

# MORPHOLOGICAL APPROACH TO THE IDENTIFICATION, DISTRIBUTION AND DIVERSITY OF FISH SPECIES UNDER CLUPEIDAE FAMILY IN THE CHATTOGRAM COAST

# Fahmida Sultana

Roll No: 0119/07

Registration No: 706

Session: 2019-2020

A thesis submitted in the partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Marine Bioresource Science

Department of Marine Bioresource Science
Faculty of Fisheries

Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University Khulshi, Chattogram-4225, Bangladesh

**April 2021** 

### **AUTHORIZATION**

Authorization I hereby declare that I am the sole author of the thesis entitled with Morphological Approach to the Identification, Distribution and Diversity of Fish Species Under Clupeidae Family in the Chattogram Coast I authorize the Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (CVASU) to lend this thesis to other institutions or individuals for the purpose of scholarly research. I further authorize the CVASU to reproduce the thesis by photocopying or by other means, in total or in part, at the request of other institutions or individuals for the purpose of scholarly research.

I, undersigned, and author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the CVASU Library, is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

(Fahmida Sultana)

# MORPHOLOGICAL APPROACH TO THE IDENTIFICATION, DISTRIBUTION AND DIVERSITY OF FISH SPECIES UNDER CLUPEIDAE FAMILY IN THE CHATTOGRAM COAST

Fahmida Sultana

Roll No: 0119/07

**Registration No: 706** 

Session: 2019-2020

This is to certify that we have examined the above Master's thesis and have found that it is complete and satisfactory in all respects and that all revisions required by the thesis examination committee have been made

sol free

Supervisor

**Co-supervisor** 

Prof. Dr. Mohammad Alamgir Hossain

Sk. Istiaque Ahmed

Dean

**Assistant Professor** 

**Faculty of Veterinary Medicine** 

**Dept. of Fisheries Resource Management** 

**CVASU** 

**CVASU** 

Dr. Mohammad Sadequr Rahman Khan

**Chairman of the Examination Committee** 

**Department of Marine Bioresource Science** 

**Faculty of Fisheries** 

Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University Khulshi, Chattogram-4225, Bangladesh

**April 2021** 

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I am indebted to the Almighty Allah who enabled me to complete the research work and write up the dissertation successfully for the Master of Science (MS) degree in Marine Bioresource Science under the Department of Marine Bioresource Science of Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (CVASU).

I want to convey my sincere thanks and gratitude to dynamic supervisor **Dr. Mohammad Alamgir Hossain, Professor**, Department of Pathology and Parasitology, CVASU, for his valuable supervision and guidance. It was a great pleasure to work under his supervision. It was an opportunity to work under his creative direction.

It is a pleasure to convey gratitude to my co-supervisor, **Mr. Sk. Istiaque Ahmed**, Assistant Professor, Department of Fisheries Resource Management, CVASU, for his valuable advice, educational guidance.

I am showing my gratitude to **Mr. Avijit Talukder**, Assistant Professor, Department of Marine Bioresource Science, CVASU, for his encouragement and cooperation at every stage of this study from its inception to completion.

I sincerely express the most profound sense of gratitude to our honorable Vice-chancellor, **Prof. Dr. Goutam Buddha Das**, and **Prof. Dr. Mohammed Nurul Absar Khan**, Dean, Faculty of Fisheries, CVASU, for their supportive administrative co-ordination to fulfill this research.

I am also grateful to **Sumi Akter**, Assistant Professor, Department of Marine Bioresource Science, and **Abrar Shakil**, Assistant Professor, CVASU, for their inspiration, technical support, and kind suggestion during the research work.

I sincerely thank all postgraduate students and technical staff of the Department of Marine Bioresource Science for their encouragement and support during research.Last but not least, I express my most profound sense of gratitude to my beloved parents and friends for their sacrifice, blessings, and encouragement.

### The Author

### Fahmida Sultana

# **Table of Contents**

Authorization	i
Acknowledgements	iii
List of Tables.	vi
List of Figures.	viii
List of Acronyms and Symbols used.	X
Abstracts	xi
CHAPTER ONE	
INTRODUCTION	1-5
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.2 Morphometric systematics	2
1.3 Length-weight relationship.	3
1.4 Importance of morphological analysis	3
1.5 Significance of the study	4
1.6 Aim and objectives	4
CHAPTER TWO	
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	6-11
2.1 Morphological analysis of <i>Tenualosa sp</i>	6
2.2 Length-weight relationship of <i>Tenualosa sp</i>	7
2.3 Morphological analysis of other Clupeidae fish species	8
2.4 Length-weight relationship of other Clupeidae fish species	9
CHAPTER THREE	
MATERIALS AND METHODS	12-22
3.1 Study area and sampling stations.	12
3.3 Sampling period and sampling frequency	13
3.3 Collection of fish samples.	13
3.4 Sample transportation	14
3.5 Laboratory analysis	15
3.6 Morphometric measurements	
3.7 Meristic counts	17

