

# Effects of Probiotic, Enzyme and Acidifier to Substitute Antibiotic Growth Promoter on Productive Performance, Blood Parameters and Carcass Characteristics of Broiler

### **DR NASIMA AKTER**

Examination Roll No. 0116/02 Registration No. 285 Session: 2016-2017

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Animal and Poultry Nutrition

> Department of Animal Science and Nutrition Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Chittagong Veterinary and Animal Sciences University Chittagong-4225, Bangladesh

> > December 2017

#### AUTHORIZATION

I hereby declare that I am the sole author of the thesis. I also authorize the Chittagong Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (CVASU) to lend this thesis to other institutions or individuals for the purpose of scholarly research. I further authorize CVASU to reproduce the thesis by photocopying or by other means in total or in part, at the request of other institutions or individuals for the purpose of scholarly research.

I the undersigned and author of this work declare that the **electronic copy** of this thesis provided to the CVASU Library is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted within the limits of the technology available.

The Author December 2017

## Effects of Probiotic, Enzyme and Acidifier to Substitute Antibiotic Growth Promoter on Productive Performance, Blood Parameters and Carcass Characteristics of Broiler

**DR NASIMA AKTER** 

Examination Roll No. 0116/02 Registration No. 285 Session:2016-2017

This is to certify that we have examined the above Master's thesis and have found that the thesis is complete and satisfactory in all respects and that all revisions required by the thesis examination committee have been made

> (**Dr. Md. Hasanuzzaman**) Professor Department of Animal Science and Nutrition **Supervisor**

(Dr. Md. Manirul Islam) Professor and Head Department of Animal Science and Nutrition Chairman of the Examination Committee

Department of Animal Science and Nutrition Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Chittagong Veterinary and Animal Sciences University Khulshi, Chittagong-4225, Bangladesh

December 2017

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I am indebted to Almighty God who enables me to complete the research work and write up the dissertation successfully for the degree of Master of Science (MS) in Animal and Poultry Nutrition under the Department of Animal Science and Nutrition, Chittagong Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (CVASU).

Secondly, I am grateful to my supervisor **Dr. Md. Hasanuzzaman**, Professor, Department of Animal Science and Nutrition, CVASU for his valuable supervision and guidance. It was really a great pleasure and amazing experience for me to work under his supervision. I really deemed it and I realized it was a rare opportunity for me to work under his creative guidance. I understand it was impossible to complete the dissertation without his constructive supervision.

It's my pleasure to convey my profound gratitude to **Dr. Goutam Buddha Das**, Vice chancellor, Chittagong Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (CVASU) and Ex. Professor, Department of Animal Science and Nutrition, for his valuable support.

It is my privilege to acknowledge **DR. Mukti Barua**, Department of Animal Science and Nutrition for his support, valuable advice and encouragement for the research work.

It's my pleasure to convey my profound gratitude to **DR. Mahbub Alam**, Assistant Professor, Department of Animal Science and Nutrition, CVASU for his valuable advice, scholastic guidance, suggestions and inspiration.

It is my privilege to acknowledge **Dr. Md. Manirul Islam**, Professor and Head, Department of Animal Science and Nutrition, CVASU for his support, valuable advice and encouragement for the research work.

I would like to thank **DR. Abu Sayeed**, Lecturer of Epidemiology, Department of Medicine and Surgery, ZGVC for his great support and valuable time during the experimental period.

I sincerely thank to all the members of the department of Physiology, Biochemistry and Pharmacology and Animal Science and Nutrition for their help in using their laboratory.

Last but not least, I express my deepest sense of gratitude to my beloved family members and my friends for their sacrifice, blessings and encouragement.

