peptides generated in Spanish dry-cured ham. *Meat Science*.96: 757-761.
- Gamboa, J. L., Pretorius, M., Todd-Tzanetos, D. R., Luther, J. M., Yu, C., Ikizler, T. A., Brown, N. J. 2011. Comparative Effects of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibition and Angiotensin-Receptor Blockade on Inflammation during Hemodialysis. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*.23(2): 334–342. <https://doi.org/10.1681/asn.2011030287>
- Girgih AT, He R, Aluko RE . 2014. Kinetics and molecular docking studies of the inhibitions of angiotensin converting enzyme and renin activities by hemp seed (*Cannabis sativa* L.) peptides. *Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry*. 62, 4135–4144.
- Girgih AT, He R, Malomo SA, Offengenden M, Wu J, Aluko RE, 2016. Structural and functional characterization of hemp seed (*Cannabis sativa* L.) protein-derived antioxidant and antihypertensive peptides. *Journal of Functional Foods*. 6:384–394.
- Green, A. A., Hughes, W. L. 1955. Protein fractionation on the basis of solubility in aqueous solutions of salts and organic solvents. *Methods in Enzymology*.1: 67–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0076-6879\(55\)01014-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0076-6879(55)01014-8)
- Griffin, T. J., Goodlett, D. R., Aebersold, R. 2001 . Advances in proteome analysis by mass spectrometry.

- Gofii, I., Valdivieso, L., Garcia-Alonso, A. 2000. Nori Seaweed Consumption Modifies Glycemic Response in Healthy Volunteers. *Nutrition Research*. 20(10):1367–1375.
- Gouda, K. G. M., Gowda, L. R., Rao, A. G. A., Prakash, V. 2006. Angiotensin I-converting enzyme inhibitory peptide derived from glycinin, the 11S globulin of soybean (*Glycine max*). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 54(13): 4568–4573. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf060264q>
- Gupta, S., Abu-Ghannam, N. 2011. Recent developments in the application of seaweeds or seaweed extracts as a means for enhancing the safety and quality attributes of foods. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 12(4), 600–609. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2011.07.004>
- Gupta, N., Srivastava, N. and Bhagyawant, S.S. 2018. Vicilin—A major storage protein of mungbean exhibits antioxidative potential, antiproliferative effects and ACE inhibitory activity. *PLOS ONE* 13: 1-17.
- Gulbransen, D. J., McGlathery, K. J., Marklund, M., Norris, J. N., Gurgel, C. F. D. 2012. *Gracilaria Vermiculophylla* (Rhodophyta, Gracilariales) in the Virginia Coastal Bays, Usa: Cox 1 Analysis Reveals High Genetic Richness of an Introduced Macroalga. *Journal of Phycology*.48(5):1278–1283. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2012.01218.x>
- Guan, X., Yao, H., Chen, Z., Shan, L. and Zhang, M. 2006. Some functional properties of oat bran protein concentrate modified by trypsin. *Food Chemistry* 101: 163-170.
- Haapalehto, T., Juutinen, R., Kareksela, S., Kuitunen, M., Tahvanainen, T., Vuori, H. and Kotiaho, J.S. 2017. Recovery of plant communities after ecological restoration of forestry-drained peatlands. *Ecology and Evolution* 7: 7848-7858.
- Hamada, J. S. 2000. Characterization and Functional Properties of Rice Bran Proteins Modified by Commercial Exoproteases and Endoproteases. *Journal of Food Science*.65(2): 305–310.