3.8 Apparent body characteristics.	19
3.9 Species identification.	19
3.10 Data collection and record.	19
3.11 Preservation.	20
3.12 Statistical analysis	21
CHAPTER FOUR	
RESULTS	23-48
4.1 Monthly species availability	23
4.2 Analysis of meristic trait	24
4.3 Analysis of morphometric traits	24
4.3.1 Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test	25
4.3.2 Descriptive statistics	25
4.3.3 In percentage of total body length	28
4.3.4 Correlation.	
4.3.5 Station wise variation	35
4.3.6 Cluster analysis.	37
4.3.7 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	40
4.4 Length-weight relationship.	45
CHAPTER FIVE	
DISCUSSION	49-55
5.1 Species availability	49
5.2 Analysis of Meristic traits	49
5.3 Descriptive statistics of morphometric traits	50
5.4 In percentage of total body length	51
5.5 Correlation between the morphometric traits	51
5.6 Month and station wise variation in morphometric traits	52
5.6.1 Cluster analysis.	53
5.6.2 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	54
5.7 Length-weight relationship.	54
CHAPTER SIX	
CONCLUSION	56

# **CHAPTER SEVEN**

RECOMMENDATION AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES	57
REFERENCES	58-69
APPENDIX	70-74
BIOGRAPHY	75

# **List of Tables**

Table 1 Taxonomic formula24
Table 2 Correlations between different morphometric measurements of Tenualosa
ilishsa30
Table 3 Correlations between different morphometric measurements of Escualosa
thoracata31
Table 4 Correlations between different morphometric measurements of Sardinella
fimbriata32
Table 5 Correlations between different morphometric measurements of Sardinella
longiceps
Table 6 Correlations between different morphometric measurements of Hilsa
kelee
Table 7 Correlations between different morphometric measurements of
Anodontostoma chacunda

# **List of Figures**

Figure 1	Sampling stations.	13
Figure 2	Sample collection	14
Figure 2	Samples preserved in ice box	15
Figure 4	Ice box	15
Figure 5	Samples for laboratory analysis	.15
Figure 6	Length and weight measurement of the sample	15
Figure 7	Overview of different morphometricindice	16
Figure 8	Measuring board	17
Figure 9	Digital slide callipers	17
Figure 10	Determination of morphometric data1	.7
Figure 11	General indications of different meristic characters	18
Figure 12	Counting of fin rays1	8
Figure 13	Counting of fin rays1	8
Figure 14	Observation of mouth pattern	)
Figure 15	Observation of mouth pattern	)
Figure 16	Data collection form	9
Figure 17	Portable photolab2	0
Figure 18	Captured picture of a sample in the portable photolab	0
Figure 19	Preserved samples	20
Figure 20	Monthly species availability	23
Figure 21	Box and Whisker plot.	27
Figure 22	Morphometric measurements expressed as percentage of total length	29
Figure 23	Comparison of morphometric measurements among the stations	36
Figure 24	Dendrogram showing the relationships among months based on the	
morphom	etric traits of <i>Tenualosa ilisha</i>	7

Figure 25 Dendrogram showing the relationships among months based on the	
morphometric traits of Escualosa thoracata	38
<b>Figure 26</b> Dendrogram showing the relationships among months based on the morphometric traits of <i>Sardinella fimbriata</i>	38
<b>Figure 27</b> Dendrogram showing the relationships among months based on the morphometric traits of <i>Sardinella longiceps</i>	.39
<b>Figure 28</b> Dendrogram showing the relationships among months based on the morphometric traits of <i>Hilsa kelee</i>	39
Figure 29 Dendrogram showing the relationships among months based on the morphometric traits of <i>Anodontostoma chacund</i>	40
Figure 30 PCA Biplot for Tenualosa ilisha.	42
Figure 31 PCA Biplot for Escualosa thoracata	43
Figure 32 PCA Biplot for Sardinella fimbriata	44
Figure 33 Length weight relationship	46

# List of Acronyms and Symbols Used

Abbreviation and symbols	Elaboration
cm	Centimeter
g	Gram
DoF	Department of Fisheries
et al.	Associates

## **ABSTRACT**

In the case of marine fisheries resources of Bangladesh, Clupeidae is considered as the leading fish family and in terms of availability of marine fishes, Chattogram coast is at the top position. The present study aimed to identify the available Clupeidae fish species in the Chattogram coast on the basis of morphological characters and to highlight their distribution and diversification. During the one year sampling period a total of 375 fish specimens were collected from three sampling stations among them six species (Tenualosa ilisha, Escualosa thoracata, Sardinella fimbriata, Sardinella longiceps, Hilsa kelee and Anodontostoma chacunda) were identified as the member of Clupeidae fish family. By analyzing the morphometric and meristic characters it was found that the meristic characters remained constant. On the other hand due to having variations, the morphometric characters were taken for further analysis. Application of Pearson Correlation revealed most of the morphometric characters are highly correlated with each other, cluster analysis indicated monthly variation among the characters and Principal Component Analysis and its biplot demonstrated similarities between the sampling stations based on the morphometric characters. Finally length-weight relationship was measured for Tenualosa ilisha, Escualosa thoracata, Sardinella fimbriata, Sardinella longiceps, Hilsa kelee and Anodontostoma chacunda as W=0.0386L<sup>2.6523</sup>, W=0.0167L<sup>2.7344</sup>, W=0.0084L<sup>3.0346</sup>, W=0.0609L<sup>2.4474</sup>, W=0.0032L<sup>3.4408</sup> and W=0.002L<sup>3.7169</sup> respectively. The findings of the study will provide baseline information for both future research and management of the fish family in the Chattogram coastal region.

Key words: Clupeidae, Morphometric, Meristic, Correlation, Cluster analysis