The Author December 2017

#### Abstract

The study was conducted at CVASU poultry shed with one hundred Cobb 500<sup>™</sup> day old chicks (DOC) for a period of 28 days to investigate the effects of water supplementation with probiotic, enzyme and acidifier as substitute to antibiotic on performance parameters, carcass characteristics and blood parameters in commercial broiler. The study population (DOC) were randomly divided into five dietary treatment groups as T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> having two replicates each containing 20 birds per replicate. Probiotic, enzyme, acidifier and antibiotic (additives) were supplemented @ 0, 1g, 1.5 g, 1.5 ml, & 1 g per 1.5 L for  $T_0$ ,  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ treatment groups respectively in regular drinking water. Results indicated that the highest average weight gain (100.2 g/d/b) was recorded in  $T_1$  group and the lowest average weight gain (88.9 g/d/b) was recorded in  $T_0$  group at 4<sup>th</sup> week. Feed intake differed significantly (p<0.001) at  $2^{nd}$  and (p<0.05) at  $4^{th}$  week. At the same week the highest weekly average feed intake (174.0 g/d) was observed in  $T_1$  group and the lowest weekly average feed intake (121.5 g/d) was in T<sub>2</sub> group. FCR was also statistically differed (p<0.001) at 2<sup>nd</sup> and (p<0.05) at 4<sup>th</sup> week. However, the best average FCR (1.4) was observed in the  $T_2$  group and worst FCR (1.6) in  $T_0$  and  $T_4$ groups. In addition to performance parameter, all treatments had significant (p<0.05) effect on dressing percentage, abdominal and neck fat weight. Similar to performance parameter, ESR differed significantly (p<0.01) at 4<sup>th</sup> week of probiotic, enzyme and acidifier supplementation. Interestingly, PCV, ESR, TEC, Hemoglobin and all blood cell parameter remained unchanged (p>0.05) throughout the whole experimental period. LDL differed significantly (p<0.05) at 3<sup>rd</sup> week and Triglyceride differed significantly (p<0.05) at 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> week of age and had no influence (p>0.05) on other biochemical parameters. However, supplementation of additives had no influence (p>0.05) on composition of meat excluding ash. Maximum net profit per broiler was obtained from birds containing enzyme supplementation adding in regular drinking water.

**Keywords:** Broiler Probiotic, Enzyme, Acidifier, Growth performance, Carcass Characteristics and Serum parameter.

Chapter		Page No.	
	Author		II
	Signatu	ire page	III
		vledgements	IV
	Abstrac		V
Chapter 1	Introdu	1-3	
	1.1	Justification of the study	3
	1.2	Objectives	3
	1.3	Research questions	3
	1.4	Scope of the study	3
	1.5	Limitations of the study	3
Chapter 2	Review	4-18	
	2.1	What is Probiotic?	4
	2.2	Criteria for Ideal probiotic	5
	2.3	Mode of action of probiotic	5
	2.4	Commonly used microbs as probiotics	5-6
	2.5	Applications of probiotics in poultry	6
	2.6	Effect of probiotic	7-8
	2.6.1	Effect on performance	7
	2.6.2	Effect on Immunity	7-8
	2.6.3	Effect of Reducing Ammonia production	7-8
	2.7	What is Enzyme?	8
	2.8	Source of enzyme	8
	2.9	Types of enzyme in poultry nutrition	9
	2.10	Effect on enzyme	9-13
	2.10.1	Effect on poultry nutrition	9-10
	2.10.2	Effect on reduction in digesta viscosity	10
	2.10.3	Effect on nutrient digestibility	10
	2.11	Factor affecting the benefits of enzyme	11
	2.12	What is Acidifier?	11
	2.13	Biochemistry of acidifier	11
	2.14	Acidifier and its characteristics	11-12
	2.15	Mode of action of acidifier	12
	2.16	Antibacterial activity of acidifier	12-13
	2.17	Effect of acidifier	13-18
	2.17.1	Effect on immunity	13-14
	2.17.3	Effect on nutrient digestibility	14-16
	2.17.4	Effect on broilers performance	16
	2.18	Additional probable effects	17
	2.19	Effect of Antibiotic compared to probiotic,	17 19
		enzyme and acidifier	17-18
Chapter 3	Materia	als and Methods	19-27
	3.1	Study area	19
	3.2	Study period	19
	3.3	Experimental birds	19
	3.4	Experimental medication	19-20