- Harnedy, P. A., FitzGerald, R. J. 2013. Extraction of protein from the macroalga *Palmaria palmata*. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*.51(1), 375–382. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2012.09.023>
- Harrysson, H., Hayes, M., Eimer, F., Carlsson, N. G., Toth, G. B., Undeland, I. 2018. Production of protein extracts from Swedish red, green, and brown seaweeds, *Porphyra umbilicalis* Kützinger, *Ulva lactuca* Linnaeus, and *Saccharina latissima* (Linnaeus) J. V. Lamouroux using three different methods. *Journal of Applied Phycology*. 30(6):3565–3580. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-018-1481-7>
- Hasson, M. S., Schlichting, I., Moulai, J., Taylor, K., Barrett, W., Kenyon, G. L., Babbitt, P. C., Gerlt, J. A., Petsko, G. A., Ringe, D. 2002. Evolution of an enzyme active site: The structure of a new crystal form of muconate lactonizing enzyme compared with mandelate racemase and enolase. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.95(18): 10396–10401. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.18.10396>
- Hatanaka T., Inoue Y., Arima J., Kumagai Y., Usuki H., Kawakami K., Kimura M., Mukaihara T. 2012. Production of dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitory peptides from defatted rice bran. *Food Chemistry*.134: 797-802.
- Hernandez-Ledesma B., Amigo L., Recio I., Bartolome B.2007. ACE-inhibitory and radicalscavenging activity of peptides derived from b-lactoglobulin(19-25). Interactions with ascorbic acid. *Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry*. 55:3392-3397.
- Hernandez-Ledesma,B.,Del Mar Conteras,M., Recio,I. 2011.Antihypertensive peptides: Production, bioavailability and incorporation into foods.*Advances in Colloid and Tnterface Science*.165(1):23-25 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2010.11.001>.
- Hikida A., Ito K., Motoyama T., Kato R., Kawarasaki ,Y. 2013. Systematic analysis of a dipeptide library for inhibitor development using human dipeptidyl peptidase IV produced by a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* expression system. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* .430:1217-1222.

- Holton, T. A., Vijayakumar, V., Khaldi, N. 2013. Bioinformatics: Current perspectives and future directions for food and nutritional research facilitated by a Food-Wiki database. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*. 34(1): 5–17.
- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2013.08.009>
- Huang W.-Y., Majumder K., Wu J. 2010. Oxygen radical absorbance capacity of peptides from egg white protein ovotransferrin and their interactions with phytochemicals. *Food Chemistry* . 123: 635-641.
- Jamil, N.H., Halim, N.R.A. and Sarbon, N.M. 2016. Optimization of enzymatic hydrolysis condition and functional properties of eel (*Monopterus sp.*) protein using response surface methodology (RSM). *International Food Research Journal* .23: 1-9.
- Jang, J.H., Jeong, S.C., Kim, J.H., Lee, Y.H., Ju, Y.C. and Lee, J.S. 2011. Characterisation of a new antihypertensive angiotensin I-converting enzyme inhibitory peptide from *Pleurotus cornucopiae*. *Food Chemistry*.127: 412-418.
- Jin Y., Yan J., Yu Y., Qi Y. 2015. Screening and identification of DPP-IV inhibitory peptides from deer skin hydrolysates by an integrated approach of LC–MS/MS and in silico analysis. *Journal of Functional Foods*. 18, 344-357.k
- Jo, C., Khan, F. F., Khan, M. I., Iqbal, J. 2017. Marine bioactive peptides: Types, structures, and physiological functions. *Food Reviews International*.33(1):44–61. <https://doi.org/10.1080/87559129.2015.1137311>
- Joubert, Y., Fleurence, J. 2007. Simultaneous extraction of proteins and DNA by an enzymatic treatment of the cell wall of *Palmaria palmata* (Rhodophyta). *Journal of Applied Phycology*. 20(1): 55–61. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-007-9180-9>
- Jung, K. H., Choi, Y. C., Chun, J. Y., Min, S. G., Hong, G. P. 2014. Effects of Concentration and Reaction Time of Trypsin, Pepsin, and Chymotrypsin on the Hydrolysis Efficiency of Porcine Placenta. *Korean Journal for Food Science of Animal Resources*. 34(2):151–157. <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2014.34.2.151>

- Jurinke, C., Oeth, P., Van Den Boom, D. 2004. MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry: A versatile tool for high-performance DNA analysis. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology - Part B Molecular Biotechnology*.26(2):147–163. <https://doi.org/10.1385/MB:26:2:147>
- Kadam, S. U., Álvarez, C., Tiwari, B. K., O'Donnell, C. P. 2016. Extraction and characterization of protein from Irish brown seaweed *Ascophyllum nodosum*. *Food Research International*. 99: 1021–1027.
- Kaminski, M. V., Grimble, G. K., Keohane, P. P., Higgins, B. E., Silk, D. B. A. 1986. Effect of peptide chain length on amino acid and nitrogen absorption from two lactalbumin hydrolysates in the normal human jejunum. *Clinical Science*, 71(1), 65–69. <https://doi.org/10.1042/cs0710065>
- Kartal, C., Kaplan Turkoz, B., Otles, S. 2020. Prediction, identification and evaluation of bioactive peptides from tomato seed proteins using in silico approach. *Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization*.14(4):1865–1883. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-020-00434-z>
- Kazir, M., Abuhassira, Y., Robin, A., Nahor, O., Luo, J. 2019. Extraction of proteins from two marine macroalgae, *Ulva* sp. and *Gracilaria* sp., for food application, and evaluating digestibility, amino acid composition and antioxidant properties of the protein concentrates. *Food Hydrocolloids*.87:194–203.
- Kitts D.D. and Weiler K. 2003. Bioactive proteins and peptides from food sources. Applications of bioprocesses used in isolation and recovery. *Current Pharmaceutical Design* 9:1309–1323.
- Kittiphattanabawon, P., Benjakul, S., Visessanguan, W. and Shahidi, F. 2013. Inhibition of angiotensin converting enzyme, human LDL cholesterol and DNA oxidation by hydrolysates from blacktip shark gelatin. *Food Science and Technology* 51: 177-182.
- Kumar, R., Kumar, A., Sharma, R., Baruwa, A. 2010. Pharmacological review on Natural ACE inhibitors. *Der Pharmacia Lettre*. 2(2): 273–293.

- Kumagai Y., Toji K., Katsukura S., Morikawa R., Uji T., Yasui H., Shimizu T., Kishimura H. 2021. Characterization of ACE inhibitory peptides prepared from *Pyropiapseudolinearis* protein. *Marine Drugs*. 19: 200.
- Lafarga, T., Acien-Fernandez, F. G., Garcia-Vaquero, M. 2020. Bioactive peptides and carbohydrates from seaweed for food applications: Natural occurrence, isolation, purification, and identification. *Algal Research*.
- Lan V. T. T., Ito K., Ohno M., Motoyama T., Ito S., Kawarasaki Y. 2015. Analyzing a dipeptide library to identify human dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitor. *Food Chemistry*. 175: 66-73.
- Lee, C.M.; Snyder, S.H. 1982. Dipeptidylaminopeptidase-III of rat brain selective affinity for enkephalin and angiotensin. *Journal Biological Chemistry*. 257: 12043–12050.
- Lee, T. 1987. *The seaweed handbook: An illustrated guide to seaweeds from north Carolina to the Arctic*. New York: Dover Publications Inc. Pp. 1-42.
- Lee, H. A., Kim, I. H., Nam, T. J. 2015. Bioactive peptide from *Pyropia yezoensis* and its anti-inflammatory activities. *International Journal of Molecular Medicine*.36(6):1701–1706. <https://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2015.2386>
- Li H., Aluko R. E. 2010. Identification and inhibitory properties of multifunctional peptides from pea protein hydrolysate. *Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry*. 58: 11471-11476.
- Liu R., Zhu Y., Chen J., Wu H., Shi L., Wang X., Wang L. 2014. Characterization of ACE inhibitory peptides from *Mastraveneriformishydrolysate* by nano-liquid chromatography electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (Nano-LC-ESI-MS) and molecular docking. *Marine Drugs*. 12: 3917-3928.
- Liu R., Zheng W., Li J., Wang L., Wu H., Wang X., Shi L. 2015. Rapid identification of bioactive peptides with antioxidant activity from the enzymatic hydrolysate of *Mastraveneriformis* by UHPLC–Q-TOF mass spectrometry. *Food Chemistry*.167:484-489, 201510.1016/j.foodchem.2014.06.113.

- Li-Chan, E. C. Y. 2015. Bioactive peptides and protein hydrolysates: Research trends and challenges for application as nutraceuticals and functional food ingredients. *Current Opinion in Food Science*.1(1): 28–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2014.09.005>
- Lim, H. T., Teo, S. S. 2015. Comparison of protein extraction protocols for proteomic analysis of red Comparison of Protein Extraction Protocols for Proteomic Analysis of Red Algae , *Eucheuma cottonii*. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*. 38(2): 279–293.
- Ma, Y., Sun, X., Wang, L. 2015. Study on optimal conditions of alcalase enzymatic hydrolysis of soybean protein isolate. *Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology*.9(2): 154–158. <https://doi.org/10.19026/ajfst.9.1952>
- Mandawat, P. 2016. Hydrolysis of algal biomass to recover nutrients and sugar. Indian Institute of Technology Roorke.
- Marinho-Soriano, E., Bourret, E. 2005. Polysaccharides from the red seaweed *Gracilaria dura* (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta). *Bioresource Technology*. 96:379–382. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2004.04.012>
- McCarthy, A., O’Callaghan, Y., O’Brien, N. 2013. Protein Hydrolysates from Agricultural Crops—Bioactivity and Potential for Functional Food Development. *Agriculture*, 3(1):112–130. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture3010112>
- Meisel H., Walsh D. J., Murray B., FitzGerald R. J. 2006. ACE inhibitory peptides.in: *Nutraceutical proteins and peptides in health and disease*. Mine Y., Shahidi F. (Eds.), CRC Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, London, New York, 269-315.
- Millan-Linares, M. del C., Bermudez, B., Yust, M. del M., Millán, F., Pedroche, J. 2014. Anti-inflammatory activity of lupine (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.) protein hydrolysates in THP-1-derived macrophages. *Journal of Functional Foods*. 8(1): 224–233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2014.03.020>
- Mittal, R., Sharma, R., Ksms, R. 2019. *Bioresource Technology* Aqueous two-phase extraction of R-Phycoerythrin from marine macro-algae , *Gelidium pusillum*. 280:

277–286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.02.044>

- Mohamed, S., Hashim, S. N., Rahman, H. A. 2012. Seaweeds: A sustainable functional food for complementary and alternative therapy. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*.23(2): 83–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2011.09.001>
- Mohtar, W. A. A.-Q. I. W., Hamid, A. A., Abd-Aziz, S., Muhamad, S. K. S., Saari, N. 2014. Preparation of bioactive peptides with high angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitory activity from winged bean [*Psophocarpus tetragonolobus* (L .) DC .] seed. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*.51(12):3658–3668. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-012-0919-1>
- Morimoto, T., Gandhi, T. K., Fiskio, J. M., Seger, A. C., So, J. W., Cook, E. F., Fukui, T., Bates, D. W. 2004. An evaluation of risk factors for adverse drug events associated with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*. 10(4): 499–509. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2753.2003.00484.x>
- Moss, R., Mcsweeney, M. B. 2021. Do Consumers Want Seaweed in Their Food? A Study Evaluating Emotional Responses to Foods Containing Seaweed.
- Mojica L., Chen K., de Mejia E.G. 2015. Impact of Commercial Precooking of Common Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) on the Generation of Peptides, After Pepsin-Pancreatin Hydrolysis, Capable to Inhibit Dipeptidyl Peptidase-IV. *Journal of Food Science*. 80: 188-198. DOI: 10.1111/1750-3841.12726.
- Mora L., Gonzalez-Rogel D., Heres A., Toldra F. 2020. Iberian dry-cured ham as a potential source of α -glucosidase-inhibitory peptides. *Journal of Functional Foods*. 67:103840.
- Morifuji M., Koga J., Kawanaka K., Higuchi M. 2009. Branched-chain amino acid-containing dipeptides, identified from whey protein hydrolysates, stimulate glucose uptake in L6 myotubes and isolated skeletal muscles. *Journal of Nutritional Science and Vitaminology*. 55: 81-86.

- Mullally M. M., Meisel H., FitzGerald R. J. 1996. Synthetic peptides corresponding to alpha-lactalbumin and beta-lactoglobulin sequences with angiotensin-I-converting enzyme inhibitory activity. *Biological Chemistry. Hoppe-Seyler* .377:259-260.
- Nakahara T., Sano A., Yamaguchi H., Sugimoto K., Chikata H., Kinoshita E., Uchida R.2010. Antihypertensive effect of peptide-enriched soy sauce-like seasoning and identification of its Angiotensin I-Converting Enzyme inhibitory substances. *J. Agric. Food Chemistry*.58: 821-827.
- Nasri, M. 2017. Protein hydrolysates and biopeptides: Production, biological activities and applications in foods and health benefits. *Advances in Food and Nutrition Research*.81: 109-159.
- Navarrete del Toro, M. de los A., Garcia-Carreno, F. 2002. Evaluation Of The Progress Of Protein Hydrolysis. In *Handbook of Food Analytical Chemistry (Vol. 1)*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/0471709085.ch4>
- Nedjar-Arroume, N., Barkia, A., Guillochon, D., Nasri, M., Leroy, Y., Bougatef, A. and Ravallec-Plé, R. 2008. Angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory activities of sardinelle (*Sardinella aurita*) by-products protein hydrolysates obtained by treatment with microbial and visceral fish serine proteases. *Food Chemistry* 111: 350-356.
- Nongonierma A. B., Mooney C., Shields D. C., FitzGerald R. J. 2013. Inhibition of dipeptidyl peptidase IV and xanthine oxidase by amino acids and dipeptides. *Food Chemistry*.141: 644-653.
- Nongonierma A.B., Mooney C., Shields D. C., FitzGerald R. J. 2014. In silico approaches to predict the potential of milk protein-derived peptides as dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-IV) inhibitors. *Peptides* .57: 43-51.
- Nedjar-Arroume, N., Barkia, A., Guillochon, D., Nasri, M., Leroy, Y., Bougatef, A. and Ravallec-Plé, R. 2008. Angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory activities of sardinelle (*Sardinella aurita*) by-products protein hydrolysates obtained by treatment with microbial and visceral fish serine proteases. *Food Chemistry* 111:

350-356.

- Nongonierma, A. B., FitzGerald, R. J. 2019. Features of dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-IV) inhibitory peptides from dietary proteins. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*.43(1):1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.12451>
- Norziah, M. H., Ching, C. Y. 2000. Nutritional composition of edible seaweed *Gracilaria changgi*. *Food Chemistry*. 68:69-76
- Nur, M., Othman, A., Hassan, R., Harith, M. N., Shah, A., Hassan, R., Harith, M. N., Morfologi, P., Air, K. 2018. Morphological Characteristics and Habitats of Red Seaweed *Gracilaria* spp . (*Gracilariaceae* , *Rhodophyta*) in Santubong and Asajaya , Sarawak , Malaysia *Gracilaria* is one of the Genus in Family *Gracilariaceae* with more than 100 species worldwide inhabit. *Tropical Life Sciences Research*. 29(1): 87–101.
- Oh, S. Y., Kim, W. Y., Hwang, T. S., Han, H. S., Lim, S. D., Kim, W. S.2013. Development of an Ammonium Sulfate DNA Extraction Method for Obtaining Amplifiable DNA in a Small Number of Cells and Its Application to Clinical Specimens. *BioMed Research International*. 2013: 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/546727>
- Okamoto, A. K., Miranda, M. A., Granjeiro, J. M., Aoyama, H. 2017. Enzymes with Two Optimum pH Values. *World Journal of Research and Review*, 5(6):5–11.
- O’Keeffe M. B., Norris R., Alashi M. A., Aluko R. E., FitzGerald R. J. 2017. Peptide identification in a porcine gelatin prolyl-endoproteinase-hydrolysate with angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory and hypotensive activity. *Journal of Functional Foods*. 34: 77–88.
- Ovissipour, M., Abedian, A., Motamedzadegan, A., Rasco, B., Safari, R., Shahiri, H. 2009. The effect of enzymatic hydrolysis time and temperature on the properties of protein hydrolysates from Persian sturgeon (*Acipenser persicus*) viscera. *Food Chemistry*.115(1), 238–242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.12.013>

- Packard, K. A., Wurdeman, R. L., Arouni, A. J. 2002. ACE inhibitor-induced bronchial reactivity in patients with respiratory dysfunction. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*. 36(6):1058–1067. <https://doi.org/10.1345/aph.1A332>
- Paiva, L., Lima, E., Neto, A.I. and Baptista, J. 2017. Angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory and amino acid profiles of *Fucus spiralis* L. protein. *Marine Drugs* 15: 1-18
- Pal, A., Kamthania, M. C., Kumar, A. 2014. Bioactive Compounds and Properties of Seaweeds—A Review. *Open Access Library Journal of Bioactive*. 1:752. <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1100752>
- Panjatan, F.C.A., Gomez, H.L.R, Chang, Y.W. 2018. In silico analysis of bioactive peptides released from giant grouper (*Epinephelus lanceolatus*) roe proteins identified by proteomics approach. *Molecules*. 23(11):1-15.
- Parish D. C., Smyth D. G., Normanton J. R., Wolstencroft J. H. 1983. Glycyl glutamine, an inhibitory neuropeptide derived from beta-endorphin. *Nature*. 306: 267-270.
- Pasupuleti, V. K., Demain, A. L. 2010. Protein hydrolysates in biotechnology. *Protein Hydrolysates in Biotechnology*. 11–32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6674-0>
- Raj, T. S. 2018. Seaweed Extract as A Biostimulant and a Pathogen Controlling Agent in Plants. *International Journal of Tropical Agriculture*. 36(3): 563–580.
- Rausch, T. 1981. The estimation of micro-algal protein content and its meaning to the evaluation of algal biomass I . Comparison of methods for extracting protein. *Hydrobiologia*. 78(3): 237–251.
- Robinson, P. K. 2015. Enzymes: principles and biotechnological applications. *Essays In Biochemistry*. 59: 1–41. <https://doi.org/10.1042/bse0590075>
- Rohani-Ghadikolaei, K., Abdulalian, E., Ng, W. K. 2012. Evaluation of the proximate, fatty acid and mineral composition of representative green, brown and red seaweeds from the Persian Gulf of Iran as potential food and feed resources. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 49(6): 774–780. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-010-0220->

- Samarakoon,k., Jeon,Y.J.2012.Bio-functionalities of proteins derived from marine algae. Food Research International.48(2):948-960.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodress.2012>
- Sasidharan,S., Darah,I., Jain, k.2008. In vivo and vitro toxicity study of *Graciliria changii*. Pharmaceutical Biology.46(6):413-417.
- Saito Y., Wanezaki K., Kawato A., Imayasu S. 1994. Structure and activity of angiotensin I converting enzyme inhibitory peptides from sake and sake lees. Biosci.Biotech.Biochem. 58 (10):1767-1771 .
- Saito Y., Wanezaki K., Kawato A., Imayasu S. Udenigwe C.; Li H.; Aluko R. 2012. Quantitative structure-activity relationship modeling of renin-inhibiting dipeptides. Amino Acids. 42:1379–1386.
- Schaafsma, G. 2009. Safety of protein hydrolysates, fractions and bioactive peptides in human nutrition. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 63: 1161-1168.
- Schuenhoff, A., Shpigel, M., Lupatsch, I., Ashkenazi, A., Msuya, F.E. and Neori, A. 2003. A semi-recirculating, integrated system for the culture of fish and seaweed. Aquaculture 221: 167-181.
- Sentandreu M. A., Toldra F. 2007. Evaluation of ACE inhibitory activity of dipeptides generated by the action of porcine muscle dipeptidyl peptidases. Food Chemistry. 101: 1629-1633,
- Singh, A. K., Jatwa, R., Purohit, A., Ram, H. 2017. Synthetic and phytocompounds based dipeptidyl peptidase-IV (DPP-IV) inhibitors for therapeutics of diabetes. Journal of Asian Natural Products Research. 19(10): 1036–1045.
- Sbroggio, M.F., Montilha, M.S., Figueiredo, V.R.G., Georgett, S.R. and Kurozawa, L.E. 2016. Influence of the degree of hydrolysis and type of enzyme on antioxidant activity of okara protein hydrolysates. Food Science and Technology 36: 375-381.
- Suetsuna K. 1998. Isolation and characterization of angiotensin I-converting enzyme

inhibitor dipeptides derived from *Allium sativum* L (garlic). Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry. 9:415-419

- Talley, K., Alexov, E. 2010. On the pH-optimum of activity and stability of proteins. Proteins.78(12):2699–2706.<https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-4002>.
- Taylor, J. I., Grace, P. B., Bingham, S. A. 2005. Optimization of conditions for the enzymatic hydrolysis of phytoestrogen conjugates in urine and plasma. Analytical Biochemistry.341(2):220–229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2005.03.053>
- Thiquynhhoa, N., Ngoc, N., Diem, P., Minh, N.P. and Dao, D.T. 2015. Enteral tube feeding nutritional protein hydrolysate production under different factors by enzymatic hydrolysis. International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research 4: 250-256.
- Turner G. C., Du F., Varshavsky A. 2000. Peptides accelerate their uptake by activating a ubiquitin-dependent proteolytic pathway. Nature. 405: 579-582.
- Udenigwe, C. C. 2014. Bioinformatics approaches, prospects and challenges of food bioactive peptide research. Trends in Food Science and Technology, 36(2), 137–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2014.02.004>
- Udenigwe C.; Li H.; Aluko R. 2012. Quantitative structure-activity relationship modeling of renin-inhibiting dipeptides. Amino Acids.42:1379–1386.
- Vasquez, V., Martinez, R.,Bernal, C. 2019. Enzyme-assisted extraction of proteins from the seaweeds *Macrocystis pyrifera* and *C hondracanthus chamissoi*: characterization of the extracts and their bioactive potential.Journal of Phycology
- Van der Ven, C., Gruppen, H., De Bont, D.B.A. and Voragen, A.G.J. 2001. Emulsion properties of casein and whey protein hydrolysates and the relation with other hydrolysate characteristics. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 49: 5005-5012.
- Vanplaterink C. J., Janssen H.-G. M., Haverkamp J.2008. Application of at-line two-dimensional liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry for identification of small

hydrophilic angiotensin I-inhibiting peptides in milk hydrolysates. *Anal. Bioanal. Chemistry*. 391: 299-307

Veeresham, C. 2014. Natural products derived from plants as a source of drugs. *Journal of Advanced Pharmaceutical Technology and Research*. 3: 200-201.

Vigersky, R.A., Nasser, F.A, Allan, R.G. 2006. Thyrotropin Suppression by Metformin. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*. 91:225-227

Vijaykrishnaraj, M. and Prabhasankar, P. 2015. Marine protein hydrolysates: Their present and future perspectives in food chemistry. *Royal Society of Chemistry Advances*. 5: 34864-34877.

Wang W., Gonzalez de Mejia E. 2005 .A new frontier in soy bioactive peptides that may prevent age-related chronic diseases. *Comp. Rev. Food Science & Food Safety*. 4:63-77.

Wang, T. Y.; Hsieh, C.H.; Hung, C.C.; Jao, C.L.; Chen, M.-C.; Hsu, K.C. 2015. Fish skin gelatin hydrolysates as dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide-1 stimulators improve glycaemic control in diabetic rats: A comparison between warm-and cold-water fish. *Journal of Functional Foods* . 19: 330–340.

Weir, M. R., Dzau, V. J. 1999. The renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system: A specific target for hypertension management. *American Journal of Hypertension*. 12(12 SUPPL. 1), 205–213. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0895-7061\(99\)00103-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0895-7061(99)00103-x)

Wijesinghe, W. A. J. P., Jeon, Y. J. 2013. Enzymatic extraction of bioactives from algae. In *Functional Ingredients from Algae for Foods and Nutraceuticals* (pp. 517–533). Woodhead Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1533/9780857098689.3.517>

Wijesekara, I.; Kim, S.-K. 2010. Angiotensin-I-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors from marine resources: Prospects in the pharmaceutical industry. *Marine Drugs* . 8: 1080–1093.

Wong, K. and Cheung, P.C. 2001. Influence of drying treatment on three *Sargassum* species 2. protein extractability, in vitro protein digestibility and amino acid profile

- of protein concentrates. *Journal of Applied Phycology* .13: 51e58.
- Wouters, A.G.B., Rombouts, I., Fierens, E., Brijs, K. and Delcour, J. A. 2016. Relevance of the functional properties of enzymatic plant protein hydrolysates in food systems. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety* .15: 786-800.
- Wu H., He H-L, Chen X-L, Sun C-Y, Zhang Y-Z, Zhou B-C. 2008. Purification and identification of novel angiotensin I-converting enzyme inhibitory peptides from shark meat hydrolysate. *Process Biochemistry*.43: 457-461.
- Wu J., Aluko R. E., Nakai S. 2006. Structural requirements of angiotensin I-converting enzyme inhibitory activity: quantitative structure-activity relationship study of di- and tripeptides. *Journal Agricultural Food Chemistry*.54:732-738.
- Wu, Q., Jia, J., Yan, H., Du, J. and Gui, Z., 2015. A novel angiotensin-I converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory peptide from gastrointestinal protease hydrolysate of silkworm pupa (*Bombyx mori*) protein: biochemical characterization and molecular docking study. *Peptides*.68 :17-24.
- Yang, L., Lu, Q., Brodie, J. 2017. A review of the bladed Bangiales (Rhodophyta) in China : history , culture and taxonomy. *European Journal of Phycology*, 00(00), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09670262.2017.1309689>
- Yow, Y. Y., Lim, P. E., Phang, S. M. 2011. Genetic diversity of *Gracilaria changii* (Gracilariaceae, Rhodophyta) from west coast, Peninsular Malaysia based on mitochondrial cox1 gene analysis. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 23(2), 219–226. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-010-9535-5>
- Yokomizo, A., Takenaka, Y. and Takenaka, T., 2002. Antioxidative activity of peptides prepared from okara protein. *Food Science and Technology Research*, 8(4), pp.357-359.
- Zhao, Y., Li, B., Dong, S., Liu, Z., Zhao, X., Wang, J. and Zeng, M. 2009. A novel ACE inhibitory peptide isolated from *Acaudina molpadioidea* hydrolysate. *Peptides* 30: 1028-1033.

Ziganshin, R.K., Sviriaev, V.I., Vaskovskii, B.V., Mikhaleva, I.I., Ivanov, V.T., Kokoz, I.M., Alekseev, A.E., Korystova, A.F., Sukhova, G.S. and Emel'ianova, T.G., 1994. Biologically active peptides isolated from the brain of hibernating ground squirrels. *Bioorganicheskaia khimiia*.20(8-9):899-918.

BRIEF BIOGRAPHY

Ismat Ara Begum passed the Secondary School Certificate examination in 2010 from Nasera Khatun R.k Girls' High School, Chattogram and then Higher Secondary Certificate examination in 2012 from Govt.Womens' College, Chattogram. He obtained her B.Sc. (Honors) in Food Science and Technology from the Faculty of Food Science and Technology at Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chattogram, Bangladesh. Now she is a candidate for the degree of Master of Science in Applied Human Nutrition and Dietetics under the department of Applied Food Science and Nutrition, Faculty of Food Science and Technology, Chittagong Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chittagong, Bangladesh. She has an immense interest in exploration on clinical nutrition and dietetics to improve the health of people through proper guidelines and suggestions with a vision of improving the overall nutritional status of Bangladesh.