Evaluation of Nutritional and chemical quality of raw milk from household dairy farms in Hathazari upazilla, Chattogram, Bangladesh



A production report submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirement for the

Degree of Doctor of Veterinary Medicine (DVM)

Production Report Submitted By Proma Roy Roll No: 18/24 Reg No: 02084 Intern ID: 22 Session: 2017-18

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University Khulshi, Chattogram – 4225, Bangladesh Evaluation of Nutritional and chemical quality of raw milk from household dairy farms in Hathazari upazilla, Chattogram, Bangladesh



Approved by

Supervisor

Dr. Goutam Buddha Das

Professor

Department of Animal Science and Nutrition

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University

Khulshi, Chattogram – 4225, Bangladesh

Acknowledgement

The author is appreciative of the Dean of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine at Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Honourable professor Dr, Mohammad Lutfur Rahman and the Director of external Affairs, Honourable Professor Dr, A.K.M Saifuddin, for making this type of research work during internship program.

The author wishes to express her sincere appreciation and respect to my internship supervisor Dr. Goutam Buddha Das, Professor, Department of Animal Science and Nutrition, Chattogram veterinary and Animal Sciences University, for his academic leadership, gracious, cooperation, sincere assistance, insightful suggestions and inspiration that contributed to the conception of this study. I will always be grateful to him.

In order to achieve the goal of this study, the authors are grateful to the lab attendant of the study areas for their cooperation in participating and responding related to the work.

Last but not the least, I would want to thank everyone who contributed to the course and apologise for not mentioning each individual contributor individually.

Table of Contents

Chapter	Description	Page
	Acknowledgements	3
	Table of contents	4
	List of abbreviations	5
	List of tables	5
	List of charts	6
	List of figures	6
	Abstract	7
Chapter I	Introduction	8
Chapter II	Materials and methods	9
Chapter III	Results	11
	Discussion	15
Chapter IV	Conclusion	17
	References	18
	Biography	22

List of abbreviations

Abbreviation	Elaboration
Sp gravity	Specific gravity
SNF	Solid Not Fat
BF	Butter Fat
TS	Total solid

List of tables

Table	able Title					
Table 1	Composition of different components in Milk.	12				
Table 2	Comparison of Raw milk of different farms with BSTI standard.	13				
Table 3	Nutritional and chemical composition of different farm milk (mean±standard error).	14				
Table 4	Nutritional and chemical composition of different farm milk (mean±standard deviation).	14				

charts	Title	Page
charts 1	Comparison of specific gravity of different farms.	12
charts 2	component of fat% of different dairy farms of Hathazari	13
charts 3	component of SNF% of different dairy farms of Hathazari	14
charts 4	component of Protein% of different dairy farms of Hathazari	14
charts 5	Moisture content in milk sample of Hathazari dairy farm.	

List of charts

List of figures

Figure	Legend	Page
FIGURE 01	Measurement of Moisture	10
FIGURE 02	Measurement of Butter Fat by Gerber method	10
FIGURE02	Protein & Casein percentage by titration of milk sample	10

Abstract

Milk is considered to be a nearly complete food since milk contains nutrients like high-quality proteins, lipids, carbohydrate, vitamins and minerals far more than any other single food. (Neumann et al.,2002). In chattogram district, the upazilla of Hathazari is home to more than thousand people. Four sources of fluid milk are available in the Hathazari to suit the needs of such a huge human population: farm produced milk, vendor-supplied farm milk, vendor supplied rural milk, and market milk of various brands. But maximum farmers have their own dairy farm to produce milk for their need. Thus, to assess the nutritional value of raw milk from dairy farms in Hathazari, the current study was conducted from February 22 to march 16, 2023. Some areas like Fatika road, 11 mile, foteyabad, kachari road, sarkarhat were selected to collect milk samples.

In order to evaluate the physical (specific gravity) and chemical (% of butter fat, solids-not fat, total solids, protein, and water) properties of milk samples and analyse them using the I.S.I. (1984) method for identifying the nutritional and chemical parameters, a total of 15 samples, 3 from each entry point were collected. The results of the study show that practically some of the samples had very poor nutritional quality. 50% of the samples had adulteration in the water. More water adulteration is done both to improve milk volume and to generate more money. Raw cow milk means milk from dairy cow not less than 3 days after parturition and shall not contain colostrum. Milk shall not be either addition to it or extraction from it, or subject to any process except cooling.

Keywords: Complete food, adulteration, colostrum, parturition

Chapter-I

Introduction

In contrast to other foods, fresh milk contains the key elements lactose, fat, protein, minerals, and vitamins in a balanced ratio, making it considered to be a complete diet (Khalid, 2006). It is described as the entire, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by complete milking of one or more healthy animals, excluding that obtained within fifteen days prior to or five days following calving or such periods as may be necessary to render milk virtually colostrum free, and containing the minimum prescribed percentage of milk fat (3.5%) and solids not fat (8.5) (Goff and Hill, 1993). Liquid milk, a critical component of our daily diet, is essential for meeting the world's growing nutritional needs as well as for ensuring food safety in developing nations like Bangladesh. However, it is also quickly perishable due to its great susceptibility to bacterial contamination (Kim et al., 1983; OECD 2005). In Bangladesh, milk adulteration is also a very prevalent occurrence. Thus, it is crucial to assess the quality of milk.

Additionally, milk has significant amounts of both water (A, D, E & K) and fat-soluble vitamins (B complex & C). The constituent can differ across members of the same breed depending on factors including breed, kind of feed, lactation stage, season, cow age etc. Objectives of my study is to compare the chemical and nutritional quality of raw milk with the BSTI standard, to detect the quality of nutritional components like Protein, Casein, Butter fat, SNF, to detect the

adulteration of water in Raw milk.

Chapter-II Materials & Methods

2.1 Duration: The Farm milk quality tests were done in the dairy science laboratory of CVASU during the period from February 22 to March 16, 2023.



Figure 1: Measurement of Moisture

Figure 2: Measurement of Butter Fat by Gerber method





Figure 3: Protein & Casein percentage by titration of milk sample

2.2 Collection of samples: Raw milk of five different areas were collected from different farms of Hathazari.To collect milk samples, milk was collected from the nearest teat and taken in the tube or vial without contamination.

2.3 Number of samples: 15 samples of each farm of different stages of production (Lactation /pregnancy period) were collected for this study.

Tests	Methods followed
Butter fat%	Gerber method (Volumetrics 1984)
Solids-not fat%	ISI 1984
Specific Gravity	FAO,1984
Protein%	Pyne,1932
Lactometer Reading	Milk Lactometer
Adulteration detection	ISI,1960
Temperature	Thermometer

2.4 Quality Tests: The following tests are done of evaluate the quality.

2.6 Physical Analysis:

- 1.Organoleptic test
- 2.Specific gravity test

2.7 Chemical Analysis:

- 1. Alcohol test
- 2. Fat content Gerber method
- 3. SNF test
- 4. TS test

2.8 Statistical Analysis:

The collected information was entered into a work sheet created in Microsoft Excel 2016. The statistical programme SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to analyse descriptive statistical parameters.

Chapter-III

Results and Discussion

Results

Table-1: Composition of different components in Milk.

Composition	percentage
water	87
Dry matter	12.8
Fat	3.7
protein	3.5
Lactose	4.9

Table-2:	Comparison	of Raw	milk of	different	farms	of Hathazar	i with B	STI sta	ndard.

Name of quality test	Standard of Raw milk	Fatika road	11 mile	Foteyabad	Kachari road	Sarkarhat
Specific gravity	1.026- 1.034	1.031	1.029	1.028	1.029	1.026
Protein (%)	3.3	3.8	2.6	2.9	2.7	3.7
Fat (%)	3.5	3.6	2.9	3.4	3.8	3.7
SNF (%)	8.5	8.29	7.56	7.48	7.99	7.29
TS (%)	12	11.95	10.53	10.88	11.82	11.12



Chart No-1: Comparison of specific gravity of different farms.

Chart No-2: component of fat% of different dairy farms of Hathazari





Chart No-3: component of SNF% of different dairy farms of Hathazari

Fig:Comparison of Solid not fat percentages in dairy farms of Hathazari upazilla

Table-3:	Nutritional	and	chemical	composition	of	different	farm	milk	(mean±standard
error).									

Name of the area of	Sp gravity	BF%	SNF%	Protein%	TS%
Dairy farm					
Fatika road	1.031±0.016	3.6±0.55	8.2±0.33	3.8±0.42	11.95±0.45
11 mile	1.029±0.002	2.9±0.23	7.5±1.201	2.6±0.32	10.53±1.105
Foteyabad	1.028±0.002	3.4±0.36	7.4±0.72	2.9±0.09	10.88±0.36
Kachari road	1.029±0.001	3.8±0.64	7.9±1.010	2.71±0.47	11.82±1.032
Sarkarhat	1.026±0.009	3.7±0.57	7.95±1.010	3.7±0.22	11.12±0.52
AVERAGE	1.030±0.018	3.78±0.33	7.65±0.93	3.36±0.36	11.26±0.73
Level of significance	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

NS=non-significant

Name of the area of	Sp gravity	BF%	SNF%	Protein%	TS%
Dairy farm					
Fatika road	1.031±0.002	3.6±0.42	8.2±0.23	3.8±0.42	11.95±0.32
11 mile	1.029±0.0031	2.9±0.56	7.5±0.12	2.6±0.61	10.53±1.62
Foteyabad	1.028±0.003	3.4±0.49	7.4±0.38	2.9±0.056	10.88±0.84
Kachari road	1.029±0.0032	3.8±0.72	7.9±1.015	2.71±0.47	11.82±1.098
Sarkarhat	1.026±0.001	3.7±0.81	7.95±1.043	3.7±0.28	11.12±0.74
AVERAGE	1.030±0.0024	3.78±0.60	7.65±0.55	3.36±0.36	11.26±0.92
Level of Significance	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table-4: Nutritional and chemical composition of different farm milk (mean±standard deviation).

NS=non-significant





Fig:Comparison of protein percentages in dairy farms of Hathazari upazilla



Chart No-5: Moisture content in milk sample of Hathazari dairy farm

Discussion

3.1 Physical Quality:

Organoleptic evaluation:

a) **Colour:** The judging of colour was done by eye for the organoleptic test. The colour of milk is a blend of individual effects produced by Carotene, which imparts a yellowish colour.

b) **Flavour:** Flavour may be detected by sniffing the products before placing in the mouth and also while in the mouth.

c) **Taste:** The sample was placed in the mouth, rolled around in the mouth to come in contact with the taste buds located on the various portion of the tongue.

d) Texture: The judging of the texture of milk sample was also done by eye.

3.2 Chemical Quality:

3.2.1. Farm milk:

Table: 2 shows that the average specific gravity of farm milk is (1.030 ± 0.018) which ranges from 1.026 to 1.029. Highest specific gravity found in Fatika road. (1.031 ± 0.016) and lowest in Sarkarhat (1.026 ± 0.009) . **De, S. (2000)** reported that specific gravity of farm milk of all area remained within the normal range of specific gravity of milk. This results also agrees with research findings of **Islam** *et al.* (1993) and Eckles *et al.* (1951).

The average BF content of farm milk is (3.78 ± 0.33) % which ranges from 2.9% to 3.8%. Highest BF found in kachari road (3.8 ± 0.64) % and lowest in 11 mile farms (2.9 ± 0.23) %. This result agrees with **Debnath** *et al.* (2009).

The average SNF content of farm milk is (7.65 ± 0.93) % which ranges from 7.4% to 8.20%. Highest SNF found in fatika dairy farms (8.2±0.33) % and lowest in foteyabad farm (7.4±0.72). This result agrees with **Debnath** *et al.* (2009).

The average protein content of farm milk is (3.36 ± 0.36) % which ranges from 2.6 % to 3.8%. Highest protein found in fatika dairy farms (3.8 ± 0.42) % and lowest in 11 mile dairy farm (2.6 ± 0.32) . Eckles *et al.* (1951) stated that milk should contain 3.80% protein which agrees with our result.

The average TS content of farm milk is (11.26 ± 0.73) % which ranges from 10.53% to 11.95%. Highest BF found in fatika road dairy farms (11.95 ± 0.45) % and lowest in 11 mile farms (10.53 ± 1.105) %. This result agrees with **Debnath** *et al.* (2009).

Chart No:5 shows that food adulteration, particularly with regard to raw milk, is a pressing concern right now. Normal milk adulterants include water, flour, skim milk powder, sugar, detergent and fat are commonly added to milk to extend its shelf life. So, the results are shown that highest moisture in milk (92.3%) is a prove that the water is added.

Chapter-IV

Conclusion

It is clear from the discussion above that, regardless of the intended application, some milk samples nutritional value fell below the recommended amount. Water adulteration was widespread in entering milk from rural regions. In case, Hathazari is included as one of them. According to the data, the quality of the milk in the five places (Fatika,11 miles, kachari road, sarkarhat, Foteyabad) that were chosen was more or less comparable. Farmers must be educated on the hygienic aspects of milk production in order to produce milk of higher quality. That could aid in lowering the increased incidence of variance in milk components like protein, casein, Butter fat, SNF, TS, moisture, which would improve milk quality overall.

Limitations

In modern conditions of milk production in the Hathazari upazilla, the factor affecting its quality is the sanitary conditions of farm and farm equipment's, differences in breed, feeding practices, season, stage of lactation, milking method and adding adulterants in milk.

Recommendations

The recommended advice is the cow should be provided enough energy sources quality feed, hygienic environment, feeding mixed forages, hand milking for collecting milk, improving genetic parameters by breeding and finally educating farmers through training program would be effective to reduce alterations in chemical composition of milk.

References

- 2nd edition, Fox, P.F. (edition). New York: Chapman & Hall. pp. 469.
- De, S. 2000. Outlines of Dairy Technology. Oxford University Press, London. pp. 1-2.
- Debnath, G.K., Kober, A.K.M.H. and Chanda, G. C. 2009. Quality of Fluid Milk Available in Chittagong Metropolitan Area, proceedings of the sixth annual scientific conference of CVASU, Bangladesh.APHA (American public Health association).1984. Standard Methods for the Examination of Dairy products.
- Aggarwala, A. C. and Sharma, R. M. 1961. A Laboratory Manual of Milk Inspection. 4th edition Asia Publishing House, Madras; pp.206.
- Azad, M. A., Hasanuzzaman, M., Azizunnesa, Shil, G. C. and Barik, M. A. 2007. Milk Production Trend, Milk Quality and Seasonal Effect on it at Baghabarighat Milk Shed Area, Bangladesh. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 6: 363-365.
- BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics). 1997. Statistical Year Book of Bangladesh Ministry of Planning.
- BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics). 2001. Human population census, 2001.
- Barnard, S. E. 1978. Freezing points and milk adulteration. Dairy Science. Abstract. 40(7): 414.
- **BSTI** (Bangladesh Standards and Testing Institution).
- Campbel, J. R. and Marshall, R. T. 1961. The Science of providing milk for man. Standard edition, Megraw-Hill Book Company, New Delhi. pp. 487-49.
- Chandan, R. 1997. Dairy-Based Ingredients. Standard Paul, Minn.: Eagan Press. Singh,
 H., McCarthy, O. J. and Lucey, J. A. 1997. Physio-chemical properties of milk. In:
 Advanced Dairy Chemistry Volume 3. Lactose, Water, Salts and Vitamins.

- Ding, H. C. and Chang, T. C. 1986. Detection of reconstituted milk in fresh milk. *Journal of Chinese Agricultural Chemical Society*, 23: 406-411.
- **Dionysius, D. A. and. Milne, J. M. 1997.** Antibacterial peptides of bovine lactoferrin: purification and characterization. *Journal Dairy Science*, 80: 667.
- EDA (European Dairy Association) 1997. Nutritional Quality of Proteins. Brussels, Belgium.
- Eckles, C., Combs, C. and Macy, H. 1951. Milk and milk products 4th edition, McGraw-Hill book company, New York, Toronto, London. pp. 22-23.
- **FAO 1984.** Milk and milk products testing procedures, co-operative dairy organization and Extension programme (Phase: II) Bangladesh.
- FAO 1999. Manual on the use of LP-system in milk handling and preservation, Animal Production Service, FAO Animal production and Health Division.
- Fox, P. E. and Grufferty, M. B. 1997. Effect of added NaCl on some physiochemical properties of milk. *Dairy Science*, *Abstract*. 48:482.
- Fox, P. F. 1992. Proteins. Advanced Dairy Chemistry, 1:52-63.
- German, J. B. and Dillard, C. J. 1998. Fractionated milk fat: composition, structure, and functional properties. *Food Technology*, 52: 33.
- ISI (Indian Standard Institution). 1984. Hand book of Food Analysis part XII-XV, ISI, Delhi.
- ISI (Indian Standard Institute). 1960. Milk testing-rapid examination, 1479 (part I), ISI, Delhi.
- ISI (Indian Standard). 1958. Determination of milk fat by Gerber method (part I), ISI, Delhi.
- Islam, M. N., Hussain, S.M.I and Mannan, A.K.M.A. 1993. Studies on the physical parameters and chemical qualities of market milk in Mymensingh town (Bangladesh). *Banglasesh journal of Animal Science*. 13: 52.
- Islam, M. S., Zaman, M. M., Quadir, M. M., Hasan, M. N. and Hossain, M. I. 2002. Study on the Assessment of Chemical Qualities of Milk Produced by Primary Cooperative Societies (Milk Vita). *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*. 5:1261-1263.

Jensen, R.G. 1995. Handbook of Milk Composition. New York: Academic Press.

- Judking, H. F. and Keener H. A. 1960. Milk production and processing. 4th edition, New York. pp. 227-230.
- Khurody, D. N. 1974. Dairing in India. 1st edition, Asia Publishing House, Bomday. pp. 186-193.
- Miller, G. D., Jarvis, J. K. and McBean, L. D. 1999. *Handbook of Dairy Foods and Nutrition*. 2nd edition, Boca Raton, Fla.: CRC Press.
- Pal, P. K., Battacharya, A. M., Das, M. K. and Bandyopadhyay, T. S. 1989. Rapid detection of added sugar in milk. *The Indian Journal of Dairy Science* 32: 405.
- Panero, R. 1975. New method for differentiating watered milk from low quality milk. *Revista Espanola decrease Lecheria*. 94: 235-238.
- Parodi, P.W. 1976. Distribution of isomeric octadecenoic fatty acids in milk fat. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 59:98-103.
- PFA 1976. (The prevention of the Food Adulteration Rules) New Delhi.
- Rangappa, K. S. and Achaya, K. T. 1975. Indian Dairy Science, 2nd edition, Asia Publishing House, Bombay. pp. 30.
- Takada, Y., Matsuyama, H., Kato, K., Kobayashi, N., Yamomura, J. I., Yahiro, M. and Aoe,
 S. 1997. Milk whey protein enhances the bone breaking force in ovariectomized rats. *Nutrition Research*, 17: 1709.
- Talukder, O.G. M. 1989. A study on the physical and chemical quality of milk collected from different markets and villages of trisal Upazilla. M. Sc. Thesis, Department of Dairy Science, BAU, Mymensingh.
- **USPHS** (United States Department of Public Health Services). 1965. Grade "A" Pasteurized milk Ordinance.
- Walstra, P. and Jennesss, R. 1984. Dairy Chemistry and Physics. A wiley Intrascience Publication. New York. pp. 107.

- Wong, N. P., Jenness, R., Keeney, M. and. Marth, E. H. 1988. *Fundamentals of Dairy Chemistry*. 3rd edition, New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.
- Yadav, A. N. and Sarawant, B. L. 1982. Note on the physical and chemical qualities of Markets milk in Varanasi Town, India. *Asia Journal of Dairy Research*. 1: 74-76.
- Ziegler, E. E. and Fomon, S. J. 1983. Lactose enhances mineral absorption in infancy. *Journal* of Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutrition. 2: 288.

Biography

My name is Proma Roy. My home town is Chattogram.I graduated from Dr khastogir Govt. Girls' High School in Chattogram with a Secondary School Certificate (SSC) in 2015 and from Chattogram college with a Higher Secondary Certificate (HSC) in 2017.In the 2017-18 academic year, I enrolled at Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Bangladesh to pursue a Doctor of Veterinary Medicine (DVM) Degree.

I have a keen interest in gathering knowledge about pet animal medicine and serve the country by becoming a Bangladesh Civil Service Cadre.