	3.5	Design of experiment		21	
	3.6	Manage	Management		
		3.6.1	Housing	21	
		3.6.2	Brooder and cage space	22	
		3.6.3	Brooding	22	
		3.6.4	Temperature and humidity control of experiment	22	
		3.6.5	Feeding and watering	22-23	
		3.6.5	Vaccination	23	
		3.6.6	Sanitation	24	
	3.7	Laboratory work		24-27	
		3.7.1	Carcass measurement	24	
		3.7.2	Proximate analysis of meat	25	
		3.7.3	Hematological analysis	25-26	
		3.7.4	Serum analysis	26	
	3.8	Data co	•	27	
		3.8.1	Weight gain	27	
		3.8.2	Feed intake	27	
		3.8.3	Feed conversion ratio (FCR)	27	
	3.9	Statistic	al analysis	27	
Chapter 4	Result	28-36			
	4.1	Weight	28-29		
	4.2	Feed int	29		
	4.3	Feed co	30		
	4.4	Hemato	30-32		
	4.5	Serum parameters		32-34	
	4.6	Carcass characteristics		34-35	
	4.7	Chemic	al composition of meat	35	
	4.8	Cost-benefit analysis		36	
Chapter 5	Discussion			36-45	
	5.1	Weight	gain	38-39	
	5.2	Feed int	ake	40	
	5.3	Feed co	nversion ratio(FCR)	41-42	
	5.4	Hemato	42		
	5.5	Serum p	42-43		
	5.6	Carcass	characteristics	44-45	
	5.7	Chemic	al composition of meat	45	
Chapter 6	Concl	usion	-		
Chapter 7	Recor	nmendatior	l	47	
Chapter 8	References			48-59	
	Biogra	60			
	Apper	61-66			

#### LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	CONTENT	PAGE NO
Figure 3.1	Collection of Day old chicks	19
Figure 3.2	Brooder box with chicks	22
Figure 3.3	IBD vaccine, BCRDV vaccine, Vaccine diluting	24
Figure 3.4	Vaccination of chicks	24
Figure 3.5	Cutting of body parts	24
Figure 3.6	Weighing of the breast meat	24
Figure 3.7	Weighing of the drum stick	24
Figure 3.8	Chopping of meat	25
Figure 3.9	Digestion of sample	25
Figure 3.10	Extracting of ether	25
Figure 3.11	Ashing of sample	25
Figure 3.12	Collected blood sample	26
Figure 3.13	Mixing of serum	26

### LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	CONTENT	PAGE NO
Table 3.1.	Layout of experiment	21
Table 3.2	Nutritive value of basal diet in broiler feeding	23
Table 3.3	Vaccination schedule	23
Table 4.1	Live weight (gm/bird) of the experimental broiler birds	28
Table 4.2	Weight gain (gm/bird/day) of the experimental broiler birds	29
Table 4.3	Feed Intake (gm/bird/day) of the experimental broiler birds	29
Table 4.4	Feed conversion ratio (FCR) of the experimental broiler birds	30
Table 4.5	Hematological parameters of the experimental broiler birds	32
Table 4.6	Serum parameters of the experimental broiler birds	34
Table 4.7	Carcass characteristics of the experimental birds	35
Table 4.8	Chemical composition of meat of experimental birds	36
Table 4.9	Cost-benefit analysis of experimental birds	36

## List of Abbreviation

<	-	Less than
>	-	Greater than
%	-	Percentage
AID	-	Apparent Ileal Digestibility
ALT	-	Alanin transaminase
ANOVA	-	Analysis of variance
AST	-	Aspartate transaminase
BBS	-	Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics
BCRDV	-	Baby Chick Ranikhet Disease Vaccine
BMD	-	Bangladesh Meteorological Department
Ca	-	Calcium
CF	-	Crude fibre
Co	-	Company
СР	-	Crude protein
CVASU	-	Chittagong Veterinary and Animal Sciences University
DCP	-	Digestible Crude Protein
DM	-	Dry Matter
DMD	-	Dry Matter Digestibility
DNA	-	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DOC	-	Day Old Chick
e.g	-	Example
EE	-	Ether Extract
ESR	-	Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate
et al.	-	And his associates
etc.	-	Et cetera
F	-	Farenhite
FAO	-	Food and Agriculture Organization
FCR	-	Feed Conversion Ratio
Fig.	-	Figure
Ft	-	Feet
GDP	-	Gross Domestic Product
GIT	-	Gastrointestinal tract
Gm	-	Gram
Hb	-	Hemoglobin
i.e.	-	That is

IBD	-	Infectious Bursal Disease
IU	-	International Unit
KG	-	Kilogram
L	-	Litre
LCD	-	Liquid Crystal Display
LDL	-	Low Density Lipoprotein
Ltd	-	Limited
LW	-	Live weight
ME	-	Metabolizable Energy
MJ	-	Mega Jules
MS	-	Master of Science
NRC	-	National Research Council
NS	-	Non-Significant
NSP	-	Non Starch Polysaccharide
Р	-	Phosphorus
PCV	-	Packed cell volume
pН	-	Negative logarithm of hydrogen
Ref.	-	Reference
SEM	-	Standard error of mean
Sig.	-	Significance
TEC	-	Total Erythrocyte Count
TP	-	Total Protein
US	-	